

J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 1.

PRELIMINARY ASSESSMENT: Acid Sulfate

New House, Pool and Boatshed at 1744 Pittwater Road, Bayview

Class of land as shown on Acid Sulfate Soils Planning Maps		Type of Works			
	1	Any works			
	2	Works below the natural ground surface.			
	2	Works by which the water table is likely to be lowered.			
		Works beyond 1m below the natural ground surface.			
	3	Works by which the water table is likely to be lowered beyond 1m below the natural ground surface.			
	_	Works beyond 2m below the natural ground surface.			
Works by which the water table is likely to be lowered beyond 2 natural ground surface.					
	Works on land below 5m AHD and within 500m of adjacent Class1, 2, 3 or 4 land which are likely to lower the watertable below 1m AHD on adjacent Class 1, 2, or 4 land.				
The class of the site is highlighted in red, it should be noted that the classification does not mean acid sulfate soils are present on site but that there is a risk they could be present.					

1. Proposed Development

- **1.1** Demolish the existing house and construct a new part three-storey house by excavating to a maximum depth of ~3.2m into the slope.
- 1.2 Install a new pool on the downhill side of the property by excavating to a maximum depth of ~3.0m.
- 1.3 Construct a new boatshed on the downhill side of the property by excavating to a maximum depth of ~2.5m.
- 1.4 Details of the proposed development are shown on 25 drawings prepared by Giles Tribe Architects, Job number 21091, drawings numbered DA00 to DA21 and SD01 to SD03, Revision A, dated 21/2/22.

2. Site Description

The site was inspected on the 1st April, 2022 and previously on the 1st November, 2021.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022.

Page 2.

The block encompasses the steep bank that rises from that waterfront at Pittwater and the

gentle slope that rises above that to the road. The Sydney 1:100 000 Geological sheet

indicates the site is underlain by the Newport Formation of the Narrabeen Group. This is

described as interbedded laminite, shale and quartz to lithic quartz sandstone. This ground

material was encountered during testing.

The NSW Environment and Heritage mapping program (eSpade) maps the soil landscape of

the property as 'Erina'. The ground tests indicate the upper ~1.0m is a yellowish-brown sandy

clay (er3). This is underlain by reddish brown (er4) and dull yellow-orange mottled clays (er5)

that merge into the underlying weathered shale of the Narrabeen Group. Their

documentation indicates these soils range in pH from 4.0 to 7.0.

The Narrabeen Group of Rocks are Middle Triassic in age (~250 million years).

No visible signs of acid sulfate soils such as corrosion on man-made surfaces, or unusually

clear, milky, or iron-stained surface water were observed on the property.

3. Earthworks

An excavation to a maximum depth of ~3.2m will be required to construct the proposed

House and pool and an excavation to a maximum depth of ~2.5m is required to construct the

proposed boatshed. The excavations will cover an area of ~450m². The excavations are

entirely through the rising slope at the waterfront that consists of the Narrabeen Group Rocks

that do not generate acid sulfate conditions.

4. Watertable

The watertable was not encountered during testing. The base of the excavation for the

boatshed is at RL2.8m and will be above the watertable.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 3.

5. Field Testing

Three hand auger holes were put down in the location shown on the site plan attached. Field pH and peroxide testing was carried out on samples taken from the auger holes at regular intervals. The logs of the auger holes and the test results are as follows.

AUGER HOLE 1 (~RL7.5) – AH1

Depth (m)	Material Encountered
0.0 to 0.2	CLAYEY SOIL , dark brown to black, loose, damp, fine to medium grained with fine trace organic matter.
0.2 to 0.8	CLAY, yellow, brown, fine grained, dry.
0.9 to 1.8	CLAY, orange, red, mottled clay, derived from Extremely Low Strength
	Shale, damp.

End of Test @ 1.8m in clay. No watertable encountered.

TEST: AH1	FIELD pH & PEROXIDE RESULTS				
Sample depth (m)	pH₅	30% Peroxide reaction	рН _{ғох}	pH _F . pH _{FOX}	SS=Shell J=Jarosite R=Roots
0.3	5.6	-	5.9	-0.3	R
0.8	5.9	-	5.5	0.4	-
1.3	5.5	-	5.9	-0.4	-
1.8	4.9	-	4.8	0.1	-

AUGER 2 ON THE NEXT PAGE



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 4.

AUGER HOLE 2 (~RL5.0) - AH2

Depth (m)	Material Encountered		
0.0 to 0.4	FILL , brown, red, yellow, sand and clay intermixed, loose, damp, fine to coarse grained.		
0.4 to 0.6	CLAY, maroon, yellow, laminate fragments.		
0.6 to 0.9	CLAY, yellow, brown, fine grained, dry.		
0.9 to 1.4	CLAY, white, grey, red, dry, fine to medium grained.		
1.4 to 1.7	CLAY, orange, red, mottled clay, derived from Extremely Low Strength		
	Shale, damp.		

End of Test @ 1.7m in clay. No watertable encountered.

TEST: AH2	FIELD pH & PEROXIDE RESULTS					
Sample depth (m)	pH₅	30% Peroxide reaction	рН _{ғох}	pH _F . pH _{FOX}	SS=Shell J=Jarosite R=Roots	
0.3	6.8	-	6.5	0.3	R	
0.8	7.6	-	7.3	0.3	-	
1.4	7.6	-	7.6	0.0	-	
1.7	7.7	-	7.5	0.2	-	

AUGER HOLE 3 (~RL3.5) - AH3

Depth (m)	Material Encountered		
0.0 to 0.1	TOPSOIL, sandy clay, light brown, fine to medium grained, damp.		
0.1 to 0.4	SAND, clayey, yellow, medium grained, damp.		
0.4 to 0.6	CLAY, yellow, orange, fine grained, dry.		
0.6 to 1.3	CLAY, maroon, brown, orange, yellow, damp, fine grained.		
1.3 to 1.5	CLAY, white, fine grained, damp.		
1.5 to 1.6	CLAY, red and yellow, mottled clay, derived from Extremely Low		
	Strength Shale, damp.		

End of Test @ 1.6m in clay. No watertable encountered.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 5.

TEST: AH3	FIELD pH & PEROXIDE RESULTS				
Sample depth (m)	pH₅	30% Peroxide reaction	рН _{ғох}	pH _F . pH _{FOX}	SS=Shell J=Jarosite R=Roots
0.3	7.6	-	7.6	0.0	R
0.8	7.9	-	7.8	0.1	-
1.3	7.8	L	7.7	0.1	-
1.8	7.7	-	7.7	0.0	-

6. Conclusions

This report was carried out in accordance with the Field pH and Peroxide Test guidelines (ASSMAC, 1998).

No Acid Sulfate Soils were identified in the test holes. The pH_F levels tested in all auger holes did not fall lower than 4.9. This is above a PH of 4.0 that is an indicator of acid sulfate soils. No Potential Acid Sulfate Soils were identified in the test holes. The measured pH_F levels varied up to 0.4 from the measured pH_{FOX} levels. A movement of 1 unit or more is an indicator of potential acid sulfate soils. In addition, the measured pH_{FOX} for all tests did not fall lower than 4.8. A pH_{FOX} <3 is a strong indicator of potential acid sulfate soils. No observable colour change or sulphurous odours were identified during the peroxide testing. No reactions to peroxide testing were observed. It is likely the varying weak reactions to peroxide testing were due to inclusions in the soil other than sulphides as, where the reaction was strongest, pH_{FOX} changed little from pH_F as it did in most tests.

This preliminary assessment indicates that an Acid Sulfate Soils management plan is not required for the proposed works.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 6.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.

Ben White M.Sc. Geol.,

Bulut

AusIMM., CP GEOL.

No. 222757

Engineering Geologist.

Appendix 1: Soil Reaction Rating Scale

Rate of Reaction	Reaction Scale
Low	L
Medium	M
High	Н
Extreme	X
Volcanic	V

Source: DER (2015a)



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 7.



Photo 1: AH1 – Downhole is from top to bottom.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 8.



Photo 1: AH2 – Downhole is from top to bottom.



J3846A. 5th April, 2022. Page 9.



Photo 3: AH3 – Downhole is from top to bottom.