

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2022/0592	
Responsible Officer:	Kye Miles	
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 1 DP 589286, 50 A Pacific Parade MANLY NSW 2095	
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0139 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house	
Zoning:	Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R1 General Residential	
Development Permissible:	Yes	
Existing Use Rights:	No	
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council	
Land and Environment Court Action:	No	
Owner:	Claire Dominique Magee Niall Oliver Magee	
Applicant:	Christopher Jordan Pty Ltd	
Application Lodged:	08/11/2022	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Refer to Development Application	
Notified:	15/11/2022 to 29/11/2022	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposal comprises of a modification to approved development application DA2020/0139 for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling. The following modification works are proposed:

- Relocate ground floor fireplace towards rear of house.
- Replace rear windows with French doors and sidelights.
- New north facing balcony to rear first floor Bed 3.

Amended plans

Following an onsite inspection, it was identified that the proposed modifications to the dwelling windows were constructed. Recent case law means that Council can no longer approve modifications to a consent when the works have already been constructed. As such, amended plans were provided on 06 February 2023 to omit the constructed elements of the proposal. In accordance with the provisions of

MOD2022/0592 Page 1 of 17



the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan, as the amendments are considered to be minor and will result in a lesser environmental impact on nearby properties and the public domain, renotification of the application was not required. The amended plans and documentation were uploaded to Council's website. These amended details have been used to complete the assessment of this proposal.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 1 DP 589286 , 50 A Pacific Parade MANLY NSW 2095
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the northern side of Pacific Parade.
	The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 6.19m along Pacific Parade and a depth of 36.57m. The site has a surveyed area of 201.2m ² .
	The site is located within the R1 General Residential zone and accommodates an existing two storey attached dwelling.
	The front of the site contains one 4m tree and one 7m tree is located within the road reserve.
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

MOD2022/0592 Page 2 of 17



Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by similar two storey residential dwellings.

Мар:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- DA2020/0139 for alterations and additions to a dwelling house was approved by Council on the 22 June 2020.
- Mod2021/0706 for modification of development consent DA2020/0139 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house was approved on the 10 February 2021.
- Mod2021/0918 for modification of development consent DA2020/0139 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house was approved on the 14 March 2022.
- DA2022/0260 for alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a new driveway was approved by Council on the 01 April 2022.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the

MOD2022/0592 Page 3 of 17



applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA####/####, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
A consent authority may, on application being made by the act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subj regulations, modify the consent if:	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •
(a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The consent authority can be satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same as the development for which the consent was originally granted under DA2020/0139 for the following reasons: The works directly relate to the dwelling and previously approved works. The built form generally remains as approved. The works are within the existing approved building footprint and envelope.
(b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that consent, and	Development Application DA2020/0139 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with: (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021, and the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan.

MOD2022/0592 Page 4 of 17



Section 4.55 (2) - Other	Comments
Modifications	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for	Comments
Consideration'	
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any	See discussion on "Environmental Planning
environmental planning instrument	Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any	There are no current draft environmental planning
draft environmental planning instrument	instruments.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any	Manly Development Control Plan applies to this
development control plan	proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any	None applicable.
planning agreement	B 44 Biri o 64 EBSAB 14 0004
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the	Part 4, Division 2 of the EP&A Regulation 2021
Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 (EP&A Regulation 2021)	requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent.
Regulation 2021 (EP&A Regulation 2021)	These matters have been addressed via a condition
	of consent.
	of consent.
	Clauses 36 and 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2021
	allow Council to request additional information. No
	additional information was requested in this case.
	·
	Clause 69 of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the
	consent authority to consider insurance requirements
	under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has
	been addressed via a condition of consent.
	Clause 69 of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the
	consent authority to consider the provisions of the
	Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has
	been addressed via a condition of consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of	(i) Environmental Impact
the development, including environmental	The environmental impacts of the proposed
impacts on the natural and built environment	development on the natural and built environment
and social and economic impacts in the	are addressed under the Manly Development Control
locality	Plan section in this report.

MOD2022/0592 Page 5 of 17



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 15/11/2022 to 29/11/2022 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Environmental Health (Solid Fuel/Oil Heater)	General Comments Environmental Health have been requested to provide comment on this proposal for "Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0139 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house" in respect of the inclusion of a solid fuel heater. It is noted that the proposal documentation does not make mention of the make model or specification of the heater proposed to be installed. The plans supplied with the proposal indicate the flue to appropriately site however it appears that its intended height would not comply with
	Australian Standards which requires that the flue pipe will extended

MOD2022/0592 Page 6 of 17



Internal Referral Body	Comments			
	not less than 4.6m above the top of the floor protector. Despite this, the proposal is supported with the recommendation of appropriate conditions of consent to achieve compliance with Australian Standards.			
	Recommendation			
	SUPPORTED- subject to conditions			
NECC (Stormwater and Floodplain Engineering – Flood risk)	1	on generally complies with the flood controls e works involve modifying windows and a fir		
Strategic and Place Planning	HERITAGE COMMENTS	ΓS		
(Heritage Officer)	Discussion of reason for	or referral		
	The proposal has been in heritage items:	referred to Heritage as it adjoins two		
	Item I2 - All stone kerb	bs - Along Pacific Parade		
	Item I191 - Street trees - Pacific Parade			
	Details of heritage items affected			
	Item I2 - All Stone kerbs Statement of significance Stone kerbs are heritage listed. Physical description Sandstone kerbing to streets relating to paving and kerbing of streets in the nineteenth century. Mostly located within Manly Village area and adjacent lower slopes of Eastern Hill and Fairlight.			
	Item I191 - Street trees Statement of significance Listed for its aesthetic importance to the streetscape. Physical description Avenue planting of mixed tree species planted in carriageway. Species include Port Jackson Fig, Brush Box and Camphor Laurel.			
	Other relevant heritage listings			
	Sydney Regional No Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005			
	Australian Heritage Register	No		
	NSW State Heritage	No	\dashv	
	Register			
	National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register	No		

MOD2022/0592 Page 7 of 17



RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance Other No Consideration of Application The proposal seeks consent for modification to a consent (DA2020/0139) approved in June 2020 following another modification application - two modification applications (MOD2020/0706 and MOD2021/0918). The proposal involves modifications to the windows facing the side boundary and a new balcony to the rear, facing north. Given the modifications do not involve any works to the front elevation and the street facade, the additional impact of the proposal upon the significance of the heritage items and the streetscape is considered manageable. Therefore, Heritage raises no objections on heritage grounds require no conditions. Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013. Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No Has a CMP been provided? No Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No Further Comments	Internal Referral Body	Comments		
Consideration of Application The proposal seeks consent for modification to a consent (DA2020/0139) approved in June 2020 following another modification application - two modification applications (MOD2020/0706 and MOD2021/0918). The proposal involves modifications to the windows facing the side boundary and a new balcony to the rear, facing north. Given the modifications do not involve any works to the front elevation and the street facade, the additional impact of the proposal upon the significance of the heritage items and the streetscape is considered manageable. Therefore, Heritage raises no objections on heritage grounds require no conditions. Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013. Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No Has a CMP been provided? No Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No		Century Buildings of Significance		
The proposal seeks consent for modification to a consent (DA2020/0139) approved in June 2020 following another modification application - two modification applications (MOD2020/0706 and MOD2021/0918). The proposal involves modifications to the windows facing the side boundary and a new balcony to the rear, facing north. Given the modifications do not involve any works to the front elevation and the street facade, the additional impact of the proposal upon the significance of the heritage items and the streetscape is considered manageable. Therefore, Heritage raises no objections on heritage grounds require no conditions. Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013. Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No Has a CMP been provided? No Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No		Other	No	
(DA2020/0139) approved in June 2020 following another modification application - two modification applications (MOD2020/0706 and MOD2021/0918). The proposal involves modifications to the windows facing the side boundary and a new balcony to the rear, facing north. Given the modifications do not involve any works to the front elevation and the street facade, the additional impact of the proposal upon the significance of the heritage items and the streetscape is considered manageable. Therefore, Heritage raises no objections on heritage grounds require no conditions. Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013. Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No Has a CMP been provided? No Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No		Consideration of Applica	tion	
		The proposal seeks cons (DA2020/0139) approved modification application (MOD2020/0706 and MC modifications to the wind balcony to the rear, facing involve any works to the additional impact of the pheritage items and the standard require no conditions. Consider against the profile a Conservation Manager Has a CMP been provided is a Heritage Impact Standard Therefore, Impact Standard Register (PA)	sent for d in Juri- two no D202 lows far g north front e proposate see no o visions gement ged? No tement	ne 2020 following another nodification applications 1/0918). The proposal involves cing the side boundary and a new n. Given the modifications do not levation and the street facade, the all upon the significance of the ape is considered manageable. bjections on heritage grounds s of CL5.10 of Manly LEP 2013. Plan (CMP) Required? No

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A401431_03 dated 14 October 2022).

MOD2022/0592 Page 8 of 17



A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021

Chapter 2 - Coastal Management

The site is subject to Chapter 2 of the SEPP. Accordingly, an assessment under Chapter 2 has been carried out as follows:

Division 3 Coastal environment area 2.10 Development on land within the coastal environment area

- 1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
 - the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,
 - b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,
 - c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,
 - d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,
 - e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
 - f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
 - g) the use of the surf zone.

Comment:

The proposed works are not likely to cause an adverse impact upon the matters identified in this clause. The proposed alterations and additions do not discourage public access or amenity along the foreshore area nor impact on natural foreshore processes.

- 2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:
 - a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subsection (1), or
 - b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.

Comment:

Council is satisfied the proposed works are designed, and can be managed to avoid adverse impacts based on the matters identified in this clause.

MOD2022/0592 Page 9 of 17



Division 5 General

2.12 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land.

Comment:

Council is satisfied that the proposed development is unlikely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on the subject land or other land.

2.13 Development in coastal zone generally—coastal management programs to be considered

Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority has taken into consideration the relevant provisions of any certified coastal management program that applies to the land.

Comment:

Not applicable.

As such, it is considered that the application complies with the requirements of Chapter 2 of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Resilience and Hazards) 2021.

Chapter 4 – Remediation of Land

Sub-section 4.6 (1)(a) of Chapter 4 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under sub-section 4.6 (1)(b) and (c) of this Chapter and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development	opment consistent with:
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	7.9m	no changes	N/A	Yes
Floor Space Ratio	FSR: 0.6:1 (120.66m ²)	FSR: 0.75:1 (152.3m2)	no changes	N/A	Yes

MOD2022/0592 Page 10 of 17



Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.4 Floor space ratio	Yes
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	N/A
5.21 Flood planning	Yes
6.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Stormwater management	Yes
6.8 Landslide risk	Yes
6.12 Essential services	Yes

Manly Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Controls - Site	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Area: 201.2m ²				
4.1.2.1 Wall Height	E: 6.7m (based on gradient 1:30)	6.6m	6.6m	Yes
4.1.2.3 Roof Height	Height: 2.5m	1.2m	1.2m	Yes
	Pitch: maximum 35 degrees	26 degrees	26 degrees	Yes
4.1.4.1 Street Front Setbacks	Prevailing building line / 6m	4m, consistent with prevailing setback	4m, consistent with prevailing setback (no changes)	Yes
4.1.4.2 Side Setbacks and Secondary Street Frontages	E: 2.2m (based on wall height)	0.8m	1.2m (new works)	No
	Windows: 3m	0.9m	0.9m (no changes)	N/A
4.1.4.4 Rear Setbacks	8m	8.7m	11.2m	Yes
4.1.5.1 Minimum Residential Total Open Space Requirements Residential Open Space Area: OS3	Open space 55% of site area	31%	31% (no changes)	N/A
4.1.5.2 Landscaped Area	Landscaped area 35% of open space	55%	55% (no changes)	Yes
	1 native trees	1 trees	no changes	Yes
4.1.5.3 Private Open Space	18m / 12sqm per dwelling	39sqm	39sqm (no changes)	Yes
Schedule 3 Parking and Access	Dwelling 2 spaces	Nil	Nil (no changes)	N/A

MOD2022/0592 Page 11 of 17



Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)	Yes	Yes
3.3.1 Landscaping Design	Yes	Yes
3.3.2 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing	Yes	Yes
3.4.2 Privacy and Security	Yes	Yes
3.4.3 Maintenance of Views	Yes	Yes
3.4.4 Other Nuisance (Odour, Fumes etc.)	Yes	Yes
3.5.1 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
3.5.5 Landscaping	Yes	Yes
3.5.7 Building Construction and Design	Yes	Yes
3.7 Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes
3.8 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
3.10 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
4.1.2 Height of Buildings (Incorporating Wall Height, Number of Storeys & Roof Height)	Yes	Yes
4.1.3 Floor Space Ratio (FSR)	Yes	Yes
4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation	No	Yes
4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping	Yes	Yes
4.1.6 Parking, Vehicular Access and Loading (Including Bicycle Facilities)	Yes	Yes
4.1.7 First Floor and Roof Additions	Yes	Yes
4.1.8 Development on Sloping Sites	Yes	Yes
4.4.1 Demolition	Yes	Yes
4.4.2 Alterations and Additions	Yes	Yes
4.4.5 Earthworks (Excavation and Filling)	Yes	Yes
5.4.3 Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation

Description of non-compliance

The proposed development is numerically non-compliant with the requirements as sited under **4.1.4.2 Side setbacks and secondary street frontages**. This control stipulates the side setback distance to be one third of the wall height. The following side setbacks are applicable to the proposed development:

- Eastern boundary: 2.2m
- Western boundary: Nil (Semi-detached dwelling)

The proposed first floor balcony will step in from the approved side setbacks, which results in a technical non-compliance with minimum requirements prescribed under MDCP:

MOD2022/0592 Page 12 of 17



- Eastern boundary: 1.2m- Western boundary: 0.4m

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

Objective 1) To maintain and enhance the existing streetscape including the desired spatial proportions of the street, the street edge and the landscape character of the street.

Comment:

The proposed works are located towards the rear and will not be readily visible from the street.

Objective 2) To ensure and enhance local amenity by:

- providing privacy;
- providing equitable access to light, sunshine and air movement; and
- facilitating view sharing and maintaining adequate space between buildings to limit impacts on views and vistas from private and public spaces.
- defining and adding character to the streetscape including the provision of adequate space between buildings to create a rhythm or pattern of spaces; and
- facilitating safe and adequate traffic conditions including levels of visibility around corner lots at the street intersection.

Comment:

The proposed balcony will be accessed from a bedroom, which is not considered to be a high use room when applying the planning principal of *Meriton v Sydney City Council* [2004] NSWLEC 313 where overlooking from a living area is more objectionable than from a bedroom where people tend to spend less time. In addition, the balcony is small in size at $2.3m^2$ and can not accommodate numerous occupants. Further, the proposed balcony will include suitable privacy screening on both side elevations to restrict unacceptable cross viewing in to neighbouring private open space. In addition, the works have been designed and sited appropriately such that they will not have any unreasonable impact upon neighbouring property in terms of overshadowing, overlooking and view loss.

Objective 3) To promote flexibility in the siting of buildings.

Comment:

Flexibility is provided, in this instance, as the proposed development is confined to the existing building footprint.

Objective 4) To enhance and maintain natural features by:

- accommodating planting, including deep soil zones, vegetation consolidated across sites, native vegetation and native trees;
- ensuring the nature of development does not unduly detract from the context of the site and particularly in relation to the nature of any adjoining Open Space lands and National Parks; and
- ensuring the provisions of State Environmental Planning Policy No 19 Urban Bushland are satisfied.

MOD2022/0592 Page 13 of 17



Comment:

The proposal provides landscaped area which is consistent with the control for nearby dwellings and would maintain vegetation to the front of the site. This provides a reasonable circumstance for natural features.

Objective 5) To assist in appropriate bush fire asset protection zones.

Comment:

The subject site is not located within a bushfire asset protection zone.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of MDCP and the objectives specified in section 1.3(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2022

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is

MOD2022/0592 Page 14 of 17



considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2022/0592 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0139 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 1 DP 589286,50 A Pacific Parade, MANLY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1C - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp					
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By			
01A Site/Roof Plan RevE	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			
02A Ground Floor Plan RevF	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			
03A First Floor Plan RevF	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			
04A Elevations RevE	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			
05A Elevations RevF	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			
06A Sections RevE	03 February 2023	Christopher Jordan Architecture & Design			

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:					
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By			
BASIX Certificate No. A401431_03	14 October 2022	CJAD			

MOD2022/0592 Page 15 of 17



b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

B. Add Condition No.11 - Installation and certification of solid/fuel burning heater, to read as follows:

The solid fuel heater must be certified to comply with Australian Standards 4012 and 4013.

Provide to the Principal Certifier certification that the solid fuel heater has been installed by an appropriately experienced and qualified person, in accordance with the relevant provisions of AS2918 – Domestic Solid Fuel Burning Appliances – Installation.

Reason: To ensure the installation is completed in a legislatively compliant manner.

C. Add Condition No. 12 - Installation of solid/fuel burning heaters, to read as follows:

Installation work must be carried out by an appropriately experienced and qualified person and in accordance with the relevant provisions of AS2918:2018 – Domestic Solid Fuel Burning Appliances – Installation.

Reason: To ensure the installation is completed in a legislatively compliant manner.

D. Add Condition No. 13 - Maintenance of solid fuel heaters, to read as follows:

The owner/occupier shall ensure servicing of the heater is maintained according to the manufacturer's specifications.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

E. Add Condition No.14 - Operation of solid fuel burning heaters, to read as follows:

The owner/operator must take all practicable measures to prevent the likelihood of causing smoke and/or odour nuisances. Such measures must include:

- Using dry seasoned hardwood
- Storing wood in a dry well-ventilated place
- Having a hot and well oxygenated fire
- Ensuring that the chimney flue is clean
- Checking the chimney at different stages of the fire to see if there is any smoke
- Maintenance according to the manufacturer's specifications

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

MOD2022/0592 Page 16 of 17



M

Kye Miles, Planner

The application is determined on 10/02/2023, under the delegated authority of:

an

Adam Richardson, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2022/0592 Page 17 of 17