

---

**Sent:** 22/05/2023 1:18:30 PM  
**Subject:** DA 2023/0386

I am the owner and occupier of 6 Golf Parade Manly, which adjoins the rear of the site of the above development application diagonally.

I object to the application on the following grounds:

#### Noise

It is a matter of common experience that carwashes of the type proposed are very noisy, but no real attempt has been made in the Statement of Environmental Effects to address this issue.

For example, it is stated that the roof of the carwash exit driveway will be "insulated for acoustic attenuation" but no detail is given as to precisely what kind of insulation is proposed. And what degree of insulation will be provided by the masonry walls on the southern and western boundaries? Obviously, something more than normal building materials will be required to deal with the din produced by the carwash itself and other machinery, but there is no indication of what this might be. Drying equipment is particularly noisy. Is it proposed that electrical power be generated on-site? Vibration produced by generating equipment would be a further source of noise.

#### Pollution, fumes etc.

What provision is to be made for the venting of vehicle emissions and of fumes produced by any generating or other equipment within the exit driveway? This is a matter of particular concern to me as the southwestern corner of the site is specified as the location of "automatic wash equipment by others" (whatever this may be) and is immediately adjacent to the northeast corner of my property.

#### Excessive Height

The plans do not clearly indicate the precise height of the western and southern boundary walls and western and southern elevations, which I assume could be produced electronically, should be provided. The height of the southern wall is not stated and the height of the western wall is only given as approximately 3m. If the walls are as high as the present plans suggest, they should be significantly reduced so that loss of light is minimised and neighbouring properties are not overwhelmed, as if one were living next to a gaol. There is no need for the exit way to have a roof as high as the carwash itself.

The material supplied by the proponent is inadequate to enable the Council to determine the application. In particular, an acoustic report should be provided. I assume that Council will provide copies of any further documentation, giving me the opportunity to further object, should that be necessary.

Yours faithfully,

Robert Ellis.