

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

| Application Number:                | DA2018/1985   |
|------------------------------------|---|
|                                    |   |
| Responsible Officer:               | Seth Dias   |
| Land to be developed (Address):    | Lot 1 DP 1170245, 0 Wharves And Jetties MANLY NSW 2095      |
| Proposed Development:              | Construction of replacement business identification signage |
| Zoning:                            |   |
| Development Permissible:           |   |
| Existing Use Rights:               | No  |
| Consent Authority:                 | Northern Beaches Council                                    |
| Land and Environment Court Action: | No  |
| Owner:                             | Roads & Maritime Services<br>TMG Developments Pty Ltd       |
| Applicant:                         | Milestone (Aust) Pty Ltd                                    |

| Application lodged:       | 17/12/2018               |
|---------------------------|--------------------------|
| Integrated Development:   | No                       |
| Designated Development:   | No                       |
| State Reporting Category: | Commercial/Retail/Office |
| Notified:                 | 28/12/2018 to 29/01/2019 |
| Advertised:               | Not Advertised           |
| Submissions Received:     | 0                        |
| Recommendation:           | Approval                 |

| Estimated Cost of Works: \$10,000.00 |              |
|--------------------------------------|--------------|
|                                      | \$ 10,000.00 |

## **ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION**

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;



- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

# SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 5.10 Heritage conservation Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013 - 6.10 Limited development on foreshore area

# SITE DESCRIPTION

| Property Description:      | Lot 1 DP 1170245 , 0 Wharves And Jetties MANLY NSW 2095   |
|----------------------------|---|
| Detailed Site Description: | The subject site consists of a retail premises within Lot one<br>(1) in Deposited Plan 1170245 commonly known as the<br>Manly Wharf. The site is on the southern side of the East<br>Esplanade. This means it has multiple pedestrian access<br>points from the street/Manly Corso and from the ferry<br>terminal.  |
|                            | The proposed site within the Wharf is known as Shop 24 and Shop 24a.  |
|                            | The area of the site where the proposed development is located is within the boundaries defined as the Manly Wharf Lease Line and the line of the Mean High Water Mark.   |
|                            | This site has an estimated area of 13,405m2. The subject<br>site is located in Zone W2 Environmental Protection under<br>SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment), 2005 and<br>accommodates an ALDI grocery shop. The ALDI is irregular<br>in shape with a frontage within the Manly Wharf retail<br>area. Being built on the Manly Wharf the site is completely<br>flat and does not contain any significant vegetation.    |
|                            | Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding<br>Development  |
|                            | Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by<br>a mixture of uses. The wharf contains other retail and food<br>premises and the ferry terminal (used for public transport) is<br>just to the south of this lot. Across the East Esplanade is a<br>mixture of shops and residential apartments in the area<br>known as Manly Corso. There is also outdoor recreational<br>space to the east of the lot. |

Map:





# SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- 10.2004.396.1: Refurbishment of an existing retail to new retail Aldi Supermarket
- 10.2013.150.1: Internal alterations to the existing Aldi Store Manly Wharf Shop 24A
- 10.2013.265.1: Extension of the existing Aldi store into an adjoining retail tenancy with internal alterations Shops 24 and 24A Manly Wharf
- 10.2015.59.1: Removal and replacement of the existing mechanical plant equipment within the existing mechanical plant room Aldi Manly Wharf

# PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This Development Application seeks consent to replace the existing signs above the entrance to the ALDI store on Manly Wharf. The new sign is  $3.83 \text{m} \times 0.6 \text{m}$  with a total area of  $2.2980 \text{m}^2$ . It is internally illuminated and will represent the ALDI logo and text. It is to be placed to the top hamper of the store entrance and frontage.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)**

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.15 Matters for<br>Consideration'                                    | Comments   |
|---|--|
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument | See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any                                  | None applicable.   |



| Section 4.15 Matters for<br>Consideration'  | Comments  |
|---|---|
| draft environmental planning instrument   |   |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan  | Manly Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.  |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement   | None applicable.  |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the<br>Environmental Planning and Assessment<br>Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000) | <u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.   |
|   | <u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.  |
|   | <u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000,<br>Council requested additional information and has<br>therefore considered the number of days taken in this<br>assessment in light of this clause within the Regulations.<br>No additional information was requested. |
|   | <u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This clause is not relevant to this application.  |
|   | <u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000<br>requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading<br>of a building (including fire safety upgrade of<br>development). This clause is not relevant to this<br>application.                                   |
|   | <u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.   |
|   | <u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.  |
|   | <u>Clause 143A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.                                     |
| Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built          | (i) <b>Environmental Impact</b><br>The environmental impacts of the proposed development<br>on the natural and built environment are addressed under  |



| Section 4.15 Matters for<br>Consideration'   | Comments   |
|--|--|
| environment and social and economic impacts in the locality                                  | the Manly Development Control Plan section in this report.   |
|  | <ul> <li>(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. </li> <li>(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of</li></ul> |
|  | the existing and proposed land use.  |
| Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development                       | The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.  |
| Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions<br>made in accordance with the EPA Act or<br>EPA Regs | See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.  |
| Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest   | No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.  |

## **EXISTING USE RIGHTS**

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

## **BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND**

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

## **NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

## MEDIATION

No requests for mediation have been made in relation to this application.

# REFERRALS

| Internal Referral Body | Comments   |
|------------------------|--|
| (Heritage Officer)     | <b>Updated comments 26/03/2019:</b> A Heritage Exemption letter from the NSW Office of Environment & Heritage has been provided. This document endorses the proposed signage as a minor development and as such no further referral or approval is required from the OEH |



| Internal Referral Body | Comments  |
|------------------------|---|
|                        | Original Comments:  |
|                        | Further to a review of available documents and a site visit   |
|                        | The application is deemed to be a "prescribed DA" under the NSW Heritage Act 1977, i.e. requires approvals under that Act.  |
|                        | Note that in case of any inconsistency in assessment under EPA Act (Council) and the Heritage Act (NSW OEH), in heritage matters the assessment under the Heritage Act will prevail to the extent of the inconsistency (refer to NSW Heritage Act, Clause 68 – Consistency of approvals: "An approval given by a consent authority to a prescribed application is, to the extent of any inconsistency with the Heritage Council's determination of the application, void.") |
|                        | Therefore, we will have to include NSW OEH opinions in the assessment process and assess the proposal in concurrence with the NSW OEH.  |
|                        | The following additional information is required to fully assess the impact of the current proposal:  |
|                        | - determination by NSW OEH under NSW Heritage Act.  |
|                        | Please re-refer this application upon receiving the requested additional information.   |
|                        | Kind Regards<br>Zoran Popovic  Heritage Advisor   |
|                        |   |

| External Referral Body | Comments   |
|------------------------|--|
|                        | The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended. |

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)\***

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

## State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans



# (SREPs)

# SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

## SEPP 64 - Advertising and Signage

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1)(a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

The objectives of the policy aim to ensure that the proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of the locality, provides effective communication and is of high quality having regards to both design and finishes.

In accordance with the provisions stipulated under Schedule 1 of SEPP 64, the following assessment is provided:

| Matters for Consideration  | Comment   | Complies |
|--|---|----------|
| <b>1. Character of the area</b><br>Is the proposal compatible with the<br>existing or desired future character of<br>the area or locality in which it is<br>proposed to be located?  | The proposed signage is compatible with the existing and desired future of the Manly Wharf.<br>The minimal extent of the signage and support from the NSW Office of Environment and Heritage (through the heritage Exemption Letter) further justify this fact. | YES      |
| Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?  | The Manly Wharf has signage associated with<br>almost every business located on the site. Given<br>the use and size of the area, the proposed<br>signage is consistent with the rest of the site.   | YES      |
| <b>2. Special areas</b><br>Does the proposal detract from the<br>amenity or visual quality of any<br>environmentally sensitive areas,<br>heritage areas, natural or other<br>conservation areas, open space areas,<br>waterways, rural landscapes or<br>residential areas? | The proposal is within the Manly Wharf. The NSW<br>OEH deemed the proposal as minor development<br>and as such issued a heritage exemption letter for<br>the development  | YES      |
| <b>3. Views and vistas</b><br>Does the proposal obscure or<br>compromise important views?  | The proposal is within the existing Manly Wharf building envelope and would not have any unreasonable impact on views or vistas.  | YES      |
| Does the proposal dominate the skyline<br>and reduce the quality of vistas?  | The proposal is within the existing Manly Wharf<br>building envelope and would not have any<br>unreasonable impact on vistas relating to the  | YES      |



|   | skyline.   |     |
|---|--|-----|
| Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?  | The proposed sign is on the hamper above the<br>entrance to the ALDI store. it will not obstruct any<br>other signage and respects the viewing rights of<br>other advertisers. | YES |
| <b>4. Streetscape, setting or landscape</b><br>Is the scale, proportion and form of the<br>proposal appropriate for the<br>streetscape, setting or landscape?   | Given the context of this part of Manly wharf<br>(various retail uses), the proposed scale<br>proportion and form of signage is appropriate.                                   | YES |
| Does the proposal contribute to the<br>visual interest of the streetscape,<br>setting or landscape?   | The proposal is contained to the interior of the wharf area. it brings dark tones and illumination, thus providing visual interest.  | YES |
| Does the proposal reduce clutter by<br>rationalising and simplifying existing<br>advertising?   | The proposed sign is minimalist through its use of colour and illumination   | YES |
| Does the proposal screen<br>unsightliness?  | No, the sign is minimal in size and appropriately located.   | YES |
| Does the proposal protrude above<br>buildings, structures or tree canopies in<br>the area or locality?  | No   | YES |
| <b>5. Site and building</b><br>Is the proposal compatible with the<br>scale, proportion and other<br>characteristics of the site or building, or<br>both, on which the proposed signage is<br>to be located?  | Given the context of this part of Manly wharf<br>(various retail uses), the proposed scale<br>proportion and form of signage is appropriate.                                   | YES |
| Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?  | Yes, the proposal was supported by the NSW<br>OEH through a heritage exemption document.   | YES |
| Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?   | The signage shows innovation and imagination in relation to the site and building.   | YES |
| 6. Associated devices and logos<br>with advertisements and advertising<br>structures<br>Have any safety devices, platforms,<br>lighting devices or logos been designed<br>as an integral part of the signage or<br>structure on which it is to be<br>displayed? | N/A  | YES |
| <b>7. Illumination</b><br>Would illumination result in<br>unacceptable glare, affect safety for<br>pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft, detract<br>from the amenity of any residence or<br>other form of accommodation?   | The proposed sign is indoors and minimally illuminated. The surrounding uses ensure that a sign of this nature would be appropriate.   | YES |
| Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?  | Yes, the signs can be turned off.  | YES |
| Is the illumination subject to a curfew?  | The store has consent for opening hours, the illumination should be within said hours.   | YES |



| <b>8. Safety</b><br>Would the proposal reduce the safety<br>for any public road, pedestrians or<br>bicyclists?          | No. | YES |
|---|-----|-----|
| Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas? | No. | YES |

Accordingly, the proposed signage is considered to be of a scale and design suitable for the locality. The proposal is therefore deemed to be consistent with the provisions of the SEPP and its underlying objectives.

# SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

## <u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

## Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

## Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005

The proposed works are located in Zone No W2 Environment Protection under the Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005.

The objectives of this zone are as follows:

(a) to protect the natural and cultural values of waters in this zone,

(b) to prevent damage or the possibility of longer term detrimental impacts to the natural and cultural values of waters in this zone and adjoining foreshores,

(c) to give preference to enhancing and rehabilitating the natural and cultural values of waters in this zone and adjoining foreshores,

(d) to provide for the long-term management of the natural and cultural values of waters in this zone and adjoining foreshores.

## Comment:

The proposed signage is of a scale and extent that would ensure consistency with these objectives,



subject to conditions.

The subject property is located within the Sydney Harbour Catchment therefore the provisions of this plan apply to this development.

An assessment of the proposal against Clause 2(1) (aims of the SREP), Clause 13 (nominated planning principles) and Clause 21 (relating to biodiversity, ecology and environmental protection) has been undertaken. The proposal is considered to be consistent with the above provisions of the SREP. Given the scale of the proposed modification and the works proposed referral to the Foreshores and Waterways Planning and Development Advisory Committee was not considered necessary.

## Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

| Is the development permissible?  |  |  |
|--|--|--|
| After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with: |  |  |
| aims of the LEP?   |  |  |
| zone objectives of the LEP?  |  |  |

## Principal Development Standards

Manly Wharf is located outside the land application map for the Manly LEP 2013.

The proposal is in Zone W2- Environment Protection for under the the Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005.

The proposed development is land / water interface development under the Sydney Regional Environmental Plan (Sydney Harbour Catchment) 2005 and in accordance with clause 5 Council is the consent authority.

The subject site is located in Zone W2 Environmental Protection under SREP (Sydney Harbour Catchment), 2005.

The proposed development is not listed as a permissible use within clause 18 and as a result an assessment pursuant to clause 18(2) is necessary to consider the permissibility of the development.

Clause 18(2) states the following:

"(2) Despite subclause (1), development not referred to in the Table to this clause may be carried out with development consent, but only if the consent authority is satisfied that the development: (a) is not inconsistent with the aims of this plan or the objectives of the zone in which it is proposed to be carried out, and

(b) is not inconsistent with any other environmental planning instrument that applies to the land, and (c) will not otherwise have any adverse impacts."

With regard to the above, the assessment is the proposed modification is acceptable given it is consistent with surrounding uses and maintains consistency with the zone objectives. As such the development is permissible with development consent.

#### Compliance Assessment

| Clause | Compliance with |
|--------|-----------------|
|        | Requirements    |



| Clause                                     | Compliance with<br>Requirements |
|--|---------------------------------|
| 3.1 Exempt development                     | Yes                             |
| 5.7 Development below mean high water mark | Yes                             |
| 5.10 Heritage conservation                 | Yes                             |
| 6.10 Limited development on foreshore area | Yes                             |
| Schedule 5 Environmental heritage          | Yes                             |

#### **Detailed Assessment**

#### 5.10 Heritage conservation

#### Comment:

The proposed development is a minor replacement of a sign on the Manly Wharf. It is deemed by the Office of Environment and Heritage as a minor development and through this decision we can see that the development will have any impacts on the conservation of the significant heritage item.

Objectives A and B of the control directly relate to this development:

#### (a) to conserve the environmental heritage of Manly,

(b) to conserve the heritage significance of heritage items and heritage conservation areas, including associated fabric, settings and views,

## Comment:

We can see that the development is minor enough and as such will not impact on the conservation of this significant heritage area. However, subclause (2) of the control states that:

Development consent is required for any of the following:

(a) demolishing or moving any of the following or altering the exterior of any of the following (including, in the case of a building, making changes to its detail, fabric, finish or appearance):

(i) a heritage item,

(ii) an Aboriginal object,

(iii) a building, work, relic or tree within a heritage conservation area,

As such consent is needed for this development to be considered permissible. The Development Application contains a heritage exemption letter issued by the New South Wales Office of Environment and Heritage. This document outlines that the development is minor in nature and as such no further approval or examination will be needed to ensure the development does not impact on the heritage status of the site. This document and its requirements form part of this consent as seen in the conditions of consent. As such, subclauses (5) and (6) are no longer required.

## 6.10 Limited development on foreshore area

The Development Application seeks to replace an existing sign at the ALDI on Manly wharf. As such it is subject to section (a) of subclause (2) of this control and responses to subclause (3) must be completed:



(2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land in the foreshore area except for the following purposes:

(a) the extension, alteration or rebuilding of an existing building wholly or partly in the fores

(3) Development consent must not be granted under this clause unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) the development will contribute to achieving the objectives for the zone in which the lan See LEP Principal Development Standards.
- (b) the appearance of any proposed structure, from both the waterway and adjacent foresh. The development will not be visible from any section of waterway or adjacent foreshore
- (c) the development will not cause environmental harm such as:
  - *(i) pollution or siltation of the waterway, or*
  - (ii) an adverse effect on surrounding uses, marine habitat, wetland areas, fauna ar
  - (iii) an adverse effect on drainage patterns:As it is for signage, the development is not considered to cause any environme
- (d) the development will not cause congestion or generate conflict between people using o The development will not obstruct any open spaces or waterways.
- (e) opportunities to provide continuous public access along the foreshore and to the waterv The sign will not obstruct any public access to the foreshore area.
- (f) any historic, scientific, cultural, social, archaeological, architectural, natural or aesthetic The development will have a minor impact on the area, the new sign is relatively similar maintained.
- (g) in the case of development for the alteration or rebuilding of an existing building wholly appearance of the foreshore:
   The development will have a minor, positive impact on the aesthetic quality of the land.
- (*h*) sea level rise or change of flooding patterns as a result of climate change has been cor. This Application is for a sign above an existing retail premises, climate change and sea

As such this proposal is within the relevant outcomes of this control and is considered to be acceptable, in this instance.

## Manly Development Control Plan

#### Compliance Assessment

|                             |     | Consistency<br>Aims/Objectives |
|-----------------------------|-----|--------------------------------|
| 3.2 Heritage Considerations | Yes | Yes                            |
| 4.4.3 Signage               | Yes | Yes                            |



|  | -   | Consistency<br>Aims/Objectives |
|--|-----|--------------------------------|
| Schedule 1 – Maps accompanying the DCP | Yes | Yes                            |

# THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

## **CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN**

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

## **POLICY CONTROLS**

## Manly Section 94 Development Contributions Plan

#### CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

#### RECOMMENDATION



THAT Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2018/1985 for Construction of replacement business identification signage on land at Lot 1 DP 1170245, 0 Wharves And Jetties, MANLY, subject to the conditions printed below:

# **DEVELOPMENT CONSENT OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS**

# 1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Approved Plans

| Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp |            |                |  |
|---|------------|----------------|--|
| Drawing No.   | Dated      | Prepared By    |  |
| A1 01 A Site Plan                                   | 15/08/2018 | Conrad Gargett |  |
| A1 10 A Shopfront Elevation - proposed              | 15/08/2018 | Conrad Gargett |  |

| Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within: |            |   |  |
|--|------------|---|--|
| Report No. / Page No. / Section No.  | Dated      | Prepared By                             |  |
| Statement of Environmental Effects   | 13/12/2018 | Milestone Town Planning                 |  |
| Heritage Exemption Letter<br>DOC18/619618  | 30/10/2018 | NSW Office of<br>Environment & Heritage |  |

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Deferred Commencement Conditions of this consent as approved in writing by Council.

c) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

d) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

In the event of any inconsistency between conditions of this consent and the drawings/documents referred to above, the conditions of this consent will prevail.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

2. Compliance with Other Department, Authority or Service Requirements

The development must be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements, excluding general advice, within the following:

| EDMS Reference | Dated      |
|----------------|------------|
|                | 30/10/2018 |
|                |            |



(NOTE: For a copy of the above referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website <u>www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au</u>)

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of other Department, Authority or Body's.

## 3. **Prescribed Conditions**

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
  - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifying Authority for the work, and
  - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
  - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.

- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
  - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
    - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
    - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
  - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
    - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
    - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifying Authority for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
  - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
  - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
  - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the



footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.

(iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative Requirement

# 4. General Requirements

(a) Unless authorised by Council:

Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:

- 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
- 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
- No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

• 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) At all times after the submission the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of a final Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (c) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.
- (d) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (e) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the Long Service Levy is required. This payment can be made at Council or to the Long Services Payments Corporation. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$25,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.35% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
- (f) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (g) No building, demolition, excavation or material of any nature and no hoist, plant and machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths,



roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.

- (h) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (i) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.) or on the land to be developed shall be removed or damaged during construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (j) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
  - i) Building/s that are to be erected
  - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
  - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
  - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
  - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (k) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
  - (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2008
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
- (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
- (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
- (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.



# FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

#### 5. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$1,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

# CONDITIONS TO BE SATISFIED PRIOR TO THE ISSUE OF THE CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

## 6. Compliance with Standards

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifying Authority prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

## Signed

Seth Dias, Planner

The application is determined on 29/03/2019, under the delegated authority of:



Na

Matthew Edmonds, Manager Development Assessments