

# APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2018/0589			
Responsible Officer:	Catriona Shirley			
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 6 DP 31077, 9 Evelyn Place BELROSE NSW 2085			
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2018/0474 grante for alterations and additions to existing dwelling house			
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential			
Development Permissible:	Yes			
Existing Use Rights:	No			
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council			
Land and Environment Court Action:	No			
Owner:	Craig Stephen Dobson Anna Elisabeth Dobson			
Applicant:	Anna Elisabeth Dobson			

Application lodged:	06/11/2018			
Integrated Development:	No			
Designated Development:	No			
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions			
Notified:	09/11/2018 to 27/11/2018			
Advertised:	Not Advertised			
Submissions Received:	1			
Recommendation:	Approval			

## **ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION**

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;



- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

## SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Development Control Plan - B1 Wall Heights Warringah Development Control Plan - D8 Privacy

## SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 6 DP 31077, 9 Evelyn Place BELROSE NSW 2085				
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site is legally titled Lot: 6 DP 31077 and known as 9 Evelyn Place Belrose. The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone.				
	The site has a surveyed area of 702.4sqm. The property is irregular in shape with a splayed frontage of 11.885m along Evelyn Place. The northern side boundary of has two lengths being, 33.08m and 23.165m. The rear of the site measures 10.975m and the south/west side boundary measures 40.245m.				
	The site is relatively flat with the storm-water currently draining to the street.				
	The site currently contains a two storey dwelling with an inground swimming pool. Established gardens also exist onsite.				
	Adjoining and surrounding development are characterised by one and two storey dwelling houses of varying ages with established gardens.				

Map:





## SITE HISTORY

A search of Council's records has revealed the following the following applications:

- Application DA2018/0474 for Alterations and Additions was approved by Council on the 1 June 2018
- Application CDC2017/0781 for Internal Alteration CDC703347 was received by Council on the 30/11/2017

## PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The modification seeks the approval to undertake the following changes;

- The roof design is redesigned to provide a flat pitched roof
- New polycarbonate roof added to the existing rear deck
- New posts for the roof structure over the rear deck
- Two windows on the eastern elevation are to be removed
- Re-positioned first floor windows on the western elevation
- Re-positioned windows on the southern elevation
- Re-positioned windows on the northern elevation

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)**

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:



- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2018/0474, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments				
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:					
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	<b>Yes</b> The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact.				
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2018/0474.				
<ul><li>(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:</li><li>(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or</li></ul>	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Warringah Local Environment Plan 2011 and Warringah Development Control Plan.				
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and					
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.				

## Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development



the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Assessment Act, 1979, are:				
Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	None applicable.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	Division 8A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000, Council requested additional information and has therefore considered the number of days taken in this assessment in light of this clause within the Regulations. No Additional information was requested.			
	<u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
	<u>Clauses 93 and/or 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent/This clause is not relevant to this application.			
	<u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.			
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) <b>Environmental Impact</b> The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report.			



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments		
	(ii) <b>Social Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.		
	(iii) <b>Economic Impact</b> The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.		
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.		
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.		
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.		

## EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

## **BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND**

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

## **NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED**

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 1 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mr Varoujan John Hajakian	42 Pringle Avenue BELROSE NSW 2085

The following issues were raised in the submissions and each have been addressed below:

• Privacy

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

Concerns have been raised in regards to potential privacy impacts from the the eastern
elevation window openings

Comment:

The modification includes the removal of the first floor windows on the eastern elevation. Therefore, improving the level of privacy to adjoining properties.



The previously approved first floor windows (W1 and W2) on the western elevation remain, but are being re-positioned. The high-sil louvered windows are adjoining an ensuite and utility room. Both these rooms are considered low usage rooms minimising any potential privacy impacts.

The windows on the ground floor have been approved under a Complying Development Certificate (CDC2018/0781) and do not form a part of this application.

In this regard, the privacy impacts will not be unreasonable and does not warrant the amendment or refusal of the application.

## MEDIATION

No requests for mediation have been made in relation to this application.

## REFERRALS

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

## **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)\***

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

# State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

## SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

## SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007



## <u>Ausgrid</u>

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

## Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

## Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

## Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	7.9m	8.1m	N/A	Yes

#### **Compliance Assessment**

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.3 Flood planning	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

## Warringah Development Control Plan

#### **Built Form Controls**

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	6.9	7.7m	No
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	4m	No encroachment	No change	Yes



	4m	No encroachment	No change	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	0.9m North	3.4m - 7.8m	No change	Yes
	0.9m South	7.0m	No change	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m	16.8m	No change	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6m	19.2m	No Change	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	40%	Unchanged	As per existing provision	N/A

## **Compliance Assessment**

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	No	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	Yes	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C5 Erosion and Sedimentation	Yes	Yes
C6 Building over or adjacent to Constructed Council Drainage Easements	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes
E11 Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes

## **Detailed Assessment**

## **B1 Wall Heights**

Description of non-compliance



The change is wall height from 6.9m to 7.7m is due to the change in the roof design to a skillion roof. The wall exceeds to 7.2m requirement at the heighest point of the of the skillion roof, which is an 7% variation with the standard.

## Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To minimise the visual impact of development when viewed from adjoining properties, streets, waterways and land zoned for public recreation purposes.

#### Comment:

The visual impact of development when viewed from public places will be acceptable given the satisfactory level of articulation of the resultant built form, including recessive building elements and high quality external materials and finishes.

• To ensure development is generally beneath the existing tree canopy level

#### Comment:

The development is not of a height which would exceed the maximum height of trees.

• To provide a reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.

## Comment:

The proposed development is generally consistent with the adjoining and surrounding dwellings in relation to height (overall) and bulk and scale, ensuring reasonable sharing of views are maintained.

• To minimise the impact of development on adjoining or nearby properties.

#### Comment:

The proposed minor variation and is due to the skillion roof. It is considered that the articulated design ensures the visual impact of the development is minimised ensuring compliance with this merit consideration.

 To ensure that development responds to site topography and to discourage excavation of the natural landform.

#### Comment:

The proposed alteration and additions to the existing dwelling house are constrained by the citing of the existing property and the gentle slope of the site.

The current dwelling house development is proposed over the existing footprint of the dwelling. It



is considered that the articulated design responds to the site topography ensuring compliance with this merit consideration.

• To provide sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation in roof design.

#### Comment:

The proposed new roof designs demonstrate sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation to ensure consistency with this merit consideration.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of WLEP 2011, WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

#### **D8 Privacy**

The modification includes the removal of the first floor windows on the eastern elevation. Therefore, improving the level of privacy to adjoining properties.

The previously approved first floor windows (W1 and W2) on the western elevation remain, but are being re-positioned. The high-sil louvered windows are adjoining an ensuite and utility room. Both these rooms are considered low usage rooms minimising any potential privacy impacts.

The windows on the first floor of the southern and northern elevation are to be reduced in size and repositioned.

The windows on the ground floor have been approved under a Complying Development Certificate (CDC2018/0781) and do not form a part of this application.

In this regard, the privacy impacts will not be unreasonable it is considered that the modification is consistent with the objectives.

## THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

## **CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN**

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

## **POLICY CONTROLS**

#### Northern Beaches Council Contributions Plan 2018

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

## CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:



- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

#### RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2018/0589 for Modification of Development Consent DA2018/0474 granted for alterations and additions to existing dwelling house on land at Lot 6 DP 31077,9 Evelyn Place, BELROSE, subject to the conditions printed below:

# A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp				
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By		
Site Plan	September 2018	JAH Design Service		
Proposed ground floor	September 2018	JAH Design Service		
Proposed first floor	September 2018	JAH Design Service		



East and West Elevations	September 2018	JAH Design Service
South and North Elevations	September 2018	JAH Design Service
Section	September 2018	JAH Design Service

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

**Catriona Shirley, Planner** 

The application is determined on 13/12/2018, under the delegated authority of:

Arch

Steven Findlay, Manager Development Assessments