

Bureau SRH Pty Limited

4 Minna Close, Belrose, NSW, 2085

Noise Impact Assessment

20E-22-0008-TRP-38122-0

26 July 2022



| Job Title: 4 Minna Close | | | 4 Minna Close, Belrose, | Belrose, NSW, 2085 | | | |
|--------------------------|--------------------|------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|-------------|--|
| Report T | itle: | | Noise Impact Assessme | ent | | | |
| Docume | nt Refe | rence: | 20E-22-0008-TRP-3812 | 2-0 | | | |
| Prepared | Prepared For: | | | Prepared E | By: | | |
| Bureau SR | H Pty Lir | nited | | Vipac Engine | ers and Scientists Limit | ed | |
| Studio 3 | | | | 3 Sir Thomas | Mitchell Road, | | |
| 2 Verona S | Street | | | Chester Hill, | NSW 2162, | | |
| Paddingtor | n, New S | outh Wales | , 2021, Australia | Australia | | | |
| Contact: A | Akshat Pa | atil | | | | | |
| Tel: | | | | Tel: +61 2 9422 4222 | | | |
| Author: | Author: Nick Lynar | | r | Consulting Engineer | | | |
| | | 26 July 20 |)22 | | | | |
| Reviewe | r: | Vasos Ale | xandrou | | Associate Di | rector | |
| | | 26 Jul 202 | 22 | | | | |
| Issued B | y: | Nick Lyna | r | that hyper | Consulting E | ngineer | |
| 26 Jul 202 | | 26 Jul 202 | 22 | | | | |
| Revision | Histor | y: | | | - | | |
| Rev. # | Comm | nents / De | tails of change(s) made | | Date | Revised by: | |
| Rev. 00 | Origin | al issue | | | 26 Jul 22 | | |

NOTE: This report has been prepared solely for the benefit of the client to whom this report is addressed for use herein ("Client") unless otherwise agreed in writing by Vipac Engineers and Scientists Limited ACN 005 453 627 ("Vipac"). Neither the whole of this report or any part of it may be published, duplicated or circulated without the prior written approval of Vipac except as required by law. Vipac does not assume any responsibility or liability for any losses suffered as a result of the publication, duplication or circulation of this report and excludes all liability whatsoever to any third party who may use or rely on the whole, or any part of this report.

Vipac has prepared this report using all reasonable care, skill and due diligence within the time period, budget and resources allocated to Vipac as agreed with the Client. Vipac excludes all liability to the Client whatsoever, whether in whole or in part, for the Client's use or reliance on the report other than for the purposes set out in the report, or any matters outside the agreed scope of the work.

For the purposes of preparing this report, reliance has been placed upon the material, representations, information and instructions provided to Vipac unless otherwise stated in the report. Originals of documents provided have not been required and no audit or examination of the validity of the documentation, representations, information or instructions provided has been undertaken except to the extent otherwise stated in this report. Information and findings contained in this report are based on Vipac's interpretation of data collected.

This document contains commercial, conceptual, engineering and other information that is proprietary to Vipac. The inclusion of this information in the report does not grant the Client any license to use the information without Vipac's prior written permission.



Executive Summary

Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd. (Vipac) was commissioned by Bureau SRH Pty Ltd to provide an Operational Noise Impact Assessment for the proposed bottling plant development located at 4 Minna Close, Belrose, I NSW 2142.

The following references were used in this assessment.

- Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) NSW Industrial Policy for Industry (NPfI), October 2017;
- NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP);
- Australian Standard AS 1055-1997- "Acoustics Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise, Part 1-General Procedure".
- Northern Beaches DCP: Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 (DCP).
- Architectural drawings in Table 2-1

Vipac has conducted an operational noise impact assessment for a proposed bottling plant development located at 4 Minna Close, Belrose. Based on predicted noise levels and an assessment using relevant New South Wales environmental noise criteria, the proposed development is expected to comply with noise requirements, provided the information and assumptions in this report are implemented. No additional noise controls are required for the site to comply.



Table of Contents

| 1 | | Intro | oduction | 5 |
|-----|-----|--------|------------------|---------------------------|
| 2 | | Refe | rences | |
| 3 | | Proje | ect Developm | ent 5 |
| 3.1 | | Site [| Description | 5 |
| 3.2 | | Propo | sed Project De | escription6 |
| 4 | | Envi | ronmental No | ise Survey |
| 4.1 | | Metho | odology & Inst | rumentation8 |
| 5 | | Nois | e Criteria | |
| 5.1 | | NSW | Noise Policy fo | r Industry 20179 |
| | 5.1 | L.1 | Project Specific | Noise Emission Goals |
| 5.2 | | NSW | OEH Road Noi | se Policy10 |
| | 5.2 | 2.1 | Road Noise Pol | icy Levels |
| 5.3 | | Sleep | Disturbance | |
| | 5.3 | | | isturbance Levels |
| 6 | | Nois | e Assessmen | t |
| 6.1 | | Deliv | ery Trucks & L | bading Docks |
| 6.2 | | Ware | house Constru | ction Details13 |
| 6.3 | | Noise | Impact Asses | sment |
| 6.4 | | Sleep | Disturbance | |
| 6.5 | | Mech | anical Plant | |
| 6.6 | | Incre | ased Traffic No | bise |
| 7 | | Conc | lusion | |
| | | | Appendix A | Glossary of Terminology15 |
| | | | Appendix B | Noise Monitoring Graph16 |



Vipac Engineers and Scientists Ltd. (Vipac) was commissioned by Bureau SRH Pty Ltd to provide a Noise Impact Assessment for the proposed bottling plant facility located at 4 Minna Close, Belrose, NSW 2142.

2 References

The following references were used in this assessment.

- Environmental Protection Authority (EPA) NSW Industrial Policy for Industry (NPfI), October 2017;
- NSW Road Noise Policy (RNP);
- Australian Standard AS 1055-1997- "Acoustics Description and Measurement of Environmental Noise, Part 1-General Procedure".
- Northern Beaches DCP: Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 (DCP).
- Architectural drawings in Table 2-1

| | | | - | |
|---------------------|--------------|----------|-------------------------|-----------|
| Drawing Name | Sheet Number | Revision | Prepared By | Dated |
| Basement Plan | DA100 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Ground Floor Plan | DA101 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| First Floor Plan | DA102 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Roof Plan | DA103 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Elevations Sheet 01 | DA200 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Elevations Sheet 02 | DA201 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Sections Sheet 01 | DA300 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |
| Sections Sheet 02 | DA301 | 01 | Bureau Srh Architecture | 6/07/2022 |

| Tahle | 2-1 | Drawings | Lict |
|-------|-----|----------|------|
| rable | 2-1 | Diawings | LISL |

3 Project Development

3.1 Site Description

The existing site is a commercial premises which includes a two-storey commercial building and car parking with the following address and information:

- Address: 4 Minna Close, Belrose
- Lot: DP 875858 lot 502
- The site is situated within a commercially zoned area, consisting of mainly commercial and industrial developments. The surrounding buildings are made up of commercial receivers with distant residential receivers beyond roads to the north and south.
- The site is bounded by Mona Vale Road to the north and Minna Close to the south.

Figure 3-1 provides the location of the proposed development and the nearby noise sensitive receivers. Table 3-1 lists the relevant noise sensitive receivers.



| Table 3-1 | : Noise | Sensitive | Receiver | Locations |
|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|
|-----------|---------|-----------|----------|-----------|

| Receiver | Address | Receiver Type | Orientation |
|----------|----------------------------|---------------|-------------|
| C1 | 5 Minna Close, Belrose | | West |
| C2 | 3 Minna Close, Belrose | | East |
| C3 | 1A Minna Close, Belrose | | South East |
| C4 | 1 Minna Close, Belrose | Commercial | South |
| C5 | 4 Narabang Way, Belrose | | South West |
| C6 | Public Parklands , Belrose | | North |



Figure 3-1: Site Map, Receiver Locations (C1 to C4) and Unattended Noise Logger (L) Location.

3.2 Proposed Project Description

The proposed site development will comprise the following operational information and work areas:

- Hours of operation: 0900-1700hrs, 7 days a week.
- Delivery vehicles expected per day: 2 semi-trucks and 2 small MR trucks during the Day time period between 0900-1700.
- Operational noise
 - The site will be utilised for as a bottling plant to import liquid, bottle the liquid, package bottles and export as required. There will be no liquid manufacturing on-site.
 - Forklifts operating in both internal and external areas from 0900-1700hrs.



- Worst case operating scenario assumption: 2 forklifts operating inside and 2 operating forklifts outside at all times during work hours.
- Basement level comprises:
 - 1455.84m² of under croft carparking for staff and visitors;
 - Total of 41 car spaces;
 - Total 3 motor bike spaces.
- Ground floor comprises:
 - 1836.68m² Warehouse;
 - \circ 2 x loading docks for HRV Truck;s
 - Tanks;
 - \circ \quad Reception, offices and staff amenities.
- First floor comprises:
 - 627.60m² Research and Development areas;
 - 441.47m2 Open Plan Office;
 - 14.68m² x2 small offices;
 - \circ 26.5m² meeting room.
- Roof: Metal sheet roof with section of translucent roofing sheets (sky lights)

The proposed site plans are provided in Figure 3-2, Figure 3-3.



Figure 3-2: Proposed Site - Ground Floor Architectural Plans





Figure 3-3: Proposed Site - First Floor Architectural Plans

4 Environmental Noise Survey

4.1 Methodology & Instrumentation

Unattended noise monitoring was conducted from 16^{th} to 22^{nd} July 2022 to determine the site specific noise criteria. These are outlined in this section.

According to the NSW Policy for Industry 2017, measured noise levels were processed into the following time periods:

- Daytime : 0700 1800 hrs;
- Evening : 1800 2200 hrs;
- Night-time: 2200 0700 hrs.

The L_{A90} noise levels are Rating Background Levels (RBLs), being the median of the background L_{A90} in each measurement period, for each 24-hour period during the noise survey.

The L_{Aeq} noise levels are the logarithmic average of all the L_{Aeq} samples taken in each of the daytime, evening and night-time periods.



Unattended noise logging was conducted in accordance with the NSW EPA's noise policy for industry using the equipment outlined in Table 4-1. The noise logger was calibrated before and after measurements and found no significant drift. Any period with extraneous weather activity has not been included in the RBL levels presented in

Table 4-2.

| Table 4-1 | : Noise | Logging | Instrumentation |
|-----------|---------|---------|-----------------|
|-----------|---------|---------|-----------------|

| Equipment | Serial Number | Calibration Due | |
|---------------------------|---------------|-----------------|--|
| Metravid Duo Noise Logger | 10304 | 30/03/2024 | |
| Acoustic Calibrator | 101639 | 26/08/2022 | |

Table 4-2: Unattended noise monitoring results per period

| Location | Time of Day | Existing | RBL Lago | |
|---------------|-------------|---------------|-------------|--|
| | | LAeq (period) | LA90 | |
| | Day | 63 | 54 | |
| 4 Minna Close | Evening | 59 | 49 | |
| | Night | 56 | 47 | |

5 Noise Criteria

5.1 NSW Noise Policy for Industry 2017

The procedures detailed in NSW NPfI have been considered to determine the limit of allowable noise emission from the proposed site for residential receivers. The assessment procedure has two requirements that must be met, namely:

Intrusiveness Criteria

The Intrusiveness Criteria is used to evaluate the extent to which a noise intrudes above the background, particularly where the receiver is a dwelling. The NPfI considers that the L_{Aeq} , 15 minute level associate with a broad-band industrial noise source may be up to 5 dB(A) above the rating background noise level (L_{A90}) at a receiver without being considered offensive.

The rating background noise level (RBL) is similar to the 10^{th} percentile background L_{A90} however uses a different sampling technique to determine the value.

Where a noise source contains certain characteristics, such as tonality, intermittency, impulsiveness, irregularity or lowfrequency dominance, correction factors may need to be applied to the noise annoyance criteria to determine the project specific criteria.

Amenity Criteria

The NSW NPfI also considers that there is a community expectation for a certain level of environmental noise amenity, depending on the type of area in which the noise sensitive receiver is located. The Industrial Noise Policy provides a table of recommended L_{Aeq} noise levels that, subject to the type of area and time of day, are considered desirable.

Depending on the level of existing industrial or commercial noise, these desirable levels are adjusted so as to require progressively more stringent amenity compliance levels. The objective of this approach is to prevent the background noise level from continually increasing as a result of each progressive new development. For an 'Urban' amenity area, the NPfI proposes that the L_{Aeq} noise level should not exceed the following acceptable noise emission levels shown in Table 5-1.



| | , | |
|------------|----------------|--------------|
| Period | Time | Levels dB(A) |
| Daytime | 0700 – 1800hrs | 60 |
| Evening | 1800 – 2200hrs | 50 |
| Night-time | 2200 – 0700hrs | 45 |
| Commercial | All Times | 65 |

Table 5-1: Amenity Noise Criteria – Urban. NSW NPfI

This policy sets out two separate noise criteria designed to ensure developments meet environmental noise objectives. The first criterion accounts for intrusive noise and the second criterion applies to protection of amenity of particular land uses. Applying both the amenity and intrusiveness criteria to the situation and adopting the more stringent of the two is used to assess the new development. This becomes the project specific noise levels. Applying the most stringent requirement as the project specific noise levels ensures that both intrusive noise is limited and the amenity is protected.

The noise criterion for commercial receiver at all time period for urban areas is 65 dB(A)

5.1.1 **Project Specific Noise Emission Goals**

Table 5-2 presents the project trigger noise level (PTNL) for the closest receiver.

| Receiver Type | Time of Day | Existing LAeq (period) | RBL Lago | ANL Urban L _{Aeq (15} min) | Intrusive LAeq (15 min) | Amenity LAeq (15 min) | PTNL |
|--------------------------|-------------|---------------------------|-------------|--|----------------------------|--------------------------|------|
| | Day | 63 | 54 | 60 | 59 | 58 | 58 |
| Residential | Evening | 59 | 49 | 50 | 54 | 48 | 48 |
| | Night | 56 | 47 | 45 | 52 | 43 | 43 |
| Commercial (when in use) | All | - | - | 65 | - | - | 65 |

Table 5-2: Project Trigger Noise Levels, dB(A)

5.2 NSW OEH Road Noise Policy

The Road Noise Policy (RNP) addresses the issue of traffic noise from new and existing roads, and developments that generate traffic noise; and aims to reduce the road noise impact on the residents. The Policy provides noise guidelines/criteria for road related projects and methodologies to undertake a traffic noise assessment. The potential traffic noise generated from the use of the proposed development is assessed in accordance with the RNP.

Table 5-3 summarises the applicable road categories to establish the noise assessment criteria based on the type of road and the proposed land use developments.

Table 5-3: Road Noise Policy

| Road Category | Type of Project / Land Use | Assessment Criteria/ Target Noise Level, dB(A) | | |
|--|--|---|--|--|
| Road Category | Type of Project / Land Ose | Day (7am-10pm) | Night (10pm-7am) | |
| Freeway/arterial/sub- arterial Road | 2. Existing residences affected by noise from redevelopment of existing freeway/arterial/subarterial road. | L _{Aeq} , (15hour). 60 (external) | L _{Aeq} , (9 hour) 55 (external) | |
| Local Road | 5. Existing residences affected by noise from redevelopment of existing local roads | L _{Aeq} , (1hour). 55 (external) | L _{Aeq} , (1 hour) 50 (external) | |



As stated in Section 3.4 of the Road Noise Policy, with regard to existing residences and other sensitive land uses affected by additional traffic on existing roads generated by land use development, any increase in total traffic noise level should be limited to 2dB above that of the corresponding 'no build option'.

5.2.1 Road Noise Policy Levels

The Road Noise Policy (RNP) addresses the issue of traffic noise from new and existing roads, and developments that generate traffic noise; and aims to reduce the road noise impact on the residents. The Policy provides noise guidelines/criteria for road related projects and methodologies to undertake a traffic noise assessment. The potential traffic noise generated from the use of the proposed development is assessed in accordance with the RNP. Traffic noise values obtained from noise monitoring are presented in the following Table 5-4.

| Table 5-4: Traffic Noise Me | easurement. dB(A). |
|-----------------------------|--------------------|
|-----------------------------|--------------------|

| | Da | у | Night | | |
|---------------|-----------------------------------|----|------------------------|---------------------------------|--|
| Location | Cation L _{Aeq - 15hr} | | L _{Aeq} – 9hr | L _{Aeq} – Noisiest 1Hr | |
| 4 Minna Close | 62 | 65 | 56 | 63 | |

5.3 Sleep Disturbance

Activities occurring on-site during the night period have the potential to cause sleep disturbance for the nearby residents. These include activities associated with the Development after 2200hrs and before 0700hrs.

The Noise Policy for Industry states that a detailed maximum noise level assessment should be undertaken where the subject development night-time noise levels at a residential location exceed:

- LAeq,15min 40 dB(A) or the prevailing RBL plus 5 dB, whichever is the greater, and/or;
- LAFmax 52 dB(A) or the prevailing RBL plus 15 dB, whichever is the greater.

The Policy does not currently provide details on how to assess sleep disturbance but makes reference to the RNP. The RNP suggests that potential sleep arousal from traffic should be assessed. The RNP has compared a number of sleep disturbance criteria and concluded the following:

- Maximum internal noise levels below 50-55 dB LAmax are unlikely to cause awakening reactions,
- One or two noise events per night, with maximum internal noise levels of 65-70dB LAmax are not likely to affect health and wellbeing significantly.

5.3.1 **Project Sleep Disturbance Levels**

Based on the Night-time RBL of 47 dB, the site-specific trigger levels for further assessment are specified on Table 5-5.

| Receiver Type | RBL | LAF max |
|---------------|-------------|-------------|
| Receiver Type | disturbance | disturbance |
| Residential | 52 | 62 |

Table 5-5: Sleep Disturbance Specific Levels. dB(A)

6 Noise Assessment

Noise predictions were conducted using SoundPLAN noise modelling software. The use of the software and referenced modelling methodology is accepted for use in the state of NSW by NSW EPA for environmental noise modelling purposes.

6.1 Delivery Trucks & Loading Docks

Based on the information provided by The Client, the delivery/pickup trucks will drive around the building where the open roller doors are located with the 'worst case' truck schedule presented in Table 6-1 and Table 6-2. Consideration has been made for the full assessment period (as per the NPfI) and busiest 15 minute period worst case scenarios during the Day, Evening and Night time assessment periods. Note that, all roller doors are assumed to be in the open position during all times of day.

The truck volumes provided by Bureau SRH Architecture have been used throughout the calculations in this noise assessment. To predict the LAeq of a truck manoeuvre, the trucks and delivery vehicles have been modelled as moving at 10-15km/hr.

Sound power levels used for the purpose of our calculations and assessment are presented in Table 6-1 and Table 6-2.

The delivery vehicle route and loading dock/roller door opening are marked on the plans in Figure 6-1.



Figure 6-1: Delivery Vehicle Route, Roller Door (Loading Dock) Locations.

| Period | Semi Truck HVR | Small Truck MR | Forklifts working outside | Forklifts working inside |
|---------|----------------------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| Day | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Evening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Night | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Table 6-1: Full Period – Worst Case Vehicles



| | | Vehicle | Vehicle Volumes | | | | | |
|--------------------------|------|-------------------|---------------------------------|--------------------------------|--|--|--|--|
| Period Busiest 15mins | Semi | Small Truck MR | Forklifts working outside | Forklifts working inside | | | | |
| Day | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | | | | |
| Evening | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |
| Night | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | | | | |

| | Table 6-2: | Busiest 15 | min Perio | d – Worst case |
|--|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|
|--|------------|------------|-----------|----------------|

| Table 6 2: Equivalent Cound | Dowor Lovals for Typics | al Delivery Vehicle Movements |
|-----------------------------|-------------------------|-----------------------------------|
| Table 0-5. Equivalent Sound | POWER LEVEIS TOR TYPICA | a Delivery verificie Moveriferils |

| Noise Source | Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) | | | | | | | |
|-----------------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| | 63 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | dB(A) |
| 13.5m truck (HRV) | 101 | 95 | 94 | 92 | 94 | 91 | 88 | 98 |
| 19m truck (AV) | 102 | 100 | 98 | 98 | 98 | 95 | 88 | 102 |
| Delivery Van | 99 | 92 | 76 | 80 | 78 | 79 | 71 | 85 |
| Diesel Forklift (Average Work) | 108 | 102 | 98 | 96 | 96 | 92 | 88 | 100 |

Table 6-4: Maximum Sound Power Levels for Typical Delivery Vehicle Movements

| Noise Source | Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) | | | | | | | |
|-------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|
| | 63 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | dB(A) |
| 13.5m truck (HRV) | 114 | 116 | 111 | 106 | 104 | 103 | 102 | 111 |
| 19m truck (AV) | 108 | 98 | 96 | 104 | 100 | 98 | 93 | 105 |
| Delivery Van | 107 | 103 | 97 | 96 | 97 | 99 | 97 | 104 |

6.2 Warehouse Construction Details

The following warehouse construction details have been assumed for assessment purposes:

- An internal reverberant sound pressure level (SPLrev) of 95 dB(A) within the operational building
- All roller doors are assumed to be in the open position throughout the 'worst case' operational noise scenario.
- Four (4) forklifts are assumed to be working outside the warehouse to the north, east south and west at all times.

The 1/1 octave band transmission loss (sound insulation) of each building element within the noise model is provided in Table 6-5 for reference.

| | Octave Band Centre Frequency (Hz) | | | | | | | | |
|----------------------------|-----------------------------------|-----|-----|-----|------|------|------|-------|--|
| Building Element | 63 | 125 | 250 | 500 | 1000 | 2000 | 4000 | Rw dB | |
| Metal roof with insulation | -10 | -14 | -19 | -26 | -32 | -39 | -35 | 30 | |
| Concrete blockwork | -42 | -44 | -42 | -50 | -57 | -63 | -68 | 54 | |
| Door (open) | -5 | -5 | -5 | -5 | -5 | -5 | -5 | 6 | |
| Metal shed walls | -10 | -13 | -15 | -15 | -17 | -21 | -24 | 18 | |
| Louvres (non-acoustic) | -10 | -10 | -10 | -10 | -10 | -10 | -10 | 11 | |

Table 6-5: Transmission Loss: Building Façade Elements



6.3 Noise Impact Assessment

Operational noise impacts have been predicted based on the worst case 15 minute operational scenario and have been assessed against the NPfI noise criteria to all nearby noise sensitive receivers, the results are provided in Table 6-6.

| | | Worst Case Operational Scenario | | | | | |
|----------|-------------|---|----|--------------|--|--|--|
| Receiver | Period | Predicted Noise (15 Min) Criteria dB(A | | Compliance | | | |
| | | dB(A) | | | | | |
| C1 | | 59 | | \checkmark | | | |
| C2 | | 59 | | \checkmark | | | |
| C3 | When In use | 44 | 65 | \checkmark | | | |
| C4 | | 47 | 65 | \checkmark | | | |
| C5 | | 43 | | \checkmark | | | |
| C6 | | 55 | | \checkmark | | | |

| Table 6-6: | Predicted | Noise | I evels | Sensitive | Receivers |
|------------|-----------|-------|---------|------------|------------------|
| | FIEUICLEU | NUISE | LEVEIS | JEIISILIVE | NECEIVEIS |

6.4 Sleep Disturbance

The nearest residential receivers are located over 1km to the north east and approximately 1.1km to the south of the proposed site. Due to the large distance and shielding from existing industrial tenancy buildings any noise from the site will be inaudible at the nearest residential locations. Therefore there is no need to conduct a sleep disturbance assessment.

6.5 Mechanical Plant

The final external mechanical services design, i.e. equipment selections and locations are not available at this stage. Mechanical services must be designed such that the overall noise emission from the new development complies with the noise criteria established for noise levels at the nearest noise sensitive premises in accordance with the NPfI 2017. It is envisaged that some of the control measures will require screening and/or the installation of appropriate attenuators to enable the project specific noise limits to be achieved. A review is recommended once mechanical plant selection is made to ensure noise impact internally and externally is not a concern.

6.6 Increased Traffic Noise

The final traffic noise calculations are based on the traffic and parking impact volume assumptions for typical/similar warehouse developments. The results of our calculations indicate a traffic and car parking noise emission increase in the order of + 1 to 2 dB(A) L10 at the nearest affected receivers along the boundary of the proposed development.

A + 1 to 2 dB(A) Leq noise level increase is a marginal increase and is expected to have minor impact on the existing noise sensitive receivers, therefore no further traffic or car park noise assessment is required at this time.

7 Conclusion

Vipac has conducted an operational noise impact assessment for a proposed bottling plant development located at 4 Minna Close, Belrose. Based on predicted noise levels and an assessment using relevant New South Wales environmental noise criteria, the proposed development is expected to comply with noise requirements, provided the information and assumptions in this report are implemented. No additional noise controls are required for the site to comply.



Appendix A Glossary of Terminology

Decibel, dB:

Unit of acoustic measurement. Measurements of power, pressure and intensity. Expressed in dB relative to standard reference levels.

dB (A):

Unit of acoustic measurement weighted to approximate the sensitivity of human hearing to sound frequency.

Sound Pressure Level, L_p (dB), of a sound:

20 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the r.m.s. sound pressure to the reference sound pressure of 20 micro Pascals. Sound pressure level is measured using a microphone and a sound level meter, and varies with distance from the source and the environment.

Sound Power Level, Lw (dB), of a source:

10 times the logarithm to the base 10 of the ratio of the sound power of the source to the reference sound power of 1 Pico Watt. Sound power level cannot be directly measured using a microphone. Sound power level does not change with distance. The sound power level of a machine may vary depending on the actual operating load.

Ambient Sound:

Of an environment: the all-encompassing sound associated with that environment, being a composite of sounds from many sources, near and far.

Background noise:

The underlying level of noise present in the ambient noise, excluding the noise source under investigation, when extraneous noise is removed.

Percentile Level - L₉₀, L₁₀, etc:

A statistical measurement giving the sound pressure level which is exceeded for the given percentile of an observation period, e.g. L_{90} is the level which is exceeded for 90% of a measurement period. L_{90} is commonly referred to as the "background" sound level.

L AEQ,T:

Equivalent continuous A-weighted sound pressure level. The value of the A-weighted sound pressure level of a continuous steady sound that, within a measurement time interval T, has the same A-weighted sound energy as the actual time-varying sound.

Rating Background Level – RBL:

Method for determining the existing background noise level which involves calculating the tenth percentile from the L_{A90} measurements. This value gives the Assessment Background Noise Level (ABL). Rating Background Level is the median of the overall ABL.







Figure 7-1: Unattended Noise Monitoring