

---

**Sent:** 25/08/2020 11:08:18 AM  
**Subject:** FW: Objection to DA 2020/0661 - 7356 / 1167 221

-----Original Message-----

**From:** Raymond Cox  
**Sent:** Monday, 24 August 2020 12:30 PM  
**To:** YourSay at Northern Beaches  
**Subject:** Objection to DA 2020/0661 - 7356 / 1167 221

To whom it may concern;

Objection and concerns re; DA 2020/ 0661 - 7356/ 1167 221, Huston Parade, Nth; Curl Curl, NSW. 2099

In 2017 a similar proposal for a mobile tower in this same general area was refused....in part, the operator wished to provide beach goers' the provision to watch movies.

! ) This DA proposal highlights many valid individual and community concerns regarding the debatable short and longer term physical and medical effects of EME/radiation.

There are numerous medical and neurological specialists including neurosurgeon Dr, C. Teo who express their concerns ( and reputations ) of such 24/7 EME/radiation consequences on vulnerable people.....mobile phone users have the option to shut them off.

I believe, a test by the claim " there are no health issues " Optus need legally and financially indemnify against harm....

2 ) Optus advertise they have already perfect coverage for mobile phone users on the Northern Beaches, either that is fact or it is deceit and raises the trust - or otherwise in their claim this facility is for 3 G and 4 G only !!...  
If successful, the precedent set, this facility could invite similar proposals.

3 ) Further, this proposal is nearby North Curl Curl Primary school - that the Department of Education have legislative guidelines as to proximity of these facilities - is a serious indication of the impacts on children and carers.  
Again, and closer, ( Abbott and Griffin Rds ) are many family residences, the Community Centre, the sporting fields, the many walkers and bush care people could be adversely impacted.....

4 ) Council continues to fund and resource the restoration of John Fisher Park, the local community group has contributed over 40 years advancing and enhancing JFP and this area in particular....community groups in collaboration with NBC have have worked tirelessly to transform this former garbage tip into a unique, natural place for all to enjoy - respectfully....clearly, this proposal flies in the face of all that has been achieved.

5 ) The presence of this facility would impact adversely on the existing natural and

built environment.... it would diminish the ambience Council and the community have strived for many decades.....

It would have a direct effect on nearby residents' major asset - devaluing their home. Resulting in a potential financial loss as a result of the installation of a large 25.7mtr plus ground facility - adversely impacting on the riparian rehabilitation zone of the lagoon, the outlook, views and creating health concerns.

6 ) Where doubt exists it is prudent - ultimately the safest - to apply the precautionary rule .....it would be unthinkable today to even consider a garbage tip where there is now a remarkably beneficial whole of community asset....

7 ) I can think of at least two alternate sites with vastly less impact that might be explored....that is an Optus matter.

In my view, Optus are prepared to put their financial and commercial gain, before, over and above, the potential adverse harm and wishes of the affected community.

Please accept my objections to this DA.

Kind regards

Ray Cox....3 Austin Ave; Nth; Curl Curl, NSW, 2099