RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2010/1372 Assessment Officer: Phil Lane Property Address: Lot B, DP 312655, No. 1196 Pittwater Road, Narrabeen Proposal Description: Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house and alterations to existing structures In detail: Construction of two storey new dwelling Underfloor: Large rainwater tank and storage area Ground Floor: Dining, kitchen, lounge, study, laundry/drying room, bedroom, bathroom and water closet

First Floor: Two bedrooms and ensuite

Existing dwelling: Conversion of the building into a garage with a new garage door fitted to the western elevation.

Plan Reference: DA01, DA02, DA03, DA04, DA05, DA06, DA07 & DA010



No. 1196 Pittwater Road, Narrabeen

Background:

DA2008/1230: Approved granted via Application Determination Panel on 11 December 2008 for a new dwelling following demolition of existing buildings with a total of five (5) submissions received.

MOD2009/0039: Approved granted via Application Determination Panel on 19 March 2009 for Modification of DA2008/1230 for demolition of structures and construction of a dwelling house.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes □ No	✓ Yes ∧No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	✓ Yes	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Section 4 – Application Determination	✓ Yes □ No	✓ Yes □ No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 238500.00 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Warringah Section 94A Development Co	ntributions Plan		
Contribution based on total development cost of		\$ 2	38,500.00
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate		Contribution Payable
Total S94A Levy	0.95%		2,265.75
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%		119.25
Total	1.0%		\$2,385

Notification Required?

✓ Yes □ No

Period of Public Exhibition?

Submissions Received?

No. of Submissions: One (1)

✓ 14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A

Ves No.

Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? $\hfill \ensuremath{\square}$ Yes $\hfill \ensuremath{\boxtimes}$ No

SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: D1 Collaroy/Narrabeen

"The Collaroy/Narrabeen locality will be characterised by detached style housing and apartments in landscaped settings and a range of complementary and compatible uses. New apartment development will be confined to the "medium density areas" down on the map.

Outside the "medium density areas", future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached houses in the locality. New development will be of a low scale and articulated with generous spaces between buildings to retain the open lake and seaside character of the locality and create visual interest. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape are to be encouraged. Building designs are to incorporate the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages.

Buildings and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of the development control provided by clause 39."

Development Definition:	Housing	Ancillary Developme	ent to Housing	Other
Category of Development:	Category	1 Category 2	Category 3	

Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land use: Permissible

Desired Future Character:

Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required) Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? Yes No

Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)

Built Form Controls:

Building Height (overall): Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 8.5m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Applicable:	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed: 8.2m
₹7.2m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20)
Front Setback: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 7.5m (garage)
Requirement:	: 21.2m (dwelling)
✓ 6.5m	Complies: Yes No
Housing Density:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 1dwelling / per 562.8sqm
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
1 dwelling per 450sqm	Note: Existing allotment established prior to the gazettal of WLEP 2000.
1 dwelling per 600sqm	
Landscape Open Space:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 45.9% (258.6sqm)

₩ 40% (225.1sqm)	Complies: Yes No
Rear Setback: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 20.7m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
€.0m	•
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Vth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Ves No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth 🔽 Sth 🗆 Est 🗂 Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: res No	Existing and unchanged
900mm	or Proposed: 1.5m
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or Proposed: 0.9m
	•
	Complies: Yes No

General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable: ✓ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes , subject to condition No

CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
□ _{Yes} ^{II} _{No}	res res, subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	₩ _{Yes} □ _{No}
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
	Comment: The site is identified as Classes 4 and 5 Acid

Yes No	Sulfate Soils. The recommendations of the Geotechnical Assessment Report, submitted by the applicant, conclude that Acid Sulfate Soils are not present on the subject site and that an Acid Sulfate Soils Management Plan is not required.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy this General Principle.
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Comment: The site adjoins Narrabeen Beach to the rear. The proposed works do not compromise the existing public access to this public reserve, nor result in perceived privatisation. Further, the dwelling provides opportunity for passive casual surveillance which will improve the safety and security of the area.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy this General Principle.
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The site contains an existing detached residential dwelling with utilities currently servicing the site, including the supply of water, gas, telecommunications and electricity, and the satisfactory management of sewage and drainage.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy this General Principle.
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The Development is designed to retain and complement the environmental features of the site and on adjoining and nearby land.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.

CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes Vo	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	Comment: Primary views to the east are maintained by the
	adjoining property to the south (No. 1194 Pittwater Road), which comprise of ocean and beach views. Secondary
	views are maintained to the north give the proposed building is located more than 20m from the eastern rear
	boundary fronting Narrabeen Beach.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General
	Principle.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
CL62 Access to sunlight Applicable:	Complies:
Applicable:	Complies:
_	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road)
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice).
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.
Applicable: Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.
Applicable: Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.
Applicable: Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle.
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable:	Complies: ✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle. ✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies: ✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle. Complies: ✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable:	Complies: ✓ Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Concern has been raised in relation to solar access from the adjoining property, located on the southern side of the proposed dwelling house (No. 1194 Pittwater Road). In accordance with Clause 62 Access to sunlight the proposed development does not unreasonably reduce sunlight to surrounding properties in relation to the principal private open space (rear yard). The subject site and the adjoining property to the south (Nos. 1194 Pittwater Road) have east facing rear yards and thus sunlight to these areas meets the criteria of at least 2 hours direct solar access between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy General Principle. Complies: ✓ Yes Yes , subject to condition

CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	▼ Yes └ Yes , subject to condition └ No
₩ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
	Compliant
CL66 Building bulk Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The bulk of the building is stepped to integrate with site topography, increasing the setback as the height increases. As a result, it is considered that the dwelling provides a building bulk and scale, consistent with adjoining dwellings.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered satisfactory in addressing the intent of this General Principle.
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	✓ Yes , subject to condition No
Ves No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: It is considered that the roof form compliments
	the local skyline.
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	☐ Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes Vo	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes Vo	

CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: Two (2) off-street carparking is provided within the subject property boundaries satisfying Clause 74 Provision of carparking and Schedule 17 Carparking Provision.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	•
Ves No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The application has been assessed by Council's Development Engineers and their recommendations incorporated in the consent conditions.
	Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy this General Principle.
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Ves , subject to condition
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comment: Appropriate conditions associated with management of erosion and sedimentation for the duration of works on the site will be imposed should this application be recommended for approval. Accordingly, the proposal is considered to satisfy this General Principle.
	Compliant
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes 🔽 No	
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Complies:
Applicable:	
└─ _{Yes} I✓ _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} IV _{No}	

CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	

Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└─ _{Yes} I▼ _{No}	res res, subject to condition no
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work Applicable:	\Box Yes \Box Yes , subject to condition \Box No
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
└─ _{Yes} I No	
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development Applicable:	└── Yes └─ Yes , subject to condition └─ No
└─ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition
	Note: See table below
Yes No	

Schedule 13 - Development Guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach

The D1 locality statement states that consent may be granted for development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen beachfront only after the consent authority has taken into account the "Development Guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach", set out in Schedule 13.

Matters for Consideration	Response	Assessment Comment
Does the development "reduce the risk of damage to beachfront trees, buildings, works and places from coastal processes."?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Does the development reduce the risk of damage through having appropriate setbacks and foundation criteria for structures, as detailed in the <i>Criteria for</i> <i>the Siting and Design of Foundations for Residential</i> <i>Development</i> , February 1991, Geomarine Pty Ltd and Coffey Partners International Pty Ltd, Report No 69021 R02 (prepared for Warringah Shire Council)?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Is the development consistent with management strategies and actions detailed in the <i>Collaroy</i> <i>Narrabeen Coastline Management Plan 1997</i>	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Is the development consistent with the State Government's <i>Coastline Management Manual</i> ?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Does the development preserve and protect the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach as a national asset for public recreation and amenity?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Does the development ensure that building and development along the Collaroy and Narrabeen Beaches have regard to the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Is the development consistent with the aims and objectives of the State Government's Coastline Hazard Policy and <i>Coastline Management Manual</i> ?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Does the development provide protection from coastal processes for proposed buildings and works along the Collaroy/Narrabeen beachfront?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Would the development prolong the life of an existing building / structure which would be likely at some stage in the future to result in foundation failure? Risk to human life or property?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • At-risk existing buildings (which have inadequate foundations)

Matters for Consideration	Response	Assessment Comment
		are being removed.
Has all Major development been appropriately sited on allotments west (landward) of the Zone of Wave Impact, having regard to the Zones of Slope Adjustment and Reduced Foundation Capacity, as identified on a map available from the office of the Council?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Have the Hazard Zones (Zone of Wave Impact, Zones of Slope Adjustment and Reduced Foundation Capacity) been marked on all plans submitted to the Council?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Does the proposal include structures which extend out over the Zone of Wave Impact or into the air space above the Zone of Wave Impact?	✓ Yes ✓ No	If Yes application must be refused.
Has the development been designed within the Zone of Slope Adjustment, for structures to be supported on piles to withstand loads which may be induced in the pile by slumping of the soil face?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Has the development been designed within the Zone of Reduced Foundation Capacity, for structures to be supported on piles to withstand loads which may be induced in the pile by slumping of the soil face?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Has the development been designed or has detail been provided by the applicant for development within the Zone of Stable Foundation, as identified on a map available from the office of the Council, to detail the level of foundation design having regard to proximity to Hazard Zones?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Has the applicant provided a report from a suitably qualified engineer, which details the geotechnical/structural design of the foundations in accordance with the report referred to in Schedule 13 and the referred to guidelines?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: If No stipulate reason:
Has the applicant demonstrated piling requirements or detailed that piling has extended to a depth below 1 metre AHD in the Zones of Slope Adjustment and Reduced Foundation Capacity?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Has detail been provided by the applicant that piling requirements for major development extend to the whole structure, with any part of the structure located east (seaward) of the Zone of Stable Foundation?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:

Matters for Consideration	Response	Assessment Comment
Is the development itself protected from coastal processes for proposed buildings and works along the Collaroy/Narrabeen beachfront?	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If Yes subject to condition stipulate condition requirement: • If No stipulate reason:
Will any sand resulting from excavation be donated to Council for beach nourishment on the eroded Collaroy-Narrabeen Beach	Yes Yes (subject to condition)	If 'Yes' or 'Yes(subject to condition)', detail what arrangements are to be made:

It is unlikely the development will have any adverse impacts in terms of necessary coastal zone considerations.

Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour Applicable:	Complies:
Yes No Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects Applicable: Yes ♥ No	Complies:
Schedule 17 Carparking provision Applicable: ✓ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: Two (2) off-street carparking is provided within the subject property boundaries satisfying Clause 74 Provision of carparking and Schedule 17 Carparking Provision.

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?

▼_{Yes} □_{No}

If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP 55 Applicable?

▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?

□ _{Yes} I No

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

✓ Yes □ No

SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?

✓ Yes □ No

Is the proposal for a swimming pool:

□_{Yes}
No

Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?

□_{Yes} <a>Image: No

Within 5m of an overhead power line ?

□_{Yes} <a>Image: No

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

▼_{Yes} □_{No}

State Environmental Planning Policy No. 71 - Coastal Protection

The proposal has been identified as being located within the Coastal Zone as defined in the *Coastal Protection Act 1979*. Accordingly, pursuant to Section 79C(a)(i) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, the provisions of Clause 8 of State Environmental Planning Policy No.71 – Coastal Protection (SEPP 71) are considered as follows:

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Consistent
(a) The aims of the policy.	The proposal is to be considered consistent	YES
	with the aims of the policy.	
This Policy aims:		
(a) to protect and manage the natural, cultural,	(a) The proposal will not affect the natural,	
recreational and economic attributes of the	recreational and economic attributes of the	
New South Wales coast, and	NSW coast other than improve the car parking	
(b) to protect and improve existing public	facilities.	
access to and along coastal foreshores to the		
extent that this is compatible with the natural	(b) The existing access arrangements have	
attributes of the coastal foreshore, and	been maintained and will not be affected by the	
(c) to ensure that new opportunities for public access to and along coastal foreshores are	proposal.	
identified and realised to the extent that this is	(c) The proposal does not have potential to	
compatible with the natural attributes of the	provide new public access to the foreshore.	
coastal foreshore, and		
(d) to protect and preserve Aboriginal cultural	(d) The proposal is not in the vicinity of any	
heritage, and Aboriginal places, values,	known aboriginal sites and does not require any	
customs, beliefs and traditional knowledge,	specific measures for the preservation of	
and	cultural places, values, customs or beliefs.	
(e) to ensure that the visual amenity of the	• • •	
coast is protected, and	(e) The proposal is not considered to detract	
(f) to protect and preserve beach	from the visual amenity of the NSW coast.	
environments and beach amenity, and		
(g) to protect and preserve native coastal	(f) The proposal is considered to protect and	
vegetation, and	preserve beach environments.	
(h) to protect and preserve the marine		
environment of New South Wales, and	(g) The proposal does not require the removal	
(i) to protect and preserve rock platforms, and	of any existing vegetation.	
(j) to manage the coastal zone in accordance	(b) The proposal will not offect the merice	
with the principles of ecologically sustainable development (within the meaning of section 6	(h) The proposal will not affect the marine environment of NSW.	
development (within the meaning of section o		

(2) of the Drotection of the Environment		1
 (2) of the <u>Protection of the Environment</u> <u>Administration Act 1991</u>), and (k) to ensure that the type, bulk, scale and size of development is appropriate for the location and protects and improves the natural scenic quality of the surrounding area, and (l) to encourage a strategic approach to coastal management. 	 (i) No rock platforms are affected by the proposal. (j) The proposal is considered to be in accordance with the principles of ecologically sustainable development. (k) The proposed development is satisfactory in relation to its bulk and scale. 	
(b) Existing public access to and along the coastal foreshore for pedestrians or persons with a disability should be retained and, where possible, public access to and along the coastal foreshore for pedestrians or persons with a disability should be improved	The public access to the foreshore is not altered by the proposal.	YES
(c) Opportunities to provide new public access to and along the coastal foreshore for pedestrians or persons with a disability	The proposal does not have potential to provide new public access to the foreshore.	YES
(d) The suitability of development given its type, location and design and its relationship with the surrounding area	The proposal is considered suitable for the subject site.	YES
(e) Any detrimental impact that development may have on the amenity of the coastal foreshore, including any significant overshadowing of the coastal foreshore and any significant loss of views from a public place to the coastal foreshore	There is no significant loss of view or overshadowing from public places as a result of the proposal.	YES
(f) The scenic qualities of the New South Wales coast, and means to protect and improve these qualities	The proposal is not considered to detract from the scenic qualities of the New South Wales coast.	YES
(g) Measures to conserve animals (within the meaning of the <u>Threatened Species</u> <u>Conservation Act 1995</u>) and plants (within the meaning of that Act), and their habitats	There is no remanent native vegetation or potential habitat for threatened species on the subject site; as such measures to conserve animals, plants or their habitat are not required.	YES
(h) Measures to conserve fish (within the meaning of Part 7A of the <u>Fisheries</u> <u>Management Act 1994</u>) and marine vegetation (within the meaning of that Part), and their habitats	The proposal is for a new dwelling and therefore measures to conserve fish and marine vegetation are not required.	YES
(i) Existing wildlife corridors and the impact of development on these corridors	The proposal does not significantly impact upon any existing wildlife corridors as it involves construction of new dwelling to replace existing.	YES
(j) The likely impact of coastal processes and coastal hazards on development and any likely impacts of development on coastal processes and coastal hazards	The proposal is not considered to increase the likely impacts of coastal processes and coastal hazards to the development. Furthermore, it is considered that the proposal does not significantly alter the existing impacts of the development on coastal processes and coastal hazards	YES
(k) Measures to reduce the potential for conflict between land-based and water-based coastal activities	The proposal is unlikely to create any potential conflict between land based and water based coastal activities.	YES
(I) Measures to protect the cultural places, values, customs, beliefs and traditional knowledge of Aboriginals	The proposal is not in the vicinity of any known aboriginal sites and does not require any specific measures for the preservation of cultural places, values, customs or beliefs.	YES
(m) Likely impacts of development on the water quality of coastal water bodies	The proposal is unlikely to create any additional impact to water quality.	YES

(n) The conservation and preservation of items of heritage, archaeological or historic significance	The proposal will not adversely affect any known items of heritage, archaeological or historic significance.	YES
(o) Only in cases in which a council prepares a draft local environmental plan that applies to land to which this Policy applies, the means to encourage compact towns and cities	Draft Warringah LEP 2009 applies and has been considered elsewhere in this report. The proposed development is found to be satisfactory with regards to provision of the DWELP 2009.	YES
 (p) Only in cases in which a development application in relation to proposed development is determined: (i) the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on the environment, and (ii) measures to ensure that water and energy usage by the proposed development is efficient 	The cumulative impacts of the proposal are considered acceptable.	YES
S13) A provision of an environmental planning instrument that allows development within a zone to be consented to as if it were in a neighbouring zone, or a similar provision, has no effect.	The proposal does not attempt to allow development within a zone to be consented to as if it were in a neighbouring zone.	YES
S14) A consent authority must not consent to an application to carry out development on land to which this Policy applies if, in the opinion of the consent authority, the development will, or is likely to, result in the impeding or diminishing, to any extent, of the physical, land-based right of access of the public to or along the coastal foreshore.	The proposal is unlikely to result in the impeding or diminishing, to any extent, of the physical, land-based right of access of the public to or along the coastal foreshore.	YES
S15) The consent authority must not consent to a development application to carry out development on land to which this Policy applies in which effluent is proposed to be disposed of by means of a non-reticulated system if the consent authority is satisfied the proposal will, or is likely to, have a negative effect on the water quality of the sea or any nearby beach, or an estuary, a coastal lake, a coastal creek or other similar body of water, or a rock platform.	The proposal does not involve a non-reticulated effluent disposal system that will, or is likely to, have a negative effect on the water quality of the sea or any nearby beach, or an estuary, a coastal lake, a coastal creek or other similar body of water, or a rock platform.	YES
S16) The consent authority must not grant consent to a development application to carry out development on land to which this Policy applies if the consent authority is of the opinion that the development will, or is likely to, discharge untreated stormwater into the sea, a beach, or an estuary, a coastal lake, a coastal creek or other similar body of water, or onto a rock platform.	It is considered that the proposed development will not discharge untreated stormwater into the sea, a beach, or an estuary, a coastal lake, a coastal creek or other similar body of water, or onto a rock platform.	YES

EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)	
Applicable:	
└─ _{Yes} 🕶 _{No}	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Yes No	Yes No
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
└ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
▼ _{Yes} No	res no
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?
Disability Access) Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
└─ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	✓ Yes □ No
Yes No	res ino

REFERRALS		
Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	Ves No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	└ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Natural Environment	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory

		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	Yes No Note: The land is identified as Aboriginal Potential Areas 2 and as such was not referred. Regardless, advisory notes will be included to ensure protection of any Aboriginal Heritage if discovered during the demolition/construction phases.	 Satisfactory Satisfactory, subject to condition Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ^I _{No}	Satisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	Yes No	Satisfactory, subject to condition Unsatisfactory Satisfactory
Energy Australia	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	 Satisfactory, subject to condition Unsatisfactory Satisfactory Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory

Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Act 1979	SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
EPA Regulations 2000	SEPP BASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 1992	SEPP Infrastructure
Local Government Act 1993	WLEP 2000
Roads Act 1993	WDCP
Rural Fires Act 1997	S94 Development Contributions Plan
RFI Act 1948	S94A Development Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Water Act 1912	Other (Draft WLEP 2009)
Swimming Pools Act 1992;	

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	✓ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	₽ _{Yes} ► _{No}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ _{Yes} □ _{No} ▼ _{N/A}
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	▼ _{Yes}
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	✓ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: Dwelling House: means a building containing only one dwelling. Land Use Zone: R2 Low Density Residential

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible

Additional Permitted used for particular land - Refer to Schedule 1: Not applicable

Principal Development Standards: Not applicable

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.5m	Yes	Not Applicable

The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.

SECTION 2 – ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received submissions from:

Name	Address
Don & Barbara Champion	No. 1194 Pittwater Road, Narrabeen

The following issues were raised in the submissions:

- Location of the proposed dwelling in relation to the wave impact line;
- Overshadowing of dwelling;
- Relocation of the building further westward;
- Reduction of the building height;
- Building appearance and consistent with the streetscape perspective;

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

• Location of the proposed dwelling in relation to the wave impact line;

Comment: The application was referred to Council's Natural Environment Section for consideration against the current guiding legislation. Natural Environment Unit did not raise any objection to the proposed development. While the submission is warranted, the imposition of conditions of consent will address the submission issue.

• Overshadowing of dwelling;

Comment: The proposed dwelling at certain times of the day and year will overshadow the adjoining dwelling to the south at No. 1194 Pittwater Road, Narrabeen. It is noted that the proposed dwelling is located over 21m from the front western boundary fronting Pittwater Road and over 20m from the rear eastern boundary fronting Narrabeen Beach. Additionally, the building is only 13.6m in length. Under the provision of Clause 62 Access to sunlight, solar access to the principal private open space is not be reduced to less than 2 hours between 9am and 3pm on June 21 (winter solstice). The proposal will meet this criteria.

Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Relocation of the building further westward;

Comment: A request has been made by the adjoining property to the south (No. 1194 Pittwater Road) to resite the proposed building 1 metre further west of the proposed position. It is noted that the

proposed dwelling is located over 21m from the front western boundary along Pittwater Road and over 20m from the rear eastern boundary fronting Narrabeen Beach. Additionally, the building is only 13.6m in length. The resiting of the proposed building seems unreasonable given the modest size of the proposed building.

Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Reduction of the building height;

Comment: A request has been made by the adjoining property to the south (No. 1194 Pittwater Road) to reduce the proposed building height (8.5m) by 1 metre to 7.5m to improve solar access to the kitchen and living area during the winter between 12pm and 3pm at No. 1194 Pittwater Road. There are no reasonable grounds to reduce the building, which is compliant with the overall height (8.5m) for the D1 Collaroy/Narrabeen Locality.

Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Building appearance and consistent with the streetscape perspective;

Comment: The bulk of the building is stepped to integrate with site topography, increasing the setback as the height increases. As a result, it is considered that the dwelling provides a building bulk and scale, consistent with adjoining dwellings. Additionally, the proposal will maintain a consistent front building setback with landscaped elements within the front setback area addressing the existing streetscape of Pittwater Road.

Given the above, the concern raised does not warrant the refusal of the application.

WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

Locality: D1 Collaroy/Narrabeen Locality

"The Collaroy/Narrabeen locality will be characterised by detached style housing and apartments in landscaped settings and a range of complementary and compatible uses. New apartment development will be confined to the "medium density areas" down on the map."

Comment: The proposed new dwelling will maintain the detached-style housing with landscaped settings.

"Outside the "medium density areas", future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached houses in the locality. New development will be of a low scale and articulated with generous spaces between buildings to retain the open lake and seaside character of the locality and create visual interest. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality."

Comment: The proposal will maintain a consistent front building setback with landscaped elements within the front setback area addressing the existing streetscape of Pittwater Road. The proposed dwelling will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached houses in the locality.

"The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape are to be encouraged. Building designs are to incorporate the fine detail found in some of the older existing cottages."

Comment: The dwelling provides a generous setback from the adjoining beach reserve and combined with the colours and finishes of the building materials and landscape plantings, provides visual interest when viewed from the beach.

"Buildings and development along the Collaroy/Narrabeen Beachfront will address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion."

Comment: The dwelling is sited behind the line of wave impact and appropriately sited to satisfactorily address the current and future hazards of wave impact and coastal erosion. Council's Natural Environment Section has recommended a number of conditions, which have been included within the recommendation of this report, which will address the issue of wave impact further.

"The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of the development control provided by clause 39."

Comment: Not applicable

Clause 12(3) (a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3) (a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliance with the Building Height: Natural ground to upper ceiling (metres) Built Form Control, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Building Height: Natural ground to upper ceiling (metres) Built Form Control, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Building Height: Natural ground to upper ceiling (metres)

Required: "Buildings are not to exceed 7.2 metres from natural ground level to the underside of the ceiling on the uppermost floor of the building (excluding habitable areas located wholly within a roof space), but this standard may be relaxed on sites with slopes greater than 20 per cent within the building platform (measured at the base of the walls of the building), provided the building does not exceed the 8.5 metre height standard, is designed and located to minimise the bulk of the building and has minimal visual impact when viewed from the downslope sides of the land".

Proposed: The proposed uppermost ceiling height is 8.2 metres from the natural ground level and does not comply.

Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 8.2m
Requirement:	
₩ 7.2m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20)

Response: In assessing this non-compliant element of the proposal, it is necessary to consider the merit considerations of the Building Height Built Form Control. Accordingly, compliance with the merit considerations are addressed below:

Ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk

Comment: When viewed from the street-front, the proposed dwelling presents as a two storey dwelling and sits comfortably with adjoining buildings and buildings within the vicinity. The proposal is consistent with the visual pattern and therefore allows the development to integrate with the streetscape and the landscape. The steeple and articulated design reduces the visual bulk.

In this regard, when viewed from either the street-front or from afar, the dwelling does not present as a visually dominant structure.

• Preserve the amenity of surrounding land

Comment: The proposal is considered to be of a similar architectural scale to adjoining dwellings and of a similar visual bulk and is considered acceptable in terms of the General Principle for Building Bulk.

Additionally, the proposal provides adequate separation between buildings creating a sense of openness and still allows for visual transparency from the front, rear, and side boundaries.

Given the above the proposal is deemed to preserve the amenity of surrounding land.

 Ensure that development responds to site topography and minimises excavation of the natural landform

Comment: The proposal minimises the excavation to the natural landform with the expectation of the rainwater tank and footings required given the location of the site (adjacent to the Narrabeen Beach). Notwithstanding, the dwelling is considered to provide a consistent pattern of development and integration with the site topography given its location.

• Provide sufficient area for roof pitch and variation in roof design rather than a flat roof.

Comment: The proposed steep roof design adds interest to the local skyline. Therefore, the variation to the Building Height - Natural ground to upper ceiling (metres) Built Form Control is supported under Clause 20 of WLEP 2000.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with Clause/s of the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Building Height: Natural ground to upper ceiling (metres) Built Form Controls (Development Standard) pursuant to Clause 20(1) is Supported.

SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 562.8 sqm	Caves
Detail existing onsite structures:	Overhangs
None	Waterfalls
Dwelling	Creeks / Watercourse
Detached Garage	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Detached shed	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
Tennis Court	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Cabana	Yes No
Other	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Site Features:	North
None	East / West
Trees	North East / South West
Under Storey Vegetation	North West / South East
Rock Outcrops	View of:

Yes No Ocean / Waterways □ _{Yes} □ _{No} Headland □ _{Yes} □ _{No} **District Views**

Bushfire Prone?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Flood Prone?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils

Yes No

Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?



Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?

□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}

Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?

Yes No

Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?

✓ Yes No
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?

✓ Yes ✓ No
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?

☐ Yes ⋈ No
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Is the development Integrated?

Yes No

Does the development require concurrence?

□ _{Yes} 🔽 _{No}

Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}

Bushland Yes No Other:

Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?

✓ Yes □ No

Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?

□ _{Yes} 🗹 _{No}



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's 1 & 2>?</section's </section>	Yes No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be	└ _{Yes} ♥ _{No}
undertaken?	If yes provide detail:
Signed	Date 14 October 2010

Phil Lane, Development Assessment Officer

SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The proposal (new dwelling, alterations to the existing structures and demolition works) has been considered against the relevant matters for consideration under Section 79C of the EP&A Act 1979. This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application, submission, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to any conditions contained within the Recommendation.

Satisfactory

Unsatisfactory

Recommendation:

That Council as the consent authority

 \checkmark

- GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
 - (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and
 - (b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"

Signed

Date 14 October 2010

Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed

Date 14 October 2010

Lashta Haidari, Acting Team Leader, Development Assessment