
Sent: 19/10/2018 3:04:13 PM
Subject: submission DA2017/1274

Dear Sir/Madam,

Re: 2017/1274

I object to DA2017/1274 for the following reasons :

I use the EVA presently on a daily basis as I walk my pets. My concerns revolve around safety issues and the visual impact that this development will have on the landscape, the immediate neighbourhood and the amenity.

The EVA is first and foremost a safety outlet for the Barkala estate in times of fires and other natural disasters or issues. We have had large trees come down over Barkala road and power lines on Lentarna Road and the EVA has been the only way that residents can access the road network.

A large 5 acre complex will have a significant negative effect on the local amenity and the noise of the building plus the after effect as people come and go on a 24 hour basis due to the commercial nature of the complex will add to the negative effect that this complex will have on the immediate area and surrounds . The noise and lights from a development that is operational 24/7 will have a detrimental effect on the surrounds and the lifestyle of the immediate neighbours, of which I am one.

We very much enjoy regularly see Glossy Black Cockatoos on land directly adjoining the golf course and I know the area is rich in wildlife, wallabies etc , my concern is that the development is being built on a high priority wildlife corridor and the wildlife will be permanently disrupted as a result of this construction Presently we enjoy an open space with the golf course and this will change with the proposed 5 acre, 7 building, 3 storey developments. There is a concern that use of the EVA during and post construction will be inhibited due to worries for personal safety. Will the need for a safety fence along the boundary be considered to minimise the potential injury from golf balls due to increased golfing traffic?

What are the councils plans for the increased traffic on Cabbage tree road, already a road with 2 x black spots noted, will a further 200 cars pouring onto this road be addressed with additional infrastructure? What are the contingency plans to accommodate this additional traffic ? The SCC was granted based on the point that (quote) "The proposal will not be prominent in the urban landscape". It is quite evident that an open space golf course does not visually present as an 'urban' landscape and in fact the 7 building complex will be extremely prominent in the landscape.

Finally, I object that the financial mismanagement of the golf club is not the public's problem or issue and this development addresses only the interests of the golf club members and not the larger community.

Please acknowledge receipt of my mail.

Regards John Meek