
Sent: 12/02/2018 12:50:24 PM
Subject: Online Submission

12/02/2018

MS Jane Calcraft
31 / 18 - 18 Ronald AVE
Freshwater NSW 2096

RE: DA2017/1294 - 9 Lawrence Street FRESHWATER NSW 2096

Dear Mr Mitchell,

Re DA2017/1294 19-21 Lawrence Street Freshwater NSW 2096

I object to the above application on several grounds

1. Non compliance with Warringah DCP 2011 Part 5, Freshwater Village. The proposed development application does not adhere to the requirements in regards to the visual aspects of the driveways and the encouragement of active shop fronts along Lawrence street. DCP 5 stipulates that the existing narrow laneways were not to be used. This development will further add to the increasing congestion in the Harbord Village. It will be a nightmare for pedestrians (and drivers) and the kids will no longer be able to skip along the pathway. Access via Oliver street car park has previously been refused for past development applications and should continue to be refused for all present and future proposals. Furthermore a change of rezoning would be required, which in turn requires community approval. The community has rejected rezoning in the past based on relevant grounds.

2. Council should be well aware of the groundwater issues connected to all in and around Lawrence street. Issues regarding groundwater issues have been well documented in the past.

3. The addition of another transformer to the substation and addition to the Telstra Tower would be reason enough on its own to reject his application proposal. Furthermore unknowing potential purchasers and or future residents of such dwellings if not made aware via a conveyance should be made aware of the risks by Council should this development go ahead.

4. Furthermore there are several non compliance issues with the build form in respect of overshadowing , minimum floor to ceiling heights and height height of non compliance for buildings. I am sure you are aware of the details of these non compliances after having received the DA.

Council's notification process was unacceptable and most concerning. The number of residents that were notified of the DA was very limited. Further when DAs are received so close to the summer holiday season it should be mandatory that the response period be extended by the very minimum of one month. We are dealing with very complex issues that have irreversible consequences not to the developers but to the residents of and visitors to Freshwater. If the ATO can extend the due date of BAS statement lodgement by one month to allow for the summer holiday season it is not unreasonable that a Council do the same.

Regards

Jane Calcraft