

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0890

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards

Property Address: Lot 2, DP 31226, No.2 Lowing Close FORESTVILLE

Proposal Description: Demolition of the existing dwelling and construction of a new two (2) storey

dwelling.

Plan Reference: BT29-16 Sheets 1 to 12, dated 27/5/2009, prepared by Buildtech Design &

Development, 42000-166-01A, dated 22/6/2009, prepared by Darcon Group

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	▼ Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	▼ Yes □ No	Yes No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$331,525 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?

Yes No			
Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan			
Contribution based on total development cost of	\$	331,525	
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Council Code
Total S94A Levy	0.45%	\$3,149	6923
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	\$166	6924
Total	0.5%	\$3,315	

Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
Yes No	14 days □ 21 days □ 30 days □ N/A
Submissions Received?	No. of Submissions: None
Yes No	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? Yes No	

RELEVANT BACKGROUND HISTORY

There is no background history relevant to the assessment of this application.

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This application seeks Council's approval for the demolition of the existing dwelling and construction of a two (2) storey dwelling. In more detail, the proposal includes the following:

- · Demolition of the existing dwelling;
- Removal of the existing shipping container;
- Partial excavation of the site to an approximate depth of 700mm with matching retaining walls;



- Construction of a new two (2) storey dwelling with internal garage;
- New vehicle crossing and driveway.

SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: C1 Middle Harbour Suburbs
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3

Desired Future Character:

'The Middle Harbour Suburbs locality will remain characterised by detached-style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses. The land adjacent to Middle Harbour and occupied by the Mosman Rowing Club will be retained for low-scale recreational use sympathetic to its natural setting. The land occupied by the Killarney Heights Tennis Centre at Lot 841 DP 210006 and land occupied by the Killarney Heights Swim Centre at Lot 854 DP 210006 on Tralee Avenue and the land occupied by Belrose Bowling Club at Lot 2 DP 851739 on Forest Way, will continue to be used only as recreation facilities.

The south-west section of the Killarney Heights High School grounds contains bushland and rock outcrops: this area may be developed for housing. Development in this section will recognise the bushland outlook, views and privacy enjoyed from residences adjoining the northern and western boundaries of the site and ensure development reasonably maintains these qualities. The retention of existing landscaping is encouraged, where practical.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality to the surrounding bushland will be reinforced by protecting and enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and preserving the natural landscape, including rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercourses. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged.

Development on hillsides or in the vicinity of ridgetops must integrate with the natural landscape and topography. Development on land which adjoins Middle Harbour shall have regard to the principles contained in Schedule 14.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39.

Future development of the environmentally sensitive land shown cross-hatched on the map will be limited to one dwelling per allotment. Such dwelling will be constructed having regard to the constraints, potential instability, visual sensitivity and impact on the water quality of Middle Harbour'

ш								
•	Category 1	1 Development	with no variation	one to RFC'e	(Section 2 A	leegeemant n	not rea	u iirad\
	Category	Development	with the variation		(OCCUOIT Z F	133633111611111	ioi ieq	ulleu



Is the development considered to be consistent with Yes No	the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required) (Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Built Form Controls:	
Building Height (overall): Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 7.2m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
8.5m	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 5.7m
Requirement: 7.2m	Complies: Yes No
7.2111	
Front Setback: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed: 6.0m minimum 18.0m maximum
6.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment: Existing and unchanged
Yes No Requirement:	Proposed:m
3.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 1 dwelling / per 686.9sqm
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No



1 dwelling per 450sqm	
1 dwelling per 600sqm	
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 59.3% (407.4sqm)
40% (274.7sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Other	
Rear Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 5.2m minimum
Requirement:	11.0m maximum
6.0m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Outbuildings:	Outbuildings:
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
50% of rear setback	Proposed:%
	Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No



	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks: Applicable: Yes No 900mm 4.5m	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Proposed: 3.5m minimum 7.6m maximum Complies: Yes No
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Proposed: 2.5m minimum 12.1m maximum Complies: Yes No

General Principles of Development Control.	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No The imposition of standard conditions of consent will ensure the roof finish utilises materials with a medium to dark colour range so as to reduce excessive solar reflections and glare.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the appropriate management of the site during construction works.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:



Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	Yes No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	✓ Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Too , outgood to contained.
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The proposed dwelling orientates windows that face the street allowing opportunities for casual surveillance to the
	public domain.
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:



Services	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	,
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	The proposed dwelling is positioned in a location that
Tes No	results in minimal impact on existing vegetation. While the
	scope of the works proposes the removal of some trees, the majority of the existing trees are retained which
	contribute to the landscaped setting and visual amenity of the site and the immediate streetscape.
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , subject to contained.
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition. No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition no
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	The rear building setback control requires a setback of
	6.0m. The proposed dwelling provides a minimum setback of 5.2m to the dwelling and a maximum setback of 11.0m. It
	is considered that the dwelling enables the sense of openness within the rear setback area, provides



	opportunities for landscaping and will not result in an unreasonable impact to privacy and amenity of adjoining dwellings. Further, the positioning of the dwelling is considered consistent with the established pattern of development within the street.
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
V Yes □ No	The imposition of a condition of consent will ensure the
	commitments made within the BASIX Certificate are implemented in the dwelling prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition re
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No The proposed parking facilities are in the form of a double
TES INO	garage which is integrated into the front elevation of the dwelling and do not dominate the street in this regard.
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	. 30 1 00 , subject to condition 140
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	



▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Provision is made on-site for the provision of two (2) carparking spaces.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	The imposition of conditions will ensure the appropriate
Tes NO	management and disposal of stormwater.
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions will ensure the appropriate
163 140	management of the site to mitigate the potential for soil erosion and sedimentation.
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ✓ No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
	Compliant
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	Complies:
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
□ Yes No	
	Complies:
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 es 1 es , subject to condition 190
Yes No	



Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes . subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition rec
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	1 co 1 co , subject to condition 140
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 co 1 co , subject to condition 140
Yes No	



Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
□ Yes No		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	ments:	
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No		
SEPP Basix: Applicable?		
✓ Yes No		
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certif	ication?	
Yes No		
SEPP 55 Applicable?		
✓ Yes No		
Based on the previous land uses if the site like	ely to be contaminated?	
□ Yes No		
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?		
✓ Yes No		
SEPP Infrastructure		
Applicable?		
Yes No		
Is the proposal for a swimming pool:		
□ Yes Vo		
Within 30m of an overhead line support struct	ure?	
Yes No		
Within 5m of an overhead power line ?		
Yes No		
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?		
Yes No		
REPs: Applicable?: ☐ Yes ✓ No		



EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)	
Applicable:	
Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Ves □ No
Yes No	TES INU
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
☐ Yes No	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
☐ Yes No	Yes NO
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?
Disability Access) Applicable:	□ Yes □ No
Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	▼ Yes No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the dwelling complies with the relevant provisions of the Building Code of Australia.

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	▼ _{Yes} □ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory



			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ Yes I No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	✓ Yes □ No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:			
EPA Act 1979		_	o. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Regulations 2000		SEPP No	o. 71 – Coastal Protection
		SEPP BA	ASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 1992		SEPP Infrastructure	
Local Government Act 1993		WLEP 20	000
Roads Act 1993		☑ WDCP	
Rural Fires Act 1997		_	elopment Contributions Plan
RFI Act 1948			velopment Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000		_	
Water Act 1912			astal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Swimming Pools Act 1992;		Other	



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	☐ Yes ☐ No ☑ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

SECTION 2 - ISSUES

WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

'The Middle Harbour Suburbs locality will remain characterised by detached-style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses. The land adjacent to Middle Harbour and occupied by the Mosman Rowing Club will be retained for low-scale recreational use sympathetic to its natural setting. The land occupied by the Killarney Heights Tennis Centre at Lot 841 DP 210006 and land occupied by the Killarney Heights Swim Centre at Lot 854 DP 210006 on Tralee Avenue and the land occupied by Belrose Bowling Club at Lot 2 DP 851739 on Forest Way, will continue to be used only as recreation facilities.

The south-west section of the Killarney Heights High School grounds contains bushland and rock outcrops: this area may be developed for housing. Development in this section will recognise the bushland outlook, views and privacy enjoyed from residences adjoining the northern and western boundaries of the site and ensure development reasonably maintains these qualities. The retention of existing landscaping is encouraged, where practical.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality to the surrounding bushland will be reinforced by protecting and enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and preserving the natural landscape, including rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercourses. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged.

Development on hillsides or in the vicinity of ridgetops must integrate with the natural landscape and topography. Development on land which adjoins Middle Harbour shall have regard to the principles contained in Schedule 14.



The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39.

Future development of the environmentally sensitive land shown cross-hatched on the map will be limited to one dwelling per allotment. Such dwelling will be constructed having regard to the constraints, potential instability, visual sensitivity and impact on the water quality of Middle Harbour.'

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Front Building Setback and Rear Building Setback Built Form Controls, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

- The proposed development being for a residential dwelling, maintains the character of detached style housing within a landscaped setting;
- The subject site is located within the cul-de-sac of Lowing Close, resulting in a unique allotment configuration. In this regard, the positioning of the proposed dwelling results in non-compliance with the Front Building Setback Built Form Control and the existing pattern of development results in varying front building setbacks. Notwithstanding, the proposed dwelling is considered to maintain a consistent pattern of development and consistent built form with regard to building height, bulk and scale and allows for a landscaped front garden.

Accordingly, the proposed development satisfies the Desired Future Character statement.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Front Building Setback and Rear Building Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Front Building Setback Built Form Control

Requirement:

Development is to provide a front building setback of 6.5m

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed dwelling provides a minimum front building setback of 6.0m.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

Create a sense of openness:

The positioning of the dwelling provides a side setback of 2.5m on the eastern elevation and a minimum of 3.5m, tapering out to 7.6m on the western elevation. In this regard, it is considered that the dwelling provides an appropriate sense of separation between dwellings and creates a sense of openness. The sense of openness is further enhanced by the provision of the front building setback tapering out to 18.0m as a result of the configuration of the allotment.



Provide opportunities for landscaping:

The proposed front building setback enables the provision of approximately 147sqm soft landscaping. In this regard, it is considered that there is sufficient accommodation of soft landscaping within the front building setback area and will maintain a landscaped setting to the site.

Minimise the impact of development on the streetscape:

The proposed dwelling presents to the street as a split level dwelling with the majority of the front elevation presenting as a single storey dwelling. When viewed from the street, the dwelling does not present a sense of visual dominance or scale and integrates with the topography of the land.

The provision of approximately 147sqm of soft landscaping within the front setback area will enable the provision of landscape plantings commensurate with the building height and bulk and will contribute to softening the external appearance of the dwelling.

Maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements:

The subject site is located within the cul-de-sac of Lowing Close. In this regard, the established pattern of development results in varying front building setbacks. It is considered that the proposed setback with a minimum of 6.0m and a maximum of 18.0m provides a consistent pattern of development, allowing for landscaped front gardens and landscape elements to address the street frontage.

Rear Building Setback Built Form Control

Requirement:

Development is to provide a rear building setback of 6.0m.

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed dwelling provides a minimum rear building setback of 5.2m

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

Create a sense of openness in rear yards.

The subject site has a unique allotment configuration being located within the cul-de-sac of Lowing Close. Notwithstanding, the orientation and positioning of the dwelling provides an area of landscaping at the rear which equates to 193sqm with a maximum depth of 11.0m. In this regard, it is considered that the development maintains an adequate sense of openness within the rear yard.

Preserve the amenity of adjacent land.

Maintain visual continuity and pattern of buildings, rear gardens and landscape elements.

Provide opportunities to maintain privacy between dwellings.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control



The proposal is generally consistent with the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Front Building Setback and Rear Building Setback Built Form Controls (Development Standards) pursuant to Clause 20(1) are supported.

SECTION 3 - SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site areasqm	Detached shed
Detail existing onsite structures:	Swimming pool
None	Tennis Court
Dwelling	Cabana
Detached Garage	Other: Shipping Container



Potential View Loss as a result of development Site Features: ☐ Yes ✓ No None If Yes where from (in relation to site): North / South Under Storey Vegetation East / West Rock Outcrops North East / South West Caves North West / South East Overhangs View of: □ Yes □ No Waterfalls Ocean / Waterways Creeks / Watercourse ☐ Yes ☐ No Headland ☐ Yes ☐ No Aboriginal Art / Carvings District Views Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage ☐ Yes ☐ No Bushland significance Other: **Bushfire Prone?** Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance? □ Yes No ☐ Yes ☑ No Flood Prone? Located within an area identified as ☐ Yes ☑ No potential land slip? Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils ☐ Yes ☑ No □ _{Yes} ▼ _{No} Is the development Integrated? Located within 40m of any natural ☐ Yes ✓ No watercourse? Does the development require ☐ Yes ☑ No concurrence? □ _{Yes} ▼ _{No} Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay Is the site owned or is the DA made by the estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy? ☐ Yes ☑ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument? Located within 100m of the mean high watermark? ▼ Yes □ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way? Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone? ☐ Yes ✓ No ☐ Yes ☑ No Any items of heritage significance located ☐ Yes ☑ No



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No	
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	□ Yes No	
additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:	
Signed Date 14 /	August 2009	
Michael Edwards, Development Asses	sment Officer	
SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMI	NATION	
Conclusion:		
The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:		
Satisfactory		
Unsatisfactory		
Recommendation:		
That Council as the consent authority		
_		
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSI	GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:	
(a) the conditions detailed with(b) the consent lapsing within t	in the associated notice of determination; and hree (3) from operation	
GRANT DEFERRED COMMEN to:	GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:	
(b) limit the deferred commend	in the associated notice of determination; ement condition time frame to 3 years; ement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an	
	t to the time frames detailed within part (d); and	



REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:

(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION: DA2009/0890

PROPERTY ADDRESS: No.2 Lowing Close, Forestville

Signed Date 14 August 2009

Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer

The application is determined under the delegated authority of:

Signed Date 14 August 2009

Steven Findlay, Team Leader, Development Assessment