

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0945

5/10/2004

31/1/2005

deck.

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards

Property Address: Lot A DP 364385, No.205 Headland Road NORTH CURL CURL

Proposal Description: Alterations & additions to the existing dwelling including a swimming pool,

Plan Reference: 0905DA 1/12 – 12/12

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	☐ Yes ☑ No	☐ Yes ✓ No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$215,000 Are S94A Contributions Applicable? Yes No Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan Contribution based on total development cost of \$ 215,000 Council Contribution - all parts Warringah Contribution Levy **Payable** Code Rate Total S94A Levy 0.45% \$2,043 Rams S94A Planning and Administration 0.05% \$108 Rams Total 0.5% \$2,150 Period of Public Exhibition? **Notification Required?** ▼ Yes □ No ✓ 14 days ☐ 21 days ☐ 30 days ☐ N/A

		,
Submission	ons Received? No. of Su	ubmissions: One (1) submission
▼ Yes □	No	
Are any trees	es impacted upon by the proposed development? \Box	∕es No
RELEVANT	T BACKGROUND	
18/4/2002	Deferred Commencement Consent granted to DA2d dwelling.	000/3674 for the construction of a new
13/10/2003	Consent granted to DA2003/0718 for the alterations to create a granny flat.	s and additions to the existing dwelling

Consent granted to DA2004/0923 for the construction of a rear deck.

Consent granted to DA2004/0923 MOD 1 for the enclosure of the ground floor of the rear



PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This application seeks Council's consent to the alterations and additions to the existing dwelling.

In more detail, the proposed scope of works includes the following:

- Demolition of the existing outbuilding located in the south-eastern rear corner of the site (currently being used for illegal occupation);
- Alterations and additions to the existing dwelling to provide a new ground floor bedroom, living room, wet-bar, storeroom/pantry and ensuite;
- New swimming pool (lap pool).

SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: F5 Curl Curl				
Development Definition:	Housing	Ancillary Development to Hou	using	Other

The internal configuration of the ground floor addition presents as a separate dwelling, whereby the addition is fully contained. The addition is interconnected to the existing main dwelling by a single stairway on the western elevation and is not substantially integrated with the main dwelling. Furthermore, the additions propose a floor area of approximately 64sqm. In this regard, the additions would not meet the provisions for a 'granny flat' as defined under WLEP 2000.

On the balance of the above, the proposed development presents as a separate dwelling and is best defined as a 'dwelling'. Accordingly, it is recommended that conditions be imposed to delete the wet-bar and storage/pantry so as to prevent the separate occupation of this space as a 'dwelling'. The deletion of these elements will then properly present as an extension of the existing dwelling.

			1
Category of Development:	Category 1	Category 2	Category 3

Desired Future Character:

'The Curl Curl locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by existing apartment style housing and a range of complementary and compatible uses. The land containing the existing Bowling Club at Lot 2682 DP 752038 on Abbott Road and the land containing the existing Harbord Bowling Club at Lot 4 DP 601758 on Stirgess Avenue will continue to be used only for recreation facilities.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of detached style housing in the locality. The streets are to be characterised by landscaped front gardens and front building setbacks which are consistent with surrounding development. The exposed natural sandstone rock outcrops throughout the locality will be maintained. Development on prominent hillsides or hilltops must be designed to integrate with the landscape, topography and long distance views of the hill. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided in clause 39.'



Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)		
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?		
Yes No		
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)	
Built Form Controls:		
Building Height (overall):		
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 6.0m to rear balcony	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
8.5m		
11.0m		
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
	Proposed: 5.7m to rear balcony	
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No	
7.2m		
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged	
Applicable: Yes No	Ç Ç	
Requirement:	Proposed:m	
V	Complies: Yes No	
6.5m		
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:	
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Existing and unchanged	
Requirement:	Proposed:m	
3.5m	Complies: Yes No	
Housing Density:	П	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged	
Requirement:	Proposed: 1 dwelling (with existing approved attached granny flat)/ per 727.0sqm	
1 dwelling per 450sqm	Complies: Yes No	
	(Subject to the imposition of conditions to delete the wet-	
1 dwelling per 600sqm	bar and storeroom/pantry)	



Landscape Open Space:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Applicable. Tes No	Proposed: 41.8% (304.0sqm)
₹	
40%	Complies: Yes No
50%	
Rear Setback:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
7 pp. roadis.	Proposed: 14.0m to rear additions
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
6.0m	Compiles. Tes NO
Outbuildings:	Outbuildings:
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
50% of rear setback	Proposed:%
	Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable. Tee No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	or
4m / 45 degrees	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
	Compiles. Tes 140
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable. 165 NO	Existing and unchanged
₹	or
900mm	Proposed: 4100mm to rear addition
4.5m	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst



Existing ar	nd unchanged
or	
Proposed:	900mm to rear addition
Complies:	Yes No

General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the colours and finishes do not result in excessive or unreasonable glare and solar reflections.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to containon rec
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the appropriate storage of building materials and waste together with the management of the site during the construction phase.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	
Yes No	The proposed development will maintain the residential use of the site. A condition of consent will ensure the appropriate treatment of the swimming pool filter equipment to ensure it is enclosed in a sound-proof casing.
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	res res, subject to condition ino



CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to
	be contaminated?
Yes No	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Too Too , outsjoot to containen The
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	1 co , subject to condition 140
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. so , subject to condition
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	,,
Yes No	



CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition into
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to contaition 140
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The following is a view assessment of the views obtained from No.207 Headland Road, North Curl Curl, undertaken in accordance with the four (4) step process adopted by Commissioner Roseth in the NSW Land and Environment Court in Tenacity Consulting v Warringah Council [2004] NSW LEC 140.
	The first step is the assessment of views to be affected. Water views are valued more than land views. Iconic views (eg of the Opera House, the Sydney Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, eg: a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured.
	The views obtained are predominantly comprised of district bushland views with a portion of ocean views comprising the horizon. The views obtained are not whole views, being separated by existing dwellings below in Makim Street together with significant vegetation. The former St Patricks seminary is visible within the district views obtained directly south.
	The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example, the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front or rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic. The third step is to assess the extent of the



	impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss quantitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating.
	 The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably by considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable. The assessment of the views affected has determined that the impact to view sharing as a
	result of the development is moderate. Accordingly, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the intent of this General Principle and the four step test established by the Land and Environment Court.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. 55 100 , oddjošt to doriditori 110
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No The proposed alterations and additions orientate main living areas towards the rear elevation which extend out on to both the first floor and upper storey balconies. From these elements, it is considered that there are opportunities for unreasonable direct overlooking to the adjoining properties. In this regard, it is recommended that a condition of consent be imposed, requiring the provision of privacy screens to both the western and eastern elevations of the upper storey balcony.
	The proposed swimming (lap) pool on the first floor level provides separation between dwellings. This element achieves some visual privacy by preventing direct overlooking at the edge of the building. Notwithstanding, it is recommended that a condition of consent be imposed to require opaque glazing to the balustrade on the eastern elevation which will provide an increased level of visual privacy from a sitting position.
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the roof form does not result in excessive glare and solar reflections by requiring a roof finish with medium to dark colours.
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the commitments made in the BASIX Certificate are implemented in the dwelling prior to occupation.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



☐ Yes ☑ No	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	There is no alteration to the existing provision of carparking whereby provision is made for two (2) vehicles in the
	existing double carport.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ✓ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the
res ino	appropriate management of stormwater.
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves □ No	The imposition of conditions of consent will ensure the
res No	appropriate management of the site to prevent erosion and
	sedimentation.
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	res res, subject to condition into
	Complies:
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	· ·
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	roo , oubject to contained.
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 es 1 es , subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 65 1 65 , Subject to containent ino
Yes No	



Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes . subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition rec
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	1 co 1 co , subject to condition 140
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 co 1 co , subject to condition 140
Yes No	



Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	iments:
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?	
Yes No	
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat	ion?
Yes No	
SEPP 55 Applicable?	
Yes No	
Based on the previous land uses if the site likely t	to be contaminated?
Yes No	
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?	
Yes No	
SEPP Infrastructure	
Applicable? ✓ Yes No	
Is the proposal for a swimming pool:	
▼ Yes □ No	
Yes NO Within 30m of an overhead line support struct	cure?
Yes No	
Within 5m of an overhead power line?	
Yes No	
Yes No Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?	
Yes No	
REPs: Applicable?:	



EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 94 (Lipsrable) Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition?		
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition?		
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition?	Applicable:	
Applicable: Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition?	Yes No	
Tyes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: □ Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: □ Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: □ Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: □ Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No Addressed via condition? □ Yes No	Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy? Yes No Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No	Applicable:	□ves □Ne
Applicable: Yes No Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition?	☐ Yes No	Yes No
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition?		
Applicable: Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Yes No	☐ Yes No	Yes No
Yes No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Yes No Addressed via condition? Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Yes No	Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Addressed via condition? Yes No	Applicable:	
Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Yes No	☐ Yes No	Yes No
Applicable: Yes No Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition? Yes No Ves No	,	Addressed via condition?
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: Addressed via condition?	-	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Applicable:	Yes No	
Ves No	Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Vos No	Applicable:	Dyes DNs
165 110	Yes No	TES INU

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	☐ Yes ✓ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



		Unsatisfactory	
□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}		Satisfactory	
		Satisfactory, subject to condition	
		Unsatisfactory	
□ Yes No		Satisfactory	
		Satisfactory, subject to condition	
		Unsatisfactory	
□ _{Yes} No		Satisfactory	
		Satisfactory, subject to condition	
		Unsatisfactory	
□ Yes No		Satisfactory	
		Satisfactory, subject to condition	
		Unsatisfactory	
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:			
	_	o. 55 – Remediation of Land	
	SEPP No	o. 71 – Coastal Protection	
	SEPP BA	ASIX	
Disability Discrimination Act 1992		frastructure	
Local Government Act 1993		₩ WLEP 2000	
Roads Act 1993			
Rural Fires Act 1997			
RFI Act 1948		elopment Contributions Plan	
Water Management Act 2000		evelopment Contributions Plan	
Water Act 1912		astal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)	
	_		
	Yes No Yes No Yes No	Yes No Yes No Yes No Yes No SEPP No SEPP No SEPP Int WLEP 20 WDCP S94 Dev	



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	✓ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ Yes □ No ■ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes □ No
	(Subject to the deletion of the wet-bar and storeroom/pantry to ensure the alterations and additions do not present as a separate self-contained dwelling).
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	✓ Yes □ No

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: Dwelling house

Land Use Zone: R2 Low Density Residential

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies
Minimum Subdivision Lot Size:	Not applicable	This application is not for subdivision	Not applicable
Rural Subdivision:	Not applicable	This application is not for subdivision	Not applicable
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	6.0m to rear balcony	YES

The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.



SECTION 2 - ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received submissions from:

Name	Address
Jones, M & P	No.207 Headland Road, North Curl Curl

The matters raised within the submissions are addressed as follows:

Building bulk

 The proposed development is excessively large, insofar as it will obscure ocean views obtained from No.207 Headland Road.

Comment: An assessment of the proposal against the four step 'Tenacity test' is provided under Clause 61 Views of the General Principles of Development Control which demonstrates that the proposed development is satisfactory.

Accordingly, this issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

Overshadowing

 The size of the proposed development will result in substantial overshadowing over the dwelling at No.207 Headland Road.

Comment: Shadow diagrams were not submitted with the application. Notwithstanding, the extent of the proposed works are predominantly single storey with an open and lightweight balcony proposed to the first floor. In this regard, any additional overshadowing will be predominantly southward of the existing dwelling and adjoining dwellings, and will marginally increase the level of overshadowing from that of the existing situation.

Accordingly, this issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

• Provision of carparking

• It is apparent from viewing the plans that the proposed additional development is a self-contained living area which will necessitate additional carparking.

Comment: It is noted that the proposed additions present as a separate self-contained dwelling. In this regard, conditions of consent are recommended for imposition to delete the storeroom/pantry and wet-bar. With the deletion of these elements, the alterations and additions present as an extension of the living area and do not necessitate the additional provision of carparking.

Accordingly, this issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

Noise:

 The swimming pool filter equipment will result in an unreasonable noise impact on No.207 Headland Road.

Comment: The imposition of conditions of consent will address this concern by ensuring the swimming pool filter equipment is enclosed in a sound-proof enclosure.

Accordingly, this issue does not warrant the refusal of the application.

MEDIATION

Has mediation been requested by the objectors?





SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 727.0sqm	Creeks / Watercourse
Detail existing onsite structures:	
П	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
None	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
Dwelling	significance
Detached Garage	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Detached shed	Yes No
Swimming pool	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Tennis Court	North / South
Cabana	East / West
Site Features:	North East / South West
None	□ □
Trees	North West / South East
	View of:
Under Storey Vegetation	▽ □
Rock Outcrops	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Caves	Headland Yes No
Overhangs	District Views Yes No
Waterfalls	Bushland Yes No



Bushfire Prone?	Does the proposal impact easements / Rights of Way?	upon any
□ Yes No	_	
Flood Prone?	Yes No	
□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}		
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils		
☐ Yes No		
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?		
☐ Yes No		
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?		
□ Yes No		
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?		
□ Yes No		
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?		
□ Yes No		
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?		
□ Yes No		
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?		
□ Yes No		
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?		
□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}		
Is the development Integrated?		
□ Yes No		
Does the development require concurrence?		
□ Yes No		
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?		
☐ Yes No		
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?		
▼ Yes □ No		



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

confirm t	site inspection <section 3=""> ne assessment undertaken ne relevant EPI's <section's< th=""><th>Yes No</th></section's<></section>	Yes No
have arise inspection	any additional matters that en from your site n that would require any	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
undertake	l assessment to be	If yes provide detail:
0:	D-4- 45	0-4-1
Signed	Date 15	October 2009
Michael E	dwards, Development Asses	sment Officer
SECTION	4 - APPLICATION DETERMI	NATION
Conclusion	on:	
	sal has been considered again and the proposed development	ist the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA is considered to be:
Satisfa	actory	
Unsati	sfactory	
Recomme	endation:	
inat Cou	ncil as the consent authority	
☑ GR	ANT DEVELOPMENT CONSE	ENT to the development application subject to:
	a) the conditions detailed withb) the consent lapsing within t	in the associated notice of determination; and hree (3) from operation
	GRANT DEFERRED COMMEN D:	ICEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject
(1	i) limit the deferred commencei) one the deferred commence	in the associated notice of determination; ement condition time frame to 3 years; ement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an t to the time frames detailed within part (d); and hree (3) from operation



REFUSE deve	lopment con	sent to the development application subject to:
(a) the reaso	ons detailed v	vithin the associated notice of determination.
"I am aware of Warring Conflict of Interest"	gah's Code of	f Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a
Signed	Date	15 October 2009
Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer		
The application is dete	rmined unde	r the delegated authority of:
Signed	Date	15 October 2009

Steven Findlay, Team Leader, Development Assessment