
Sent: 16/10/2019 1:23:10 PM

Subject: Second email - Re: Response to Modification 2019/0461 -DA 270/2016 Lot 11 DP
1035012 2C Edgecliff Esp, Seaforth

Dear Ms Wood,

I refer to my email dated 7 October, 2019 in regard to modifications to 2C Edgecliff Esp, Seaforth.

After more consideration, I would like to point out to council that by changing the existing roof line and adding decks, spa and more hard surfaces etc - I don't believe the existing storm water will be able to cope with the drainage that is currently in place.

There is currently a drainage easement that runs down between my property at 2E Battle Blvd and my neighbour in 2C Battle Blvd (it is owned by 2B Edgecliff Esp) It starts between 2C and 2 B Edgecliff Esp. It is a very small pit which already overflows onto my property during heavy rain. There is also a very small pit built under the existing deck at 2c Edgecliff Esp. I have been told that since the pit was been installed it has been made smaller by a brick course when the deck was replaced.

Council is well aware of a insurance claim which was lodged by the previous owners against council before I purchased this home. The lower two levels of this house was flooded due to storm water gushing down from Edgecliff Esp.

Could council please take into consideration the size of the existing stormwater pit and pipes and ensure that adequate drainage is put into place which may include a large retention pit and larger pipes to ensure my property is safe from flooding.

With kinds regards

Roberta Kohn

Sent from my iPad

> On 7 Oct 2019, at 2:40 pm, Roberta Kohn wrote:

>

> Dear Ms Wood,

>

> I refer to the above application and advise that I am the owner of 2E Battle Blvd, Seaforth which is immediately beneath and to the south of the subject property.

>

> I only have two concerns which I wish to raise:

> 1. I wish to ensure that there is appropriate drainage in place so that there is not an increased flow of water onto my property which is on the low side of the subject property. My property has previously been flooded and this is the reason for my concern. Perhaps an automatic drainer to the spa could also be installed to alleviate the potential problem.

> 2. I wish to ensure that I do not lose any more sunlight as a result of the proposed modifications given the height of the subject dwelling and the modifications to the roofline.

>

> Thank you for the invitation to respond.

> With kind regards,

> Roberta Kohn

>

> Sent from my iPad