

PRELIMINARY GEOTECHNICAL ASSESSMENT:

35 Ferguson Street, Forestville

1.0	LANDSLIP RISK CLASS (<i>Highlight indicates Landslip Risk Class of property</i>)
☒	<i>A - Geotechnical Report not normally required</i>
☒	<i>B - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required</i>
☐	<i>C - Geotechnical Report is required</i>
☐	<i>D - Geotechnical Engineer (Under Council Guidelines) to decide if Geotechnical Report is required</i>
☐	<i>E - Geotechnical Report required</i>

2.0 Proposed Development

- 2.1** Re-landscape the uphill side of the property by excavating to a maximum depth of ~1.5m.
- 2.2** Construct a new driveway.
- 2.3** No fills are shown on the plans.
- 2.4** Details of the proposed development are shown on 2 drawings prepared by TGS Landscape Architects, drawings numbered 2024.0905DA1-2 and 2024.0905DA1-3, Issue C, dated 10/2/25.

3.0 Site Location

- 3.1** The site was inspected on the 3rd March, 2025.
- 3.2** This residential property is on the high side of the road and has a N aspect. The property runs longways to the SW so the slope has a cross-fall. It is located on the gently graded upper reaches of a hillslope. Medium Strength Hawkesbury Sandstone bedrock outcrops on the uphill side of the property. Where sandstone is not exposed,

it is expected to underlie the surface at relatively shallow depths. The natural surface of the block has been altered with excavations used for landscaping across the property. The proposed development will require an excavation to a maximum depth of ~1.5m for the landscaping works.

3.3 The site shows no indications of historical movement in the natural surface that could have occurred since the property was developed. We are aware of no history of instability on the property.

4.0 Site Description

The natural slope rises across the site at an average angle of <5°. At the road frontage, a gravel driveway runs up the slope to a garage on the ground floor of the house. An on-site detention tank (OSD) has been cut into the slope adjacent to the garage. The OSD is supported by stable concrete block retaining walls. The proposed driveway will extend over the OSD. Between the road frontage and the house is a gently sloping lawn. The two-storey brick house is supported on brick walls. No significant signs of movement were observed in the supporting walls. A level platform has been cut into the slope for the house. The cut is supported by stable mortared stack rock, keystone block, concrete, and brick retaining walls. The retaining walls merge into an outcrop of Medium Strength Sandstone on the uphill side of the property. The outcrop is considered to be stable. The area surrounding the house is mostly lawn and garden-covered. No significant signs of movement associated with slope instability were observed on the grounds. No cliffs or large rock faces were observed on the property or in the near vicinity. No geotechnical hazards that could impact on the subject property were observed on the surrounding neighbouring properties as viewed from the subject property and the street.

5.0 Recommendations

The proposed development and site conditions were considered and applied to the current council requirements. See the required inspection below that is to be carried out during construction and is a requirement for the final geotechnical certification. Apart from the

inspection, it is not expected additional geotechnical input will be required provided good design and building practices are followed.

6.0 Inspection

The client and builder are to familiarise themselves with the following required inspection as well as council geotechnical policy. We cannot provide geotechnical certification for the owners or the regulating authorities if the following inspection has not been carried out during the construction process.

- All footings are to be inspected and approved by the geotechnical consultant while the excavation equipment and contractors are still onsite and before steel reinforcing is placed or concrete is poured.

White Geotechnical Group Pty Ltd.



Nathan Gardner B.Sc. (Geol. & Geophys. & Env. Stud.)
AIG., RPGeo Geotechnical & Engineering.
No. 10307
Engineering Geologist & Environmental Scientist.

Reviewed By:



Tyler Jay Johns
BEng (Civil)(Hons),
Geotechnical Engineer.



Information about your Preliminary Assessment

This Preliminary Assessment relies on visual observations of the surface features observed during the site inspection. Where reference is made to subsurface features (e.g., the depth to rock) these are interpretations based on the surface features present and previous experience in the area. No ground testing was conducted as part of this assessment and it is possible subsurface conditions will vary from those interpreted in the assessment.

In some cases, we will recommend no further geotechnical assessment is necessary despite the presence of existing fill or a rock face on the property that exceed the heights that would normally trigger a full geotechnical report, according to the Preliminary Assessment Flow Chart. Where this is the case, if it is an existing fill, it is either supported by a retaining wall that we consider stable, or is battered at a stable angle and situated in a suitable position on the slope. If it is a rock face that exceeds the flow chart limit height, the face has been deemed to be competent rock that is considered stable. These judgements are backed by the inspection of over 5000 properties on Geotechnical related matters.

The proposed excavation heights referred to in section 2.0 of this assessment are estimated by review of the plans we have been given for the job. Although we make every reasonable effort to provide accurate information excavation heights should be checked by the owner or person lodging the DA. If the excavation heights referred to in in section 2.0 of this assessment are incorrect, we are to be informed immediately and before this assessment is lodged with the DA.
