

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2022/0048	
Responsible Officer:	Brittany Harrison	
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 8 DP 8035, 18 Park Street COLLAROY NSW 2097	
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2016/0746 grante for alterations and additions to a dwelling house	
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned C2 Environmental Conservation	
Development Permissible:	Yes - Zone R2 Low Density Residential Yes - Zone C2 Environmental Conservation	
Existing Use Rights:	No	
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council	
Land and Environment Court Action:	n: No	
Owner:	Duncan Bruce McGregor Stirling	
Applicant:	Duncan Bruce McGregor Stirling	

Application Lodged:	10/02/2022	
Integrated Development:	No	
Designated Development:	No	
State Reporting Category:	Refer to Development Application	
Notified:	22/02/2022 to 08/03/2022	
Advertised:	Not Advertised	
Submissions Received:	0	
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil	
Recommendation:	Approval	

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This Section 4.55(1A) Modification Application seeks to amend the approved DA2016/0746 and MOD2019/0267 in the following ways:

- Relocation of the previously approved bin store area, moved 2.0m to the east with a lowered height of 1.1m; and
- Deletion of entrance gate.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

MOD2022/0048 Page 1 of 14



The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Development Control Plan - B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 8 DP 8035, 18 Park Street COLLAROY NSW 2097
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the western side of Park Street.
	The subject site is legally known as Lot 8, in Deposited Plan 8035, No. 18 Park Street, Collaroy.
	The site is irregular in shape with a frontage of 15.24m along Park Street and a depth of 105.25m (along the southern boundary) and 117.68m (along the northern boundary). The site has a surveyed area of 1683m².
	The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential and C2 Environmental Conservation zones under the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 and accommodates a two (2) storey dwelling with no onsite parking at present. The site is under construction via original approved DA2016/0746 and MOD2019/0267.
	The site slope significantly from the upper western boundary (adjacent to Collaroy Escarpment Reserve) down to the Park Street frontage of 38m, which equates to a slope of 28%.
	The site has a number of trees within surrounding the

MOD2022/0048 Page 2 of 14



footprint area of the dwelling and is heavily vegetated on the upper areas within the C2 Environmental Conservation zone.

Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

Surrounding development consists of single and double storey dwellings with associated outbuildings and structures with landscaped gardens. A number of garages and parking structures are located within the front setback areas.

Мар:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

DA2014/0726

Development Application for construction of a dwelling house. Determined on 4 November 2014 by Delegated Authority.

DA2016/0746

Development Application for alterations and Additions to a dwelling house. Determined on 30 September 2016 by Delegated Authority.

Mod2019/0267

Modification of Development Consent DA2016/0746 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house.

Determined on 12 July 2019 by Delegated Authority.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

MOD2022/0048 Page 3 of 14



The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2016/0746, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
A consent authority may, on application being nact on a consent granted by the consent author regulations, modify the consent if:	nade by the applicant or any other person entitled to ity and subject to and in accordance with the
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons: • The modification consists of a relocation of the bin enclosure and deletion of entry gate, which should not result in any unreasonable impacts to adjoining properties.
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2016/0746 for the following reasons: • The modifications sought do not significantly alter the approved footprint, envelope or height of the building; • The modifications sought do not alter the existing use of the building; and • The overall visual appearance of the building will remain substantially similar to that of the already approved development.

MOD2022/0048 Page 4 of 14



Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications	Comments
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and
(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,	Assessment Regulation 2000, and the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan.
or	
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.

MOD2022/0048 Page 5 of 14



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This clause is not relevant to this application.
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan 2011 section in this report.
the locality	(ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

MOD2022/0048 Page 6 of 14



The proposed modification does not involve an amendment to any habitable spaces or material changes.

Modifications include the deletion of an entry gate and the relocation of a bin storage area.

Therefore there will be no amendments to the bushfire requirements, under the existing DA2016/0746 or MOD2019/0267 Consent.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 22/02/2022 to 08/03/2022 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments	
\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \	Council's Natural Environment Unit - Biodiversity referral team has no	
- ·	objections to the proposed modification subject to the conditions provided for the previous DA consent (DA2016/0746).	

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.
Aboriginal Heritage Office	Reference is made to the proposed development at the above area and Aboriginal heritage.
	No sites are recorded in the current development area and the area has been subject to previous disturbance reducing the likelihood of surviving unrecorded Aboriginal sites.
	Given the above, the Aboriginal Heritage Office considers that there are no Aboriginal heritage issues for the proposed development.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

MOD2022/0048 Page 7 of 14



State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 19 - Bushland in Urban Areas

For land adjoining land zoned or reserved for public open space, Council shall not grant development consent unless it has taken into account:

- (c) the need to retain any bushland on the land,
- (d) the effect of the proposed development on bushland zoned or reserved for public open space purposes and, in particular, on the erosion of soils, the siltation of streams and waterways and the spread of weeds and exotic plants within the bushland, and
- (e) any other matters which, in the opinion of the approving or consent authority, are relevant to the protection and preservation of bushland zoned or reserved for public open space purposes.

Comment:

The proposed modifications are located within the front of the dwelling house (eastern boundary) and will not encroach or disturb the adjoining public open space at the rear of the site.

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

MOD2022/0048 Page 8 of 14



- (1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:
 - (a) the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,
 - (b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,
 - (c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,
 - (d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,
 - (e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,
 - (f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,
 - (g) the use of the surf zone.

Comment:

It is considered that the proposed modifications and siting of the works will have minimal impact on the land and is deemed to satisify this objective.

- (2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:
 - (a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or
 - (b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or
 - (c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact.

Comment:

The proposed modifications are unlikely to cause a coastal impact over and above that of the approved development.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

No Change

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	6.7m (Dwelling)	No Change	Yes

MOD2022/0048 Page 9 of 14



1.1m (Bin Storag	age Area)
------------------	-----------

Compliance Assessment

eempliance recededment		
Clause	Compliance with Requirements	
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes	
5.3 Development near zone boundaries	Yes	
6.2 Earthworks	Yes	
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes	

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	6.4m	No Change	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	4m (Northern Elevation)	Within Envelope	No Change	Yes
	4m (Southern Elevation)	Within Envelope	No Change	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	0.9m (Northern Boundary	1m	No Change	Yes
	0.9m (Southern Boundary)	2.5m Bin Enclosure: 0.1m	No Change	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m (Eastern Boundary)	1m Bin Enclosure: 4.7m	No Change 27.7% Reduction	Yes No
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6m (Western Boundary)	80m	No Change	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	40% (673.2m²)	77.4% (1302.6m²)	No Change	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	Yes	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes

MOD2022/0048 Page 10 of 14



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D21 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E3 Threatened species, populations, ecological communities listed under State or Commonwealth legislation, or High Conservation Habitat	Yes	Yes
E4 Wildlife Corridors	Yes	Yes
E5 Native Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E7 Development on land adjoining public open space	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

Description of Non-Compliance

Clause B7 requires a front building setback of 6.5m to the boundary.

The proposed modification includes the relocation of the bin enclosure 2.0m towards the front boundary, presenting a front setback of 4.7m. It is noted that the previously approved Development Application (DA2016/0746) supported a front setback of 1.0m for the proposed garage.

Merit Consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

To create a sense of openness.

Comment:

The proposed modification will not alter the overall appearance of the previously approved development. A sense of openness will be maintained through the inclusion of the front terrace

MOD2022/0048 Page 11 of 14



area and proposed landscaping.

• To maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings and landscape elements.

Comment:

The modifications present are considered minor amendments and will reasonably maintain visual continuity between surrounding buildings and the present landscape elements.

• To protect and enhance the visual quality of streetscapes and public spaces.

Comment:

The relocation of the bin enclosure into the front setback area is not likely to negatively impact the visual quality of the existing streetscape character. It is noted that the height of the bin enclosure will be reduced and will blend into the surrounding landscaping on site.

• To achieve reasonable view sharing.

Comment:

The encroachment into the front boundary setback is unlikely to cause unreasonable view loss and should maintain the view sharing to that of the previously approved development.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP 2011and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;

MOD2022/0048 Page 12 of 14



- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Inconsistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2022/0048 for Modification of Development Consent DA2016/0746 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 8 DP 8035,18 Park Street, COLLAROY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp			
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By	
S4.55_02 - Part Site Plan (Issue A)	12 March 2019	Case Ornsby Design Pty Ltd.	
S4.55_04 - Proposed Lower Ground Floor Plan (Issue B)	1 February 2022	Case Ornsby Design Pty Ltd.	
S4.55_07 - Proposed East & West Elevations (Issue B)	1 February 2022	Case Ornsby Design Pty Ltd.	
S4.55_09 - Proposed South Elevation (Issue B)	1 February 2022	Case Ornsby Design Pty Ltd.	

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

MOD2022/0048 Page 13 of 14



Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Brittany Harrison, Planner

The application is determined on 09/03/2022, under the delegated authority of:

Steven Findlay, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2022/0048 Page 14 of 14