

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	DA2024/1623
Responsible Officer:	Dean Pattalis
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 31 DP 33000, 84 Hilma Street COLLAROY PLATEAU NSW 2097
Proposed Development:	Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Applicant:	Hendrik Jan Fredrik Menke
Application Lodged:	02/12/2024
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Single new detached dwelling
Notified:	13/12/2024 to 20/01/2025
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	2
Clause 4.6 Variation:	4.3 Height of buildings: 4.59%
Recommendation:	Approval
Estimated Cost of Works:	\$ 1,171,500.00

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The development application seeks consent for the demolition of an existing dwelling and construction of a new two storey dwelling, driveway, swimming pool and front fence.

Amended Plans

Amended plans were provided during the community notification period in response to a Council RFI. The amendments reduced the proposed roof pitch and overall building height of the proposal by 465mm.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental

Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.


SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 - 4.3 Height of buildings
Warringah Development Control Plan - B1 Wall Heights
Warringah Development Control Plan - B3 Side Boundary Envelope
Warringah Development Control Plan - B7 Front Boundary Setbacks
Warringah Development Control Plan - D7 Views
Warringah Development Control Plan - E10 Landslip Risk

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 31 DP 33000 , 84 Hilma Street COLLAROY PLATEAU NSW 2097
Detailed Site Description:	The subject allotment is described as 84 Hilma Street, Collaroy Plateau, being Lot 31 within Deposited Plan 33000 and is zoned R2 Low Density Residential within the provisions of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011. The property is located on the western side of Hilma Street. The site falls to the east. The site is rectangular in shape, with a width of 10.67m and a depth of 39.625m. The land has a total site area of 417.3m². The site is currently developed with a one and two storey dwelling with an attached garage below. Driveway access to the garage is currently available from Hilma Street.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

Application **DA2019/0335** for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house (Approved 26/07/2019)

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on “Environmental Planning Instruments” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	There are no current draft environmental planning instruments.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and	<u>Part 4, Division 2</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the consent authority to consider "Prescribed conditions" of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition of consent.

Section 4.15 Matters for Consideration	Comments
Assessment Regulation 2021 (EP&A Regulation 2021)	<u>Clause 29</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application. <u>Clauses 36 and 94</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 allow Council to request additional information. Additional information was requested in relation to a Council RFI. <u>Clause 61</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent. <u>Clauses 62 and/or 64</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent. <u>Clause 69</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent. <u>Clause 69</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2021 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition of consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject application has been publicly exhibited from 13/12/2024 to 20/01/2025 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition process council is in receipt of 2 submission/s from:

Name:	Address:
Mrs Anna Maria Marasco	45 Idaline Street COLLAROY PLATEAU NSW 2097
Ao Zang Mr Max Zang	12 Arthur Street KILLARA NSW 2071

Two submissions were received during the community notification period and the following concerns were raised:

- **Building Height**

Comment

The proposed non-compliance to the building height standard is addressed within this report under Clause 4.6. It is noted that amended plans have been submitted during the assessment process which have lessened the non-compliance and reduced the overall height from 9.355m to 8.89m. The proposed non-compliance is considered to be a result of the existing excavated lower ground floor whilst retaining compliance above the extrapolated natural ground level.

- **View Loss**

Comment

View loss concerns were raised by the owners of No.45 and No.41 Idaline Street. The impact to No.45, which is assessed within the relevant section of this report and is qualitatively assessed as moderate, is considered to be acceptable in this circumstance. The views from No.41 are minor snippets obtained from a single storey dwelling located either side of the existing development on No.41. These views are highly obstructed and vulnerable to being lost to a compliant build on the subject site.

- **Solar Access**

Comment

Concern was raised by the owners of No.45 Idaline Street in relation to solar access impacts towards the property to the south of the subject site (No.82 Hilma Street). Clause D6 Access to Sunlight of P21

DCP requires at least 50% of the required area of private open space of adjoining dwellings to receive a minimum of 3 hours of sunlight between 9am and 3pm on June 21. The proposal is considered to result in compliance with the control in accordance with the shadow diagrams submitted with the proposal.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Landscape Officer	The proposal is supported with regard to landscape issues. The application is assessed by Landscape Referral against Warringah Local Environmental Plan (WLEP) 2011 and the following Warringah Development Control Plan (WDGP) 2011 controls (but not limited to): • D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting • E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation The Arboricultural Impact Assessment (AIA) identified 6 trees. Trees 2, 3, 5 and 6 are exempt by species or height and as such may be managed or removed at the discretion of the applicant without consent. It is noted tree 1 was approved for removal under DA2019/0335 and will be impacted by proposed works in the current application. Tree 1 can be supported for removal subject to the replacement planting of two small native trees, one in the front setback and one in the rear setback, which will offset the canopy loss. Tree 4 will be retained which is supported. All proposed planting shall be installed in accordance with the requirements outlined in the conditions of consent.
NECC (Development Engineering)	12/12/2024: Development Application is for demolition of existing and construction of a new dwelling, driveway and swimming pool. Development Engineering have no objections to approval subject to conditions as recommended.

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid - SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021, s2.48	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who provided a response stating that the proposal is acceptable subject to compliance with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice. These recommendations will be included as a condition of consent.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP (Sustainable Buildings) 2022

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No.1772583S dated 12/11/2024).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Transport and Infrastructure) 2021

Ausgrid

Section 2.48 of Chapter 2 requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid who raised no objections, subject to conditions which have been included in the recommendation of this report.

SEPP (Resilience and Hazards) 2021

Chapter 4 – Remediation of Land

Sub-section 4.6 (1)(a) of Chapter 4 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under sub-section 4.6 (1)(b) and (c) of this Chapter and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes
---------------------------------	-----

After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.89m	4.59%	No

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
2.7 Demolition requires consent	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	No (see detail under Clause 4.6 below)
4.6 Exceptions to development standards	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes

Detailed Assessment

4.6 Exceptions to development standards

The application seeks consent to vary a development standard as follows:


Development standard: Clause 4.3

Requirement: 8.5m

Proposed: 8.89m

Percentage of variation: 4.59%

Figure 1: Ridge above existing excavated ground level and extrapolated natural ground level



SECTION 1

With reference to Section 35B of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021*, the development application is accompanied by a document that sets out the grounds on which the Applicant seeks to demonstrate the matters set out in Clause 4.6(3)(a) and (b) of the WLEP 2011 (the 'Clause 4.6 Request').

Subclause (1) of this clause provides that:

(1) *The objectives of this clause are as follows:*

- (a) *to provide an appropriate degree of flexibility in applying certain development standards to particular development,*
- (b) *to achieve better outcomes for and from development by allowing flexibility in particular circumstances.*

Comment:

The objectives of this clause have been considered pursuant to Section 4.15(a)(i) of the *Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979*.

Subclause (2) of this clause provides that:

(2) *Development consent may, subject to this clause, be granted for development even though the development would contravene a development standard imposed by this or any other environmental planning instrument. However, this clause does not apply to a development standard that is expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.*

Comment:

Clause 4.3 is not expressly excluded from the operation of this clause.

Subclause (3) of this clause provides that:

(3) Development consent must not be granted to development that contravenes a development standard unless the consent authority is satisfied the applicant has demonstrated that—

(a) compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case, and

Comment:

Council is satisfied that the Applicant has demonstrated that compliance with Clause 4.3 is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of this application.

(b) there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard.

Comment:

In the matter of *Initial Action Pty Ltd v Woollahra Municipal Council [2018] NSWLEC 118*, Preston CJ provides the following guidance (para 23) to inform the consent authority's finding that the Applicant's written request has adequately demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify contravening the development standard:

'As to the second matter required by cl 4.6(3)(b), the grounds relied on by the applicant in the written request under cl 4.6 must be "environmental planning grounds" by their nature: see Four2Five Pty Ltd v Ashfield Council [2015] NSWLEC 90 at [26]. The adjectival phrase "environmental planning" is not defined, but would refer to grounds that relate to the subject matter, scope and purpose of the EPA Act, including the objects in s 1.3 of the EPA Act.'

The Clause 4.6 Request argues, in part:

- The site is located on substantially sloping topography which falls towards the front boundary the site.
- The proposal features generally minimal floor to ceiling heights with a low pitched roof.
- The non-compliance is a result of the existing excavated floor level at the lower ground floor garage. The proposed height is generally compliant above the natural extrapolated ground level of the site.

It is agreed that whole compliance with the control is constrained by the existing site conditions outlined by the applicant. The site is located on a sloping topography whilst also having an existing excavated lower ground floor which directly results in the non-compliance. Notwithstanding, the proposed height is considered to retain numerical compliance above the natural extrapolated ground level of the site. Furthermore, the proposed first floor is considered to be appropriately minimised with a low floor to ceiling height and flat pitched roof. The proposal is also not considered to be inconsistent with the general height and scale of surrounding developments within the streetscape.

Council is satisfied that the Applicant has demonstrated that there are sufficient environmental

planning grounds to justify the contravention of Clause 4.3

The proposed development is an orderly and economic use and development of the land, and that the structure is of a good design that will reasonably protect and improve the amenity of the surrounding built environment, thereby satisfying objects 1.3(c) and (g) of the EPA Act.

Public Interest:

Matters relevant to public interest in respect of the development are considered in the relevant sections of this report as per Section 4.15(1)(e) of the EPA Act.

Conclusion:

Council is satisfied as to the matters set out in Clause 4.6 of the WLEP 2011.

It is considered on balance, that having regard to the particular circumstances, the proposed departure from the development standard is acceptable and it is reasonable that flexibility to the standard be applied.

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Proposed	% Variation*	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	8m	11.11%	No
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	5m (N)	Outside (0.8m max.)	12.9%	No
	5m (S)	Outside (0.8m max.)	12.3%	No
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	0.9m (N)	1.2m	-	Yes
	0.9m (S)	1.2m (lower ground + ground) 1.5m (first)	- -	Yes Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m	5.84m (min.)	10.15%	No
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6m	13.62m	-	Yes
	50% rear setback area (pool)	Complies	-	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space (LOS) and Bushland Setting	40%	43.8% (185m ²)	-	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	No	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	No	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C3 Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D13 Front Fences and Front Walls	Yes	Yes
D16 Swimming Pools and Spa Pools	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B1 Wall Heights

Description of non-compliance

The control limits the external height of walls to 7.2 metres above the existing ground level. The proposed maximum ceiling height is 8metres, which is a 11.11% variation to the control.

The proposed non-compliance is a result of the existing excavated lower ground floor of the site, whilst the majority of the proposal is compliant with control.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying objectives of the control as follows:

- *To minimise the visual impact of development when viewed from adjoining properties, streets, waterways and land zoned for public recreation purposes.*

Comment:

The proposal is adequately setback from the front boundary to ensure it will not be visually imposing within the streetscape. Overall, the proposal is considered to achieve this objective.

- *To ensure development is generally beneath the existing tree canopy level*

Comment:

The development is sited generally below larger canopy trees within the locality.

- *To provide a reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.*

Comment:

Overall, the application is not considered to result in an unacceptable loss of views.

- *To minimise the impact of development on adjoining or nearby properties.*

Comment:

The wall height numeric non-compliance does not have a detrimental impact upon the amenity of nearby residential and public properties, specifically with regard to views, solar access, privacy and visual bulk.

- *To ensure that development responds to site topography and to discourage excavation of the natural landform.*

Comment:

The wall height non-compliance is exacerbated due to the topography of the land whilst the proposal and the dwelling to which it relates integrate into the natural topography. The proposal retains the environmental value of the site and does not necessitate excessive excavation.

- *To provide sufficient scope for innovative roof pitch and variation in roof design.*

Comment:

The proposal incorporates a low pitched roof design which assists in reducing overall built form impact.

Conclusion

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the objectives of the control are achieved. Therefore, the application is supported on merit in this particular circumstance.

B3 Side Boundary Envelope

Description of non-compliance

The proposed first floor encroaches the building envelope by a maximum of 0.8m on both the north and south side elevations.

Figure 2: Black hatched area showing envelope encroachment





Figure 3: Black hatched area showing area encroachment



The proposed development is adequately setback from the side boundaries whilst the horizontal length of the proposed area of non-compliance is generally minor. Therefore, the proposed variation is considered to be a result of existing site constraints including the moderately sloping topography.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.*

Comment:

Notwithstanding the encroachment, the dwelling remains consistent and commensurate with the established, low-scale residential character of the surrounding area with a height and bulk that is not unreasonable within the context of the area.

- *To ensure adequate light, solar access and privacy by providing spatial separation between buildings.*

Comment:

The proposal maintains sufficient solar access to adjoining properties to the south. Privacy to neighbouring properties, including areas of private open space and principal living areas, is maintained, subject to conditions.

- *To ensure that development responds to the topography of the site.*

Comment:

Minimal cut and fill is required with the proposal responding appropriately to the site.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B7 Front Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

A small section of the lower ground floor entry porch and ground floor terrace, are setback 5.84m from the front boundary which does not comply with the control.

The majority of the lower ground floor complies with the control, whilst the first floor is also entirely numerically compliant.

Despite the non-compliance, the non-compliance relates to open, low-lying and lightweight structures which are not considered to result in an unreasonable visual impact or detract from the sense of openness within the front setback area.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To create a sense of openness.*

Comment:

The proposal will not impact on the sense of openness within the front setback.

- *To maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings and landscape elements.*

Comment:

The proposal is not uncharacteristic of the area or adjoining pattern of development.

- *To protect and enhance the visual quality of streetscapes and public spaces.*

Comment:

The proposal maintains a high-quality landscape outcome for the site, therefore enhancing the visual quality of the streetscape.

- *To achieve reasonable view sharing.*

Comment:

Views will not be impacted by the proposal.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D7 Views

A submission was received by the owners of 41 and 45 Idaline Street, Collaroy Plateau who raised concern in relation to view loss.

Merit consideration

The development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To allow for the reasonable sharing of views.*

Comment:

In determining the extent of potential view loss to adjoining and nearby properties, the four (4) planning principles outlined within the Land and Environment Court Case of Tenacity Consulting Pty Ltd Vs Warringah Council (2004) NSWLEC 140, are applied to the proposal.

1. Nature of the views affected

"The first step is the assessment of the views to be affected. Water views are valued more highly than land views. Iconic views (e.g. of the Opera House, the Harbour Bridge or North Head) are valued more highly than views without icons. Whole views are valued more highly than partial views, e.g. a water view in which the interface between land and water is visible is more valuable than one in which it is obscured".

Comment to Principle 1:

45 Idaline Street currently benefits from partial ocean views towards Collaroy Beach, as well as some land-water interface towards Long Reef Headland. These views are partially obstructed by existing adjoining development and vegetation to the east.

The views from No.41 are minor snippets located either side of the existing development on the subject site. The views from No.41 are obtained from a low-lying single storey dwelling and are highly obstructed.

2. What part of the affected property are the views obtained

“The second step is to consider from what part of the property the views are obtained. For example the protection of views across side boundaries is more difficult than the protection of views from front and rear boundaries. In addition, whether the view is enjoyed from a standing or sitting position may also be relevant. Sitting views are more difficult to protect than standing views. The expectation to retain side views and sitting views is often unrealistic”.

Comment to Principle 2:

The views from No.45 are obtained in a standing position and generally over the rear boundary. The view corridor towards the subject site at No.84 Hilma Street is in a diagonal direction and partly over the side boundary.

Figure 4: View from first floor living room of No.45 Idaline Street.



Figure 5: View from first floor living room of No.45 Idaline Street.



Figure 6: Existing minor view pockets to No.41 Idaline Street over the sides of the existing roof on the subject site.



3. Extent of impact

“The third step is to assess the extent of the impact. This should be done for the whole of the property, not just for the view that is affected. The impact on views from living areas is more significant than from bedrooms or service areas (though views from kitchens are highly valued because people spend so much time in them). The impact may be assessed quantitatively, but in many cases this can be meaningless. For example, it is unhelpful to say that the view loss is 20% if it includes one of the sails of the Opera House. It is usually more useful to assess the view loss qualitatively as negligible, minor, moderate, severe or devastating”.

Comment to Principle 3:

The view corridor is obtained from the first floor living room windows of No.45 Idaline Street which look out from the rear facade. The view corridor towards the subject site at No.84 Hilma Street is located in proximity to Long Reef headland which is considered a high value corridor. It is noted a similar development for a new dwelling (DA2019/0335) was previously approved on No.84 Hilma Street on 26 July 2019 and height poles were erected at the time to determine the impact upon this corridor from No.45 Idaline Street. The height poles erected under DA2019/0335 are shown in Figure 1 below. The points of the roofline in which the height poles were erected on the subject site under DA2019/0335 are generally similar to where the proposed roofline shall be under the now proposed consent. The proposed roof ridgeline is located at RL104.86 - RL104.75 which is generally similar in comparison to the ridgeline approved under DA2019/0335 which was RL104.9 - RL104.75. The difference to the roofline is primarily at the front ridge of the proposed dwelling which has been redesigned to be located slightly southward by approximately 400mm, which shall result in a larger view of Long Reef Headland being retained to No.45 Idaline Street resulting from the proposed development, in comparison to the previous approved development depicted in Figure 7. Overall, it is noted a substantial portion of the view of Long Reef will be removed from the proposal, however a section of the headland is considered to be retained. Horizon views over the ridge of the development will also be retained, whilst vast ocean views over the rear boundary will be entirely unimpacted. Therefore, the view loss impact is qualitatively assessed as moderate.

Figure 7: Height poles erected representing the approved development under DA2019/0335



4. Reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact

“The fourth step is to assess the reasonableness of the proposal that is causing the impact. A development that complies with all planning controls would be considered more reasonable than one that breaches them. Where an impact on views arises as a result of non-compliance with one or more planning controls, even a moderate impact may be considered unreasonable. With a complying proposal, the question should be asked whether a more skilful design could provide the applicant with the same development potential and amenity and reduce the impact on the views of neighbours. If the answer to that question is no, then the view impact of a complying development would probably be considered acceptable and the view sharing reasonable.”

Comment to Principle 4:

The proposed development results in a non-compliance to the height of buildings standard which is addressed under Clause 4.6 of this report. The non-compliance is considered to be a result of the existing excavated ground level of the existing lower ground floor garage whilst the proposal is considered to be numerically compliant above the extrapolated natural ground level of the subject site. Furthermore, the proposal is considered to be generally consistent with the existing pattern and ridge level of adjoining dwellings including at No.86 Hilma Street (visible in Figures 4, 5 and 7) which has a maximum ridge of RL104.5. The proposal also results in a minor non-compliance to the building envelope control on the northern and southern side elevations

up to a maximum of 800mm, however the area of non-compliance is not considered to result in any discernible impact to the existing view corridor as the primary impact is considered to result from the proposed roof area. The proposal also incorporates generally minimal floor to ceiling heights at the ground and first floors, as well as an adequately low pitched roof. Therefore, the proposal is considered to incorporate a reasonably skillful design in the context of the site and character of existing surrounding development and is acceptable in this circumstance.

In relation to No.41 Idaline Street, this low-lying minor view pocket is highly obstructed and highly vulnerable to being lost to a compliant development on the subject site and therefore should be expected to be impacted or lost.

- *To encourage innovative design solutions to improve the urban environment.*

Comment:

The proposed development demonstrates innovative design in that it allows for redevelopment of the site within reasonable compliance, without unreasonable impacts.

- *To ensure existing canopy trees have priority over views.*

Comment:

The proposed development does not require the unreasonable removal of any significant canopy trees.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

E10 Landslip Risk

The site is located in Area A of Warringah LEP Landslip Risk Map. The proposed lower ground floor necessitates excavation works up to 1.5m below the existing ground level.

In accordance with the Checklist for Council's assessment of site conditions under the control, no Geotechnical Report is required in this instance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2024

The proposal is subject to the application of Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2024.

A monetary contribution of \$11,715 is required for the provision of new and augmented public infrastructure. The contribution is calculated as 1% of the total development cost of \$1,171,500.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2021;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

Council is satisfied that the Applicant's written request under Clause 4.6 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 seeking to justify variation of the development standard contained within Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings has adequately addressed and demonstrated that:

- Compliance with the development standard is unreasonable or unnecessary in the circumstances of the case; and
- There are sufficient environmental planning grounds to justify the variation.

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

That Northern Beaches Council, as the consent authority, vary the development standard contained within Clause 4.3 Height of Buildings, pursuant to Clause 4.6 of the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 because the Applicant's written request has adequately addressed the merits required to be

demonstrated by subclause (3) of Clause 4.6.

Accordingly Council as the consent authority grant Development Consent to DA2024/1623 for Demolition works and construction of a dwelling house including swimming pool on land at Lot 31 DP 33000, 84 Hilma Street, COLLAROY PLATEAU, subject to the conditions printed below:

Terms and Reasons for Conditions

Under section 88(1)(c) of the EP&A Regulation, the consent authority must provide the terms of all conditions and reasons for imposing the conditions other than the conditions prescribed under section 4.17(11) of the EP&A Act. The terms of the conditions and reasons are set out below.

GENERAL CONDITIONS

1. Approved Plans and Supporting Documentation

Development must be carried out in accordance with the following approved plans (stamped by Council) and supporting documentation, except where the conditions of this consent expressly require otherwise.

Approved Plans				
Plan Number	Revision Number	Plan Title	Drawn By	Date of Plan
1	-	Site Plan	HC Design	October 2024
2	-	Garage and Entry	HC Design	October 2024
3	-	Ground Floor	HC Design	October 2024
4	-	First Floor	HC Design	October 2024
5	-	Elevations	HC Design	October 2024
6	-	Elevations	HC Design	October 2024
7	-	Section	HC Design	October 2024
8	-	Driveway Plan	HC Design	October 2024
9	-	Demolition Plan	HC Design	October 2024

Approved Reports and Documentation			
Document Title	Version Number	Prepared By	Date of Document
Construction Impact & Management Statement & Preliminary Tree Plan of Management	-	Growing My Way	November 2024
Waste Management Plan	-	HC Design	Undated

In the event of any inconsistency between the approved plans, reports and documentation, the approved plans prevail.

In the event of any inconsistency between the approved plans and a condition of this consent, the condition prevails.

Reason: To ensure all parties are aware of the approved plans and supporting documentation that applies to the development.

2. **Compliance with Other Department, Authority or Service Requirements**

The development must be carried out in compliance with all recommendations and requirements, excluding general advice, within the following:

Other Department, Authority or Service	EDMS Reference	Dated
Ausgrid	Ausgrid Referral Response	3/1/2025

(NOTE: For a copy of the above referenced document/s, please see Application Tracking on Council's website www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au)

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination and the statutory requirements of other departments, authorities or bodies.

3. **Prescribed Conditions**

- (a) All building works must be carried out in accordance with the requirements of the Building Code of Australia (BCA).
- (b) BASIX affected development must comply with the schedule of BASIX commitments specified within the submitted BASIX Certificate (demonstrated compliance upon plans/specifications is required prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate);
- (c) A sign must be erected in a prominent position on any site on which building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out:
 - (i) showing the name, address and telephone number of the Principal Certifier for the work, and
 - (ii) showing the name of the principal contractor (if any) for any building work and a telephone number on which that person may be contacted outside working hours, and
 - (iii) stating that unauthorised entry to the work site is prohibited.

Any such sign is to be maintained while the building work, subdivision work or demolition work is being carried out, but must be removed when the work has been completed.
- (d) Residential building work within the meaning of the Home Building Act 1989 must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifier for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the following information:
 - (i) in the case of work for which a principal contractor is required to be appointed:
 - A. the name and licence number of the principal contractor, and
 - B. the name of the insurer by which the work is insured under Part 6 of that Act,
 - (ii) in the case of work to be done by an owner-builder:
 - A. the name of the owner-builder, and
 - B. if the owner-builder is required to hold an owner-builder permit under that Act, the number of the owner-builder permit.

If arrangements for doing the residential building work are changed while the work is in progress so that the information notified under becomes out of date, further work must not be carried out unless the Principal Certifier for the development to which the work relates (not being the Council) has given the Council written notice of the updated information.

- (e) Development that involves an excavation that extends below the level of the base of the footings of a building on adjoining land, the person having the benefit of the development consent must, at the person's own expense:
 - (i) protect and support the adjoining premises from possible damage from the excavation, and
 - (ii) where necessary, underpin the adjoining premises to prevent any such damage.
 - (iii) must, at least 7 days before excavating below the level of the base of the footings of a building on an adjoining allotment of land, give notice of intention to do so to the owner of the adjoining allotment of land and furnish particulars of the excavation to the owner of the building being erected or demolished.
 - (iv) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for any part of the cost of work carried out for the purposes of this clause, whether carried out on the allotment of land being excavated or on the adjoining allotment of land.

In this clause, allotment of land includes a public road and any other public place.

Reason: Legislative requirement.

4. General Requirements

- (a) Unless authorised by Council:
Building construction and delivery of material hours are restricted to:
 - 7.00 am to 5.00 pm inclusive Monday to Friday,
 - 8.00 am to 1.00 pm inclusive on Saturday,
 - No work on Sundays and Public Holidays.

Demolition and excavation works are restricted to:

- 8.00 am to 5.00 pm Monday to Friday only.

(Excavation work includes the use of any excavation machinery and the use of jackhammers, rock breakers, excavators, loaders and the like, regardless of whether the activities disturb or alter the natural state of the existing ground stratum or are breaking up/removing materials from the site).

- (b) Construction certificate plans are to be in accordance with all finished levels identified on approved plans. Notes attached to plans indicating tolerances to levels are not approved.
- (c) Should any asbestos be uncovered on site, its demolition and removal must be carried out in accordance with WorkCover requirements and the relevant Australian Standards.
- (d) At all times after the submission of the Notice of Commencement to Council, a copy of the Development Consent and Construction Certificate is to remain onsite at all times until the issue of an Occupation Certificate. The consent shall be available for perusal of any Authorised Officer.
- (e) Where demolition works have been completed and new construction works have not commenced within 4 weeks of the completion of the demolition works that area

affected by the demolition works shall be fully stabilised and the site must be maintained in a safe and clean state until such time as new construction works commence.

- (f) Onsite toilet facilities (being either connected to the sewer or an accredited sewer management facility) for workers are to be provided for construction sites at a rate of 1 per 20 persons.
- (g) Prior to the release of the Construction Certificate, payment of the following is required:
 - i) Long Service Levy - Payment should be made to Service NSW (online or in person) or alternatively to Northern Beaches Council in person at a Customer Service Centre. Payment is not required where the value of the works is less than \$250,000. The Long Service Levy is calculated on 0.25% of the building and construction work. The levy rate and level in which it applies is subject to legislative change. The applicable fee at the time of payment of the Long Service Levy will apply.
 - ii) Section 7.11 or Section 7.12 Contributions Plan – Payment must be made to Northern Beaches Council. Where the subject land to which the development is proposed is subject to either a Section 7.11 or 7.12 Contributions Plan, any contribution to which the development is liable under the respective plan that applies is to be paid to Council. The outstanding contribution will be indexed at time of payment in accordance with the relevant Contributions Plan.
 - iii) Housing and Productivity Contribution - Payment must be made on the NSW Planning Portal for development to which this contribution applies. The amount payable is subject to indexation at the time of payment.
- (h) The applicant shall bear the cost of all works associated with the development that occurs on Council's property.
- (i) No skip bins, building materials, demolition or excavation waste of any nature, and no hoist, plant or machinery (crane, concrete pump or lift) shall be placed on Council's footpaths, roadways, parks or grass verges without Council Approval.
- (j) Demolition materials and builders' wastes are to be removed to approved waste/recycling centres.
- (k) No trees or native shrubs or understorey vegetation on public property (footpaths, roads, reserves, etc.), on the land to be developed, or within adjoining properties, shall be removed or damaged during excavation or construction unless specifically approved in this consent including for the erection of any fences, hoardings or other temporary works.
- (l) Prior to the commencement of any development onsite for:
 - i) Building/s that are to be erected
 - ii) Building/s that are situated in the immediate vicinity of a public place and is dangerous to persons or property on or in the public place
 - iii) Building/s that are to be demolished
 - iv) For any work/s that is to be carried out
 - v) For any work/s that is to be demolished

The person responsible for the development site is to erect or install on or around the development area such temporary structures or appliances (wholly within the development site) as are necessary to protect persons or property and to prevent unauthorised access to the site in order for the land or premises to be maintained in a safe or healthy condition. Upon completion of the development, such temporary structures or appliances are to be removed within 7 days.

- (m) A "Road Opening Permit" must be obtained from Council, and all appropriate charges paid, prior to commencement of any work on Council property. The owner/applicant shall be responsible for all public utilities and services in the area of the work, shall notify all relevant Authorities, and bear all costs associated with any repairs and/or adjustments as those Authorities may deem necessary.
- (n) The works must comply with the relevant Ausgrid Network Standards and SafeWork NSW Codes of Practice.
- (o) Should any construction cranes be utilised on site, they are to be fitted with bird deterrents along the counterweight to discourage raptor (bird) nesting activity. Deterrents are to remain in place until cranes are dismantled. Selection of deterrent methods is to be undertaken in accordance with the recommendations of a suitably qualified ecologist.
- (p) Requirements for new swimming pools/spas or existing swimming pools/spas affected by building works.
 - (1) Child resistant fencing is to be provided to any swimming pool or lockable cover to any spa containing water and is to be consistent with the following;

Relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

 - (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992
 - (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009
 - (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
 - (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
 - (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
 - (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools.
 - (2) A 'KEEP WATCH' pool safety and aquatic based emergency sign, issued by Royal Life Saving is to be displayed in a prominent position within the pool/spa area.
 - (3) Filter backwash waters shall be conveyed to the Sydney Water sewerage system in sewered areas or managed on-site in unsewered areas in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system.
 - (4) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the Division of Local Government.

Reason: To ensure that works do not interfere with reasonable amenity expectations of residents and the community.

FEES / CHARGES / CONTRIBUTIONS

5. Policy Controls

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2024

A monetary contribution of \$11,715.00 is payable to Northern Beaches Council for the provision of local infrastructure and services pursuant to section 7.12 of the Environmental Planning & Assessment Act 1979 and the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan (as

amended).

The monetary contribution is based on a development cost of \$1,171,500.00.

The total amount payable will be adjusted at the time the payment is made, in accordance with the provisions of the Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan (as amended).

Details demonstrating compliance, by way of written receipts issued by Council, are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to issue of any Construction Certificate or, if relevant, the Subdivision Certificate (whichever occurs first).

A copy of the Contributions Plan is available for inspection at 725 Pittwater Road, Dee Why or on Council's website at Northern Beaches Council - Development Contributions.

Reason: To provide for contributions in accordance with the Contribution Plan to fund the provision of new or augmented local infrastructure and services.

6. Security Bond

A bond (determined from cost of works) of \$10,000 and an inspection fee in accordance with Council's Fees and Charges paid as security are required to ensure the rectification of any damage that may occur to the Council infrastructure contained within the road reserve adjoining the site as a result of construction or the transportation of materials and equipment to and from the development site.

An inspection fee in accordance with Council adopted fees and charges (at the time of payment) is payable for each kerb inspection as determined by Council (minimum (1) one inspection).

All bonds and fees shall be deposited with Council prior to Construction Certificate or demolition work commencing, and details demonstrating payment are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

To process the inspection fee and bond payment a Bond Lodgement Form must be completed with the payments (a copy of the form is attached to this consent and alternatively a copy is located on Council's website at www.northernbeaches.nsw.gov.au).

Reason: To ensure adequate protection of Council's infrastructure.

BUILDING WORK – BEFORE ISSUE OF A CONSTRUCTION CERTIFICATE

7. Stormwater Disposal

The applicant is to submit Stormwater Engineering Plans for the new development within this development consent in accordance with AS/NZS 3500 and Council's Water Management for Development Policy, prepared by an appropriately qualified and practicing Civil or Hydraulic Engineer who has membership to Engineers Australia, National Engineers Register (NER) or Professionals Australia (RPENG), indicating all details relevant to the collection and disposal of stormwater from the site, buildings, paved areas and where appropriate adjacent catchments. Stormwater shall be conveyed from the site to street gutter in Hilma Street.

NOTE: All the ancillary pipes related to stormwater works are to be placed within site boundary except for single outlet to the street kerb.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifier for approval prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for disposal of stormwater management arising from the development.

8. Vehicle Crossings Application

The Applicant is to submit an application with Council for driveway levels to construct one vehicle crossing 3 metres wide in accordance with Northern Beaches Council Standard Normal High Vehicle Crossing Profile and in accordance with Section 138 of the Roads Act 1993.

Note,

- driveways are to be in plain concrete only
- reinstate all redundant laybacks and vehicular crossings to conventional kerb and gutter, footpath or grassed verge as appropriate with all costs borne by the applicant.

The fee associated with the assessment and approval of the application is to be in accordance with Council's Fee and Charges.

A Council approval is to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To facilitate suitable vehicular access to private property.

9. Amendments to the approved plans

The following amendments are to be made to the approved plans:

- No approval for side and rear boundary fencing is given under this consent, as this is a matter for civil negotiation between relevant property owners. Plans are to be amended to remove reference to all side and rear boundary fencing.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure consistency with the relevant legislation under the Dividing Fences Act 1991.

10. Boundary Identification Survey

The plans submitted for the Construction Certificate are to accurately reflect the property boundaries as shown on a boundary identification survey, prepared by a Registered Surveyor, with setbacks between the property boundaries and the approved works consistent with those nominated on the Approved Plans of this consent.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of any Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure all approved works are constructed within the subject site and in a manner

anticipated by the development consent.

11. Compliance with Standards

The development is required to be carried out in accordance with all relevant Australian Standards.

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant Australian Standard are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of the Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure the development is constructed in accordance with appropriate standards.

12. External Finishes to Roof

The external finish to the roof shall have a Solar Absorptance (SA) greater than 0.43 in accordance with the requirements of the BASIX Certificate to minimise solar reflections to neighbouring properties. Any roof with a reflective finish is not permitted.

Green roofs and areas where solar panels (PV) are installed are excluded from conforming to the SA range.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Certifier prior to the issue of the construction certificate.

Reason: To ensure that excessive glare or reflectivity nuisance does not occur as a result of the development.

13. Sydney Water "Tap In"

The approved plans must be submitted to the Sydney Water Tap in service, prior to works commencing, to determine whether the development will affect any Sydney Water assets and/or easements. The appropriately stamped plans must then be submitted to the Certifier demonstrating the works are in compliance with Sydney Water requirements.

Please refer to the website www.sydneywater.com.au for:

- "Tap in" details - see <http://www.sydneywater.com.au/tapin>
- Guidelines for Building Over/Adjacent to Sydney Water Assets.

Or telephone 13 000 TAP IN (1300 082 746).

Reason: To ensure compliance with the statutory requirements of Sydney Water.

CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE ADDRESSED PRIOR TO ANY COMMENCEMENT

14. Tree Removal Within the Property

a) this consent approves the removal of existing prescribed trees on the subject site as listed below:

i) tree 1 - *Syzygium australe*.

b) a qualified AQF level 5 Arborist shall identify these trees on site and tag or mark prior to removal.

Reason: To enable authorised development works.

15. Pre-Construction Dilapidation Report

Dilapidation reports, including photographic surveys, of the following adjoining properties must be provided to the Principal Certifier prior to any works commencing on the site (including demolition or excavation). The reports must detail the physical condition of those properties listed below, both internally and externally, including walls, ceilings, roof, structural members and other similar items.

- 82 and 86 Hilma Street, Collaroy Plateau

The dilapidation report is to be prepared by a suitably qualified person. A copy of the report must be provided to Council, the Principal Certifier and the owners of the affected properties prior to any works commencing.

In the event that access for undertaking the dilapidation report is denied by an adjoining owner, the applicant must demonstrate, in writing that all reasonable steps have been taken to obtain access. The Principal Certifier must be satisfied that the requirements of this condition have been met prior to commencement of any works. If access is denied, then no dilapidation report is required.

Note: This documentation is for record keeping purposes and may be used by an applicant or affected property owner to assist in any action required to resolve any civil dispute over damage rising from the works.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the commencement of any works on site.

Reason: To maintain proper records in relation to the proposed development.

16. Sediment and Erosion Controls

For developments that include more than 2500sqm of disturbance:

A Soil and Water Management plan (SWMP), in accordance with section 2.3 of the Blue Book, must be prepared and certified by a suitably qualified professional.

For sites larger than 250sqm and less than 2500sqm of disturbance:

An Erosion and Sediment Control Plan (ESCP) must be prepared by a suitably qualified person in accordance with the following considerations and documents:

- Sites that have slopes exceeding 20% (measured in any direction across the site), and/or where works are within the high-water mark or adjacent to a waterway or watercourses are considered environmentally sensitive areas. These sites require a site-specific ESCP which must be prepared and certified by a suitably qualified professional,
- The guidelines set out in the NSW Department of Housing manual 'Managing Urban Stormwater: Soils and Construction Certificate – Volume 1, 4th Edition (2004)' (the Blue Book), and
- The 'Guidelines for Erosion and Sediment Control on Building Sites' (Department of Planning, Housing and Infrastructure).

The ESCP must include the following as a minimum:

- Site Boundaries and contours,

- Approximate location of trees and other vegetation, showing items for removal or retention (consistent with any other plans attached to the application),
- Location of site access, proposed roads and other impervious areas (e.g. parking area and site facilities),
- Existing and proposed drainage patterns with stormwater discharge points,
- Locations and methods of all erosion and sediment controls that must include sediment fences, stabilised site access, materials and waste stockpiles locations, location of any stormwater pits on the site and how they are going to be protected,
- North point and scale,
- Type of erosion control measures to divert and slow run-off around and within the site.

Environmentally sensitive areas (i.e. Sites that have slopes exceeding 20% and/or where works are within the high-water mark or adjacent to a waterway or watercourses) must also consider:

- Identify and mark any environmentally sensitive areas on and immediately next to the site and how you will protect these, including any appropriate buffer zones (for example, marking them out as 'no-go' areas),
- Details on vegetation you will clear, as well as areas of vegetation you will keep (mark no go areas),
- Detail on soil information and location(s) of problem soil types, especially dispersive soils and potential or actual acid sulfate soils,
- Location of any natural waterways that could receive run-off and how these will be protected these from run-off.

For sites smaller than 250sqm or where the disturbance is less than 50sqm:

Run-off and erosion controls must be implemented to prevent soil erosion, water pollution or the discharge of loose sediment on the surrounding land by:

- Diverting uncontaminated run-off around cleared or disturbed areas, and
- Erecting a silt fence and providing any other necessary sediment control measures that will prevent debris escaping into drainage systems, waterways or adjoining properties, and
- Preventing the tracking of sediment by vehicles onto roads, and
- Stockpiling top soil, excavated materials, construction and landscaping supplies and debris within the lot.
- Identifying any environmentally sensitive areas on and immediately next to the site, and demonstrating how these will be protected (for example, by designation as no-go areas).

Details demonstrating compliance with the relevant requirements above are to be submitted to the Certifier, and the measures implemented, prior to the commencement of works.

Reason: To ensure no substance other than rainwater enters the stormwater system and waterways.

DURING BUILDING WORK

17. **Tree and Vegetation Protection**

- a) existing trees and vegetation shall be retained and protected, including:
 - i) all trees within the site not approved for removal, including trees and vegetation nominated for retention on the approved Plans,

- ii) all trees and vegetation located on adjoining properties,
- iii) all trees and vegetation within the road reserve.

b) tree protection shall be undertaken as follows:

- i) tree protection shall be in accordance with AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites, and any recommendations of an approved Arboricultural Impact Assessment,
- ii) existing ground levels shall be maintained within the tree protection zone of trees to be retained, unless authorised by an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iii) removal of existing tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter is not permitted without consultation with an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture,
- iv) no excavated material, building material storage, site facilities, nor landscape materials are to be placed within the canopy dripline of trees and other vegetation required to be retained,
- v) structures are to bridge tree roots at or >25mm (Ø) diameter unless directed by an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture on site,
- vi) excavation for stormwater lines and all other utility services is not permitted within the tree protection zone, without consultation with an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture including advice on root protection measures,
- vii) should either or all of v) or vi) occur during site establishment and construction works, an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture shall provide recommendations for tree protection measures. Details including photographic evidence of works undertaken shall be submitted by the Arborist/Project Arborist to the Principal Certifier,
- viii) any temporary access to, or location of scaffolding within the tree protection zone of a protected tree or any other tree to be retained during the construction works is to be undertaken using the protection measures specified in sections 4.5.3 and 4.5.6 of AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites,
- ix) the activities listed in section 4.2 of AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites, shall not occur within the tree protection zone of any tree on the lot or any tree on an adjoining site,
- x) tree pruning from within the site to enable approved works shall not exceed 10% of any tree canopy, and shall be in accordance with AS 4373-2007 Pruning of amenity trees,
- xi) the tree protection measures specified in this clause must: be in place before work commences on the site, be maintained in good condition during the construction period, and remain in place for the duration of the construction works.

c) the Principal Certifier must ensure that:

- i) If activated, the arboricultural works listed in a) and b) are undertaken and certified by an Arborist/Project Arborist as compliant to AS 4970-2009 Protection of trees on development sites, and any recommendations of an approved Arboricultural Impact Assessment.

Reason: Tree and vegetation protection.

18. **Condition of Trees**

- a) during the construction period the applicant is responsible for ensuring all existing trees required to be retained are maintained in a healthy and vigorous condition. This is to be done by ensuring that all identified tree protection measures are adhered to, or by seeking arboricultural advice from an Arborist/Project Arborist with minimum AQF Level 5 in arboriculture during the works. In this regard all protected trees shall not exhibit:
 - i) a general decline in health and vigour,
 - ii) damaged, crushed or dying roots due to poor pruning techniques,
 - iii) more than 10% loss or dieback of roots, branches and foliage,
 - iv) mechanical damage or bruising of bark and timber of roots, trunk and branches,
 - v) yellowing of foliage or a thinning of the canopy untypical of its species,

- vi) an increase in the amount of deadwood not associated with normal growth,
- vii) an increase in kino or gum exudation,
- viii) inappropriate increases in epicormic growth that may indicate that the plants are in a stressed condition,
- ix) branch drop, torn branches and stripped bark not associated with natural climatic conditions.

b) any mitigating measures and recommendations required by the Arborist/Project Arborist are to be implemented.

c) the owner of the adjoining allotment of land is not liable for the cost of work carried out for the purpose of this clause.

Reason: Protection of trees.

19. Road Reserve

The applicant shall ensure the public footways and roadways adjacent to the site are maintained in a safe condition at all times during the course of the work.

Reason: Public safety.

20. Removing, Handling and Disposing of Asbestos

Any asbestos material arising from the demolition process shall be removed and disposed of in accordance with the following requirements:

- Work Health and Safety Act;
- Work Health and Safety Regulation;
- Code of Practice for the Safe Removal of Asbestos [NOHSC:2002 (1998)];
- Guide to the Control of Asbestos Hazards in Buildings and Structures [NOHSC: 3002 (1998);
- Clause 42 of the Protection of the Environment Operations (Waste) Regulation 2005; and
- The demolition must be undertaken in accordance with Australian Standard AS2601 – The Demolition of Structures.

Reason: For the protection of the environment and human health.

21. Demolition Works - Asbestos

Demolition works must be carried out in compliance with WorkCover Short Guide to Working with Asbestos Cement and Australian Standard AS 2601 2001 The Demolition of Structures.

The site must be provided with a sign containing the words DANGER ASBESTOS REMOVAL IN PROGRESS measuring not less than 400 mm x 300 mm and be erected in a prominent visible position on the site. The sign is to be erected prior to demolition work commencing and is to remain in place until such time as all asbestos cement has been removed from the site and disposed to a lawful waste disposal facility.

All asbestos laden waste, including flat, corrugated or profiled asbestos cement sheets must be disposed of at a lawful waste disposal facility. Upon completion of tipping operations the applicant must lodge to the Principal Certifier, all receipts issued by the receiving tip as evidence of proper disposal.

Adjoining property owners are to be given at least seven (7) days' notice in writing of the intention to disturb and remove asbestos from the development site.

Reason: To ensure the long term health of workers on site and occupants of the building is not put at risk unnecessarily.

22. Survey Certificate

A survey certificate prepared by a Registered Surveyor at the following stages of construction:

(a) Commencement of perimeter walls columns and or other structural elements to ensure the wall or structure, to boundary setbacks are in accordance with the approved details.

(b) At ground level to ensure the finished floor levels are in accordance with the approved levels, prior to concrete slab being poured/flooring being laid.

(c) At completion of the roof frame confirming the finished roof/ridge height is in accordance with levels indicated on the approved plans.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier.

Reason: To determine the height of buildings under construction comply with levels shown on approved plans.

23. Maintenance of Sediment and Erosion Controls

Erosion and sediment controls must be adequately maintained and monitored at all times, particularly surrounding periods of rain, and shall remain in proper operation until all development activities have been completed and the site is in a state where no substance other than rainwater can enter the stormwater system and waterways.

All sediment control measures must be maintained at, or above, their design capacity.

Where more than 2500 square metres of land are disturbed or if the site has a slope of more than 20%, a self-auditing program must be developed for the site. A site inspection using a log book or inspection test plan (ITP) must be undertaken by the site supervisor:

- at least each week
- immediately before site closure
- immediately following rainfall events that cause runoff.

Details demonstrating compliance must be provided to the Certifier during demolition and building works.

Reason: Protection of the receiving environment and to ensure no substance other than rainwater enters the stormwater system and waterways.

24. Waste Management During Development

The reuse, recycling or disposal of waste during works must be done generally in accordance with the Waste Management Plan for this development.

Details demonstrating compliance must be submitted to the Principal Certifier.

Reason: To ensure demolition and construction waste is recycled or reused and to limit landfill.

BEFORE ISSUE OF THE OCCUPATION CERTIFICATE

25. Required Tree Planting

a) two (2) native tree(s) shall be planted within the property boundary in accordance with the following:

i) one tree in the front building setback landscaped area to the north of the proposed driveway to achieve a mature height of 4-6 metres,

ii) one tree in the rear building setback to achieve a mature height of 6-8 metres and installed in a similar location to tree 1,

iii) tree planting shall be a minimum pre-ordered planting size of 75 litres; generally selected from Northern Beaches Council's Native Planting Guide, or Council's Tree Guide; meet the requirements of AS2303 – Tree Stock for Landscape Use; planted into a prepared planting hole 1m x 1m x 600mm depth generally, backfilled with a sandy loam mix or approved similar, mulched to 75mm depth minimum and maintained, and watered until established; and shall be located at least 3.0 metres from buildings and other trees or more, at least 1 metre from common boundaries; and located either within garden bed or within a prepared bed within lawn.

b) all proposed tree planting shall be positioned in locations to minimise significant impacts on neighbours in terms of blocking winter sunlight to living rooms, private open space and where the proposed location of trees may otherwise be positioned to minimise any significant loss of views from neighbouring and nearby dwellings and from public spaces.

c) details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the issue of any Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To maintain environmental amenity.

26. Landscape Completion

a) landscape works are to be implemented in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan(s) (drawing Landscape Concept Plan by Arena Creative), and inclusive of the following conditions:

i) landscape works are to be contained within the legal property boundaries,

ii) planting shall be installed as indicated on the approved Landscape Plan(s) unless otherwise imposed by any conditions,

iii) mass planting shall be installed at minimum 1 metre intervals (or less) for shrubs of a minimum 200mm container size at planting or as otherwise scheduled if greater in size, and at minimum 4 plants per metre square for groundcovers of a minimum 140mm container size at planting or as otherwise scheduled if greater in size, and shall be in a garden bed prepared with a suitable free draining soil mix and minimum 75mm depth of mulch,

iv) where swimming pools are part of the development works, selected planting shall comply with the planting and care requirements of AS1926.1 for a non-climbable zone.

b) prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, details (from a landscape architect, landscape designer or qualified horticulturalist) shall be submitted to the Principal Certifier, certifying that the landscape works have been completed in accordance with any conditions of consent.

Reason: Environmental amenity.

27. Stormwater Disposal

The stormwater drainage works shall be certified as compliant with all relevant Australian Standards and Codes by a suitably qualified person. Details demonstrating compliance are to

be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure appropriate provision for the disposal of stormwater arising from the development.

28. Post-Construction Dilapidation Report

Post-Construction Dilapidation Reports, including photos of any damage evident at the time of inspection, must be submitted after the completion of works. The report must:

- Compare the post-construction report with the pre-construction report,
- Clearly identify any recent damage and whether or not it is likely to be the result of the development works,
- Should any damage have occurred, suggested remediation methods.

Copies of the reports must be given to the property owners referred to in the Pre-Construction Dilapidation Report Condition. Copies must also be lodged with Council.

Details demonstrating compliance with this condition are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To maintain proper records in relation to the proposed development.

29. Reinstatement of Kerb

The Applicant shall reinstate all redundant laybacks and vehicular crossings to conventional kerb and gutter, footpath or grassed verge as appropriate with all costs borne by the applicant.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To facilitate the preservation of on street parking spaces.

30. Waste Management Confirmation

Prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate, evidence / documentation must be submitted to the Principal Certifier that all waste material from the development site arising from demolition and/or construction works has been appropriately recycled, reused or disposed of generally in accordance with the approved Waste Management Plan.

Reason: To ensure demolition and construction waste is recycled or reused and to limit landfill.

31. Swimming Pool Requirements

The Swimming Pool shall not be filled with water nor be permitted to retain water until:

(a) All required safety fencing has been erected in accordance with and all other requirements have been fulfilled with regard to the relevant legislative requirements and relevant Australian Standards (including but not limited) to:

- (i) Swimming Pools Act 1992;
- (ii) Swimming Pools Amendment Act 2009;
- (iii) Swimming Pools Regulation 2018
- (iv) Australian Standard AS1926 Swimming Pool Safety
- (v) Australian Standard AS1926.1 Part 1: Safety barriers for swimming pools
- (vi) Australian Standard AS1926.2 Part 2: Location of safety barriers for swimming pools

(b) A certificate of compliance prepared by the manufacturer of the pool safety fencing, shall

be submitted to the Principal Certifier, certifying compliance with Australian Standard 1926.

(c) Filter backwash waters shall be discharged to the Sydney Water sewer mains in accordance with Sydney Water's requirements. Where Sydney Water mains are not available in rural areas, the backwash waters shall be managed onsite in a manner that does not cause pollution, erosion or run off, is separate from the irrigation area for any wastewater system and is separate from any onsite stormwater management system. Appropriate instructions of artificial resuscitation methods.

(d) A warning sign stating 'YOUNG CHILDREN SHOULD BE ACTIVELY SUPERVISED WHEN USING THIS POOL' has been installed.

(e) Signage showing resuscitation methods and emergency contact

(f) All signage shall be located in a prominent position within the pool area.

(g) Swimming pools and spas must be registered with the *Division of Local Government*.

Details demonstrating compliance are to be submitted to the Principal Certifier prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To protect human life.

ON-GOING CONDITIONS THAT MUST BE COMPLIED WITH AT ALL TIMES

32. **Landscape Maintenance**

a) if any landscape materials/components or planting under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar materials/components.

b) trees, shrubs and groundcovers required to be planted under this consent are to be mulched, watered and fertilised as required at the time of planting.

c) if any tree, shrub or groundcover required to be planted under this consent fails, they are to be replaced with similar species to maintain the landscape theme and be generally in accordance with the approved Landscape Plan(s) and any conditions of consent.

d) the approved landscape planted areas, whether containing lawn, gardens or planters shall in perpetuity remain as planting under the development consent, and shall not be replaced with any hard paved surfaces or structures.

Reason: To maintain local environmental amenity.

33. **Swimming Pool/Spa Motor Noise**

The swimming pool / spa motor shall not produce noise levels that exceed 5dBA above the background noise when measured from the nearest property boundary.

Reason: To ensure that the development does not impact on the acoustic privacy of surrounding residential properties.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Dean Pattalis, Planner

The application is determined on 11/03/2025, under the delegated authority of:



Steven Findlay, Manager Development Assessments