

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

DA No. DA2009/0221

Assessment Officer: Michael Edwards Property Address: Lot 150 in DP 11936

Report Section	Applicable	Compl	ete & Attached	
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	▼ Yes	s No	
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	✓ Ye:	П	
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	✓ Ye:	П	
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	✓ Yes	П	
Warringah Section 94A Development C	ontributions Plan			
Contribution based on total developmer	nt cost of	\$	149,784.00	
Contribution based on total developmer Contribution - all parts Warringah	nt cost of	Levy	Contribution	Counci Code
Contribution - all parts Warringah	nt cost of	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Code
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy	nt cost of	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable \$674.00	Code 6923
Contribution - all parts Warringah	nt cost of	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable	Code
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy S94A Planning and Administration Total		Levy Rate 0.45% 0.05% 0.5%	\$674.00 \$75.00 \$749.00	Code 6923
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy S94A Planning and Administration Total Notification Required?	Period of	Levy Rate 0.45% 0.05% 0.5% Public Exh	### Contribution Payable \$674.00 \$75.00 \$749.00 ### Indicates \$674.00 \$749	6923 6924
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy S94A Planning and Administration Total Notification Required? Yes No	Period of ✓ 14 day	Levy Rate 0.45% 0.05% 0.5% Public Exh	### Contribution Payable \$674.00 \$75.00 \$749.00 ### State	6923 6924
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy S94A Planning and Administration Total Notification Required?	Period of ✓ 14 day	Levy Rate 0.45% 0.05% 0.5% Public Exh	### Contribution Payable \$674.00 \$75.00 \$749.00 ### State	6923 6924
Contribution - all parts Warringah Total S94A Levy S94A Planning and Administration Total Notification Required? Yes No Submissions Received?	Period of 14 day No. of Sul	Levy Rate 0.45% 0.05% 0.5% Public Exh	### Contribution Payable \$674.00 \$75.00 \$749.00 ### Simple \$30 days \$10	6923 6924

WLEP 2000

Locality: G3 Manly Lagoon Suburbs

Development Definition:	Housing	Ancillary Developmer	nt to Housing \Box	Other
Category of Development:	Category	1 Category 2	Category 3	



Desired Future Character: Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required) Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment Required) Category 2 Development Consistency Test (Section 2 Assessment Required) Category 3 Development Consistency Test (Section 2 Assessment Required) **Built Form Controls:** Building Height (overall): Existing and unchanged Yes No. Applicable: ☐ Yes ✓ No Complies: Requirement: 8.5m 11.0m Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling): Existing and unchanged Applicable: Yes No Proposed: 8.4m Requirement: ☐ Yes ▼ No Complies: 7.2m Front Setback: Existing and unchanged Applicable: Yes No Proposed: 0.0m to carport, 6.0m to upper storey Requirement: ☐ Yes ✓ No Complies: Other Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage Corner Allotment: control applicable?: Yes No Existing and unchanged Requirement: Proposed:m 3.5m Complies: Yes No



Housing Density: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 1 dwelling per 450sqm 1 dwelling per 600sqm Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 1 dwelling / per 809.4sqm Complies: Yes No
Landscape Open Space: Applicable: Yes No 40% 50% Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 66.7% (540.0sqm) Complies: Yes No
Rear Setback: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 6.0m Other	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 19.65m Complies: Yes No
Outbuildings: Requirement: 50% of rear setback Other	Outbuildings: Existing and unchanged Proposed:% Complies: Yes No
Side Boundary Envelope: Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No



	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	
	Existing and unchanged or
900mm	Proposed: 1217mm
□ _{4.5m}	Complies: Yes No
П	
Other	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Proposed: 1219m m
	Complies: Yes No
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves □ No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the
	materials selected for the roof have a medium to dark colour range so as to reduce excessive solar reflections
	and glare.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
1	
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
with Disabilities	
with Disabilities Applicable:	
with Disabilities Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No

Complies:

Yes Yes , subject to condition No
The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the

CL42 Construction Sites

Applicable:



Yes No	satisfactory management of the site during demolition and construction works.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the
Yes No	satisfactory management of the site with regard to noise
	emissions during both the demolition and construction phase.
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too , cabjest to containe.
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
1	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes yes, subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes yes, subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable: Yes No CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils Applicable: Yes No CL50 Safety & Security Applicable: Yes No CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No



Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition - No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition - No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	1 es 1 es , subject to condition 190



Yes No	
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition - No
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure that the roof finish utilises materials that have a medium to dark
	colour range so as to reduce excessive solar reflections
	and glare.
CL69 Concernation of Energy and Water	Complies:
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable:	•
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure that the commitments made in the BASIX certificate to meet energy
	and water conservation requirements are implemented in
	the development prior to the issue of an Occupation Certificate.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. ,
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. 55 , Gusjost to condition
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:



Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the satisfactory management of the site with regard to
	stormwater disposal.
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Ves □ No	The imposition of standard conditions will ensure the
TCS NO	satisfactory management of the site during both the
	demolition and construction phase to prevent erosion and sedimentation.
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife	
Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
□ Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



Yes No	

Schedules:

Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	·
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying	Complies:
development Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	ments:
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?	
Ves □ No	
	ian?
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificati	IOIT?
Yes No	
SEPP 55 Applicable?	
Yes No	
Based on the previous land uses if the site like	ely to be contaminated?
Yes No	
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?	
✓ Yes No	
res No	
SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?	
✓ Yes □ No	
res NO Is the proposal for a swimming pool: NO	
Within 30m of an overhead line support struct	ure?
Yes No	
Yes NO Within 5m of an overhead power line?	
□ Yes No	
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?	
Yes No	
REPs: Applicable?: Yes No	



EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: ✓ Yes No	A letter was sent to the applicant dated 18/03/2009 with a request for additional information. The additional information was received by Council 25/03/2009.
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition?
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable: Yes No	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy? Yes No
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access) Applicable: Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No
Clause 98 (BCA) Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Addressed via condition? Yes No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	▼ Yes □ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	✓ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



			Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	✓ Yes □ No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ Yes ✓ No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ _{Yes} No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	□ Yes No		Satisfactory
			Satisfactory, subject to condition
			Unsatisfactory
Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:			
EPA Act 1979		SEPP No	o. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Regulations 2000		□ SEPP No	o. 71 – Coastal Protection
Disability Discrimination Act 19	992	SEPP BA	ASIX
Local Government Act 1993		SEPP Inf	rastructure
Roads Act 1993		WLEP 20	000
Rural Fires Act 1997		☑ WDCP	
RFI Act 1948		S94 Deve	elopment Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000		_	velopment Contributions Plan
Water Act 1912		□ NSW Co	astal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Swimming Pools Act 1992;		Other	••



SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ Yes □ No ☑ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

SECTION 2 - ISSUES

WLEP 2000

DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER

'The Manly Lagoon Suburbs locality will remain characterised by detached style housing with a pocket of apartment style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses. The development of further apartment style housing will be confined to the "medium density areas" shown on the map. Substantial regional parklands and bushland will remain significant elements of the locality.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality except in areas marked as "medium density areas" on the map. The street will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality with the surrounding bushland will be reinforced by protecting and enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and preserving remnants of the natural landscape such as rock outcrops, bushland and natural watercourses. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged. Development on hillsides, or in the vicinity of ridgetops, will integrate with the natural landscape and topography.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided in clause 39.'

Clause 12(3)(a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the # Built Form Control/s, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required



Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

The proposed development is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

- The proposed alterations and additions to the existing dwelling maintains the detached residential character of the established streetscape;
- The design of the upper storey addition is considered to integrate with the existing dwelling, providing a building bulk that integrates with the topography of the site. When viewed from the streetscape, although non-complying with the building height and side boundary envelope development standards, the dwelling provides an overall building bulk and envelope that comfortably fits within the established pattern of development within the streetscape;
- The provision of a double carport within the front setback removes existing landscaping and renders the remaining landscaped elements unsatisfactory to acheive a sense of a landscaped front garden. In this regard, a condition of consent is recommended to reinstate the paved area to soft landscaping;
- There is no alteration to the existing rear building setback and in this regard, does not impact on the adjoining Burnt Bridge Creek at the rear of the site.

Accordingly, the assessment above demonstrates that the proposed development is satisfactory in addressing the relevant components of the Desired Future Character Statement.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Building Height, Front Setback and Side Boundary Envelope Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Building Height Built Form Control

Requirement:

Building Height (overall):	Cristing and unabanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged Proposed: 10.6m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
8.5m	
11.0m	
Other	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unshanged
	Proposed: 8.4m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
7.2m	Compiles. Yes No
Other	



Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed upper storey addition provides a maximum building height of 10.6m and 8.4m to the underside of the ceiling. Quantitatively, the non-compliance equates to an excess of 2.1m to the finished height and 1.2m to the underside of the ceiling.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The topography of the site is characteristic of the southern side of the streetscape, whereby falling from the street front towards the rear. In this regard, the ability to accommodate a level building platform is compromised.

Notwithstanding, the finished height of the dwelling provides a consistent pattern of development and does not contribute to an unreasonable sense of building bulk or scale.

There will be no direct contribution to unreasonable overshadowing from the upper storey, given the 'attic style' design, reducing the building bulk as the height increases and allowing a sense of openness between buildings.

Front Setback Built Form Control

Requirement:

Front Setback:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	Proposed: 0.0m to carport, 6.0m to upper storey addition
▼ 6.5m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Is the Corner Allotment / Secondary Street Frontage control applicable?:	Corner Allotment:
Yes No	Existing and unchanged
Requirement:	
	Proposed:m
3.5m	Compliant Dyes Die
Other	Complies: Yes No

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed double carport does not provide a setback to the front boundary and the upper storey addition provides a setback of 6.0m, 500mm deficient of the development standard.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The streetscape in general comprises a mixed pattern of development. Of the older style dwellings, the majority provide a front building setback that does not comply when considered under the current planning controls in this locality. In this regard, the existing dwelling provides a front building setback of 6.0m. The upper storey addition provides an 'attic style' design, with a dormer window facing the street-front elevation. As such, there is no perceived sense of building bulk or dominating scale and the upper storey addition will appropriately integrate with the existing dwelling below, achieving the function of a double storey dwelling, yet presenting to the streetscape as a single storey dwelling with an attic.

An existing single-car carport is located within the front building setback. The proposed double-car carport is considered to be of open lightweight construction, maintaining a sense of openness to the front setback area. However, the increase in the hardstand area reduces the landscaped front gardens to a virtually non-existent provision. In this regard, it is recommended that the existing paved area be



converted to soft landscaping, so as to counter the removal of existing landscaping and maintain the sense of a landscaped front garden.

Side Boundary Envelope Built Form Control

Requirement:

	T
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees 5m / 45 degrees Other	Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No
Outer	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst Existing and unchanged or Fully within Envelope: Yes No Minor Breach: Yes No Complies: Yes No

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed upper storey addition exceeds the boundary envelope on both side elevations.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

The design of the upper storey addition is considered to reduce the building bulk by providing an 'attic style' upper storey, with the bulk predominantly contained to the roof form. In this regard, the dwelling maintains a similar building height and envelope to the adjoining dwellings and does not result in any opportunity for unreasonable overlooking or a direct unreasonable contribution to overshadowing.

By increasing the setback to the side boundary as the height increases, the upper storey addition maintains a satisfactory sense of openness and separation between buildings.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the



provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Building Height, Front Setback and Side Boundary Envelope Built Form Controls (Development Standards) pursuant to Clause 20(1) are supported.

SECTION 3 - SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 809.4sqm	П
Detail existing onsite structures:	Swimming pool
_	Tennis Court
None	Cabana
Dwelling	Detached Carpor
Detached Garage	Site Features:
Detached shed	



None	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
Trees	North / South
Under Storey Vegetation	East / West
Rock Outcrops	North East / South West
Caves	North West / South East
Overhangs	View of:
Waterfalls	
Creeks / Watercourse	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
Aboriginal Art / Carvings	Headland Yes No
Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance	District Views Yes No
Potential View Loss as a result of development	Bushland Yes No Other:
☐ _{Yes} ▼ _{No}	
Bushfire Prone?	Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?
Yes No	□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}
Flood Prone?	Yes No Located within an area identified as
Yes No	potential land slip?
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils	Yes No
Yes No	Is the development Integrated?
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?	Yes No
▼ Yes □ No	Does the development require concurrence?
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay	☐ Yes No
estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the	Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?
NSW Coastal Policy? Yes No	☐ Yes No
Located within 100m of the mean high	Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?
watermark?	Yes No
Yes No Located within an area identified as a Wave	Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?
	Jacomonio / Mgmo or Hay
Impact Zone?	
Yes No	Yes No
•	Yes No



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	□ Yes No
additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:
Signed Date 14 A	PRIL 2009
Michael Edwards, Development Asses	sment Officer
SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMI	NATION
Conclusion:	
The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development	st the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA is considered to be:
Satisfactory	
Unsatisfactory	
Recommendation:	
That Council as the consent authority	
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONS	ENT to the development application subject to:
(a) the conditions detailed with(b) the consent lapsing within t	in the associated notice of determination; and hree (3) from operation
GRANT DEFERRED COMMEN to:	CEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject
(-)	in the associated notice of determination;
(c) one the deferred commence	ement condition time frame to 3 years; ement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an
operational consent subject (d) the consent lapsing within t	to the time frames detailed within part (d); and hree (3) from operation



	REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:			
	(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.			vithin the associated notice of determination.
Signe	d		Date	14 APRIL 2009
Michael Edwards, Development Assessment Officer				
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:				
Signe	d		Date	14 APRIL 2009

Ryan Cole, Team Leader, Development Assessment