

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

| Application Number: | Mod2019/0617 | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| Responsible Officer: | Thomas Prosser | |
| Land to be developed (Address): | Lot 14 DP 11214, 15 Gurney Crescent SEAFORTH NSW 2092 | |
| Proposed Development: | Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool | |
| Zoning: | Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential | |
| Development Permissible: | Yes | |
| Existing Use Rights: | No | |
| Consent Authority: | Northern Beaches Council | |
| Land and Environment Court Action: | No | |
| Owner: | David Robert Brell Ruanne Carola Brell | |
| Applicant: | Space Landscape Designs Pty Ltd | |
| | | |
| Application Lodged: | 04/12/2019 | |
| Integrated Development: | No | |
| Designated Development: | No | |
| State Reporting Category: | Residential - Alterations and additions | |
| Notified: | 18/12/2019 to 23/01/2020 | |
| Advertised: | Not Advertised | |
| Submissions Received: | 0 | |
| Clause 4.6 Variation: | Nil | |
| Recommendation: | Approval | |

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The proposed modification to the development consent involves the following:

- Retention of the existing planter at the eastern boundary (instead of a new planter box as previously approved)
- Retention and upgrade of the existing stairs (instead of complete removal of existing stairs)

It is further requested that conditions relating to the proposed planter be removed.

The conditions which relate to the proposed planter are as follows:

MOD2019/0617 Page 1 of 10



"7. Levels for planter box

The proposed planter box is to the rear of the property is to have the following levels (RLs) at each step RL68.88, RL68.08 and RL67.68.

Details are to be provided prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To provide a labeling of a reasonable height for the planter box."

and,

"8. Replacement of Weeping Lily Pilys to the rear

The proposed 'Weeping Lily Pily' in the planter box to the rear are to be replaced with a native species with a mature height of no greater than 2.

Details are to be provided prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure a suitable species for the location and to provide reasonable level of amenity for the neighboring property."

The retention of the existing planter box and partial retention of the existing stairs would not result in any unreasonable impact. This is because there were no features of the original consent directly adjacent to the proposed planter box and stairs. As such, the planter box, planting or stairs were not required to mitigate any other impact caused by the proposal.

It is therefore recommended that it is appropriate for the planter box to be retained and also appropriate for the stairs to be upgraded instead of removed.

Furthermore, the conditions (as above) relating to the proposed planter box would no longer be relevant and should be removed.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of

MOD2019/0617 Page 2 of 10



determination);

• A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

There are no assessment issues.

SITE DESCRIPTION

| Property Description: | Lot 14 DP 11214, 15 Gurney Crescent SEAFORTH NSW 2092 | |
|----------------------------|---|--|
| Detailed Site Description: | The subject site consists of an allotment located on the southern side of Gurney Crescent. | |
| | The site is an irregular shape with a frontage of 22.62m along Gurney Crescent and a depth of 69m-85.66m. The site has a surveyed area of 1178.5m². | |
| | The site is located within the R2 Low Density residential zone and accommodates a dwelling house on site. | |
| | The site slopes from the front of the property to the rear and contains a tennis court within the rear setback. | |
| | Vegetation screens the site at the front of the property from Gurney Crescent. | |
| | Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development | |
| | Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential development. | |

Мар:

MOD2019/0617 Page 3 of 10





SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- DA3737/90 Proposed alterations and additions. It is noted that a tennis court was included in this consent.
- DA2018/2010- Alterations and additions to the existing dwelling was approved by Council on 1 July 2019. This application is a proposed modification to this consent.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2018/2010, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

MOD2019/0617 Page 4 of 10



The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications | Comments | |
|--|---|--|
| A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if: | | |
| (a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and | Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact. | |
| (b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and | The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2018/2010. | |
| (c) it has notified the application in accordance with:(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, | The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Manly Local Environment Plan 2013 and Manly Development Control Plan. | |
| or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and | | |
| (d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be. | No submissions were received in relation to this application. | |

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|--|
| | See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument | None applicable. |
| | |

MOD2019/0617 Page 5 of 10



| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|---|
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan | Manly Development Control Plan applies to this proposal. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement | None applicable. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000) | <u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| | Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality | (i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Manly Development Control Plan section in this report. |
| | (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. |
| | (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development | The site is considered suitable for the proposed development. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs | |
| Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest | No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest. |

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is classified as bush fire prone land. Section 4.14 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 requires Council to be satisfied that the development conforms to the

MOD2019/0617 Page 6 of 10



specifications and requirements of the version (as prescribed by the regulations) of the document entitled Planning for Bush Fire Protection.

A Bush Fire Report was submitted with the application (prepared by Bushfire Consultancy Australia, dated 21/11/2018). The report stated that the bushfire attack level of the site is "Flame Zone" the report recommended an alternative solution to comply with Planning for Bush Fire Protection.

The application was referred to the NSW Rural Fire Service for further assessment. The NSW RFS raised no objections to approval, subject to conditions. The recommendations of the Bush Fire Report , along with the conditions from the NSW RFS have been included as part of the recommended conditions of consent.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

| External Referral Body | Comments |
|------------------------|--|
| | The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended. |

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIS)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

Nil

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

| Is the development permissible? | Yes | |
|--|-----|--|
| After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with: | | |
| aims of the LEP? | Yes | |
| | | |

MOD2019/0617 Page 7 of 10



| zone objectives of the LEP? | Yes |
|-----------------------------|-----|
|-----------------------------|-----|

Manly Development Control Plan

Compliance Assessment

| Clause | <u>-</u> | Consistency Aims/Objectives |
|--|----------|--------------------------------|
| 3.3.2 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation | Yes | Yes |

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000:
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

MOD2019/0617 Page 8 of 10



It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2019/0617 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool on land at Lot 14 DP 11214,15 Gurney Crescent, SEAFORTH, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

| Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp | | |
|---|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Drawing No. | Dated | Prepared By |
| L-01- Site Plan/Site Analysis | Rev D- 29/11/19 | Space Landscape Designs |
| D-03- Elevations | Rev C- 25/11/19 | Space Landscape Designs |
| DA-04- Demolition Plan | Rev D- 29/11/19 | Space Landscape Designs |
| DA-05- Excavation and Fill Plan | Rev C- 25/11/19 | Space Landscape Designs |

- b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.
- c) The development is to be undertaken generally in accordance with the following:

| Landscape Plans | | |
|----------------------|-----------------|-------------------------|
| Drawing No. | Dated | Prepared By |
| L-06- Landscape Plan | Rev D- 25/11/19 | Space Landscape Designs |

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

B. Delete Condition 7- Levels for Planter box - which was follows:

The proposed planter box is to the rear of the property is to have the following levels (RLs) at each step RL68.88, RL68.08 and RL67.68.

Details are to be provided prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To provide a labeling of a reasonable height for the planter box.

C. Delete Condition 8 - Replacement of Weeping Lily Pilys which was follows:

MOD2019/0617 Page 9 of 10



The proposed 'Weeping Lily Pily' in the planter box to the rear are to be replaced with a native species with a mature height of no greater than 2.

Details are to be provided prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate.

Reason: To ensure a suitable species for the location and to provide reasonable level of amenity for the neighboring property.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

TRPORM

Thomas Prosser, Planner

The application is determined on //, under the delegated authority of:

Scoting.

Steven Findlay, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2019/0617 Page 10 of 10