

## **RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

Property Address: Lot 9, DP 210600, No.7 Wearden Road Belrose

**Development Assessment No.2009/0785** 

Assessment Officer: Kevin Short

Proposal Description: Alterations and addit and deck.	tions to a dwelling ho	use including a garage, fence
Plan Reference: Drawings DA01, DA02 and DA03 prepared by Lifestyle Homes dated June 2009		
Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No
Estimated Cost of Works: \$85, 000 .00 Are S94A Contributions Applicable?		
Notification Required?		
Yes No		
Period of Public Exhibition?		
14 days 21 days 30 days N/A		
Submissions Received?		
☐ Yes No		
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? Yes No  Note: The proposed development is within close proximity to several trees, however, the trees will no be adversely impacted by the proposed works as excavation works are very limited. In addition, the application was referred to Council's Landscape Officer and subject to conditions of consent, no concerns were raised to the proposed development.		
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT		
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENT	rs	
WLEP 2000		
Locality: B1 Frenchs Forest East		
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other		
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3		
Desired Future Character: B1 Frenchs Forest East		
Category 1 Development with no variations	to BFC's (Section 2 As	sessment not required)



The Frenchs Forest East locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses.

Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality to the surrounding bushland will be reinforced by protecting and enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and preserving the natural landscape including rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercourses.

The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged. Development on hillsides or in the vicinity of ridgetops must integrate with the natural landscape and topography.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39 (Local retail centres).

Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
Yes No

#### **Built Form Controls: B1 Frenchs Forest Fast**

Building Height (overall):  Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged: Overall height of 7.78m  Proposed: Maximum height of Deck 1.8m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
8.5m	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged: 5.58m to existing dwelling.
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged: 5.58m to existing dwelling.
Requirement:	
<b>▼</b> 7.2m	
Front Setback:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged: 8.5m to existing dwelling.
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
6.5m	
Other	
Housing Density:	
Applicable: Yes No	
Landscape Open Space: Site area 695.6m²	<b>Proposed:</b> 45.6% (317m²)
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No



V	
40% (sqm)	
50% (sqm)	
Rear Setback:	☑
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged: 13.2m to existing dwelling.
7. Procession	Proposed: 10.5m to proposed rear deck.
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
6.0m	Compiles. Tes No
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
4m / 45 degrees	
5m / 45 degrees	Complies: Yes No
Jiii / 43 degrees	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	
	Existing and unchanged: 1.8m to existing dwelling
900mm	Proposed: 1.8m to deck
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged: 1.49m to existing dwelling dwelling.
	Proposed: 5.0m to 3.99m to deck
	Complies: Yes No
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too your condition the
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·
165 110	
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No	
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No  Complies:  Based on the previous land uses if the site likely
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?  Yes No Complies:
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?  Yes No
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?  Yes No Complies:
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?  Yes No  Complies:  Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies:
CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land Applicable:  Yes No  CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Complies: Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?  Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?  Yes No  Complies:  Yes Yes, subject to condition No



CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	
Yes No	Note: A 1.2m high masonry fence front is proposed with timber strips. The design of the fence is supported as it will
	provide visual interest to Wearden Road and will not detract from the existing streetscape.
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No  Note: This issue been discussed previously in the report
Yes No	where, in summary, it was concluded that the development
	will not adversely impact on the landscaping assets of the site subject to conditions of consent.
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	



CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: The proposed dwelling is acceptable in relation to the requirements of view sharing.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Note: Direct and close overlooking between neighbours is
	prevented by the existing dividing fence, landscaping and spatial separation.
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	O-mallan.
CL67 Roofs Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	. 55 , Gabjost to Condition 110
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



☐ Yes No	
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
Note: The site contains two (2) off-street parking spaces.	
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , subject to contained.
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
□ <sub>Yes</sub> No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition NO
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition INO



□ <sub>Yes</sub> No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	•
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:  ☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a	Complies:
subdivision of land	•
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition 140
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	, co , cuaject to containent
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and	Complies:
plans of management Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for	Complies:
Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	. 30 . 35 , Subject to Contained 140
Yes No	



Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes No		
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
☐ Yes No		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Yes No	res res , subject to containon rec	
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru SEPPs: Applicable?  Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?	iments:	
Yes No		
	ion?	
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?  Yes No		
SEPP 55 Applicable?		
Yes No		
Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?		
Yes ✓ No		
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?		
✓ Yes □ No		
SEPP Infrastructure		
Applicable?		
Yes No		
Is the proposal for a swimming pool: No. Within 30m of an overhead line support struct	ure?	
Yes No		
Within 5m of an overhead power line?		
☐ Yes ✓ No		
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?		
▼ Yes □ No		



REPs: Applicable?:	Yes	<b>☑</b> No
--------------------	-----	-------------

#### **EPA Regulation Considerations:**

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable:	
☐ Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub>
Yes No	163 140
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Applicable:	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?
Yes No	Yes No
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	✓ Yes □ No
Yes No	Tes No
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?
Disability Access) Applicable:	□ Yes □ No
Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Ves □ No
Yes No	100 110

#### **REFERRALS**

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering  Note: The application was not referred to Council's Engineering Section given the minor nature of works involved in the development. Notwithstanding, appropriate conditions of consent have been imposed on the consent to ensure engineering issues are addressed.	☐ Yes ► No	Satisfactory  Satisfactory, subject to condition  Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	Yes No	Satisfactory  Satisfactory, subject to condition  Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	Yes No	Satisfactory  Satisfactory, subject to condition



Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:				
EPA Act 1979				
EPA Regulations 2000				
Disability Discrimination Act 1992				
Local Government Act 1993				
Roads Act 1993				
Rural Fires Act 1997				
RFI Act 1948				
Water Management Act 2000				
Water Act 1912				
Swimming Pools Act 1992;				
SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land				
SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection				
SEPP BASIX				
SEPP Infrastructure				
₩ WLEP 2000				
WDCP				
S94 Development Contributions Plan				
S94A Development Contributions Plan				
NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)				
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979				
Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant				
provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No			
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	✓ Yes No			
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No			
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub> ▼ <sub>N/A</sub>			
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	✓ Yes No			



Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	✓ Yes No

## **SECTION 2 - ISSUES**

N/A.

## OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION: N/A.

# **SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS**



Site area: 695.6m<sup>2</sup>

## Detail existing onsite structures:

	None
<b>V</b>	Dwelling
	Detached Garage
<b>V</b>	Detached shed (cubby house)
	Swimming pool



Tennis Court
Cabana
Site Features:
None
Trees
Under Storey Vegetation
Potential View Loss as a result of development
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>
Bushfire Prone?
Yes No Flood Prone?
Yes No Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils
Yes No
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?
☐ Yes ☑ No
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?
Yes No
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?
□ Yes No
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?
☐ Yes ► No
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?
□ Yes V No
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?
☐ Yes No
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?
☐ Yes No
Is the development Integrated?
☐ Yes No
Does the development require concurrence?



Yes No	□ Yes No		
Is the site owned or is the DA made by	y the "Crown"?		
Yes No			
Have you reviewed the DP and s88B in	nstrument?		
Yes No			
Does the proposal impact upon any ea	asements / Rights of Way?		
☐ Yes ✓ No			
Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by: Kevin Short, Development Assessment Officer, on 10 August 2009.			
Does the site inspection confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's?	Yes No		
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	T <sub>Yes</sub> No		
Signed Date			
Signed Date  Kevin Short, Development Assessment	nt Officer		
Kevin Short, Development Assessmen			
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINE Conclusion:	NATION  ast the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINE Conclusion: The proposal has been considered again	NATION  ast the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION Conclusion: The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development	NATION  ast the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINE Conclusion: The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development  Satisfactory	NATION  ast the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINE Conclusion: The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development  Satisfactory Unsatisfactory	nst the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA is considered to be:		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMIT  Conclusion:  The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory  Recommendation: APPROVAL  That Council as the consent authority	nst the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA is considered to be:		
Kevin Short, Development Assessment SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMIT  Conclusion:  The proposal has been considered again Act 1979 and the proposed development  Satisfactory  Unsatisfactory  Recommendation: APPROVAL  That Council as the consent authority  GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSI	nst the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA is considered to be:  ENT to the development application subject to:  in the associated notice of determination; and		



- (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination;
- (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years;
- (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
- (d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation

	REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:		
	(a) the reasons of	letailed within the associated notice of determination.	
Signe	d	Date	
Kevin Short, Development Assessment Officer			
The ap	pplication is determin	ed under the delegated authority of:	
Signe	d	Date	

Lashta Haidari, Acting Leader, Development Assessment