## TREE APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application No. DA2009/1258

**Proposal Description: Removal of 5 trees** 

Legal Address: Lot 2 DP 963283

Property Address: 32 Oliver Street FRESHWATER NSW 2096

| Assessment Officer:                                  | Jason Goldstein                  |
|------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Notification Required?                               |                                  |
|                                                      | Yes (14 days) No                 |
| Applicable Controls:                                 | EPA Act 1979                     |
|                                                      | EPA ACI 1979                     |
|                                                      | EPA Regulations 2000             |
|                                                      | WLEP 2000                        |
|                                                      |                                  |
|                                                      | WDCP                             |
| SEPPs: Applicable?:                                  | Yes No                           |
| REPs: Applicable?:                                   |                                  |
|                                                      | Yes No                           |
| LEPs Applicable?                                     | ✓ Yes No                         |
|                                                      | res ino                          |
| WLEP                                                 |                                  |
| Locality:                                            | H1 Freshwater Beach              |
| Category of Development                              | Category 2 (other works)         |
| Desired Future Character Consideration:              |                                  |
| Is the development considered to be consistent with  |                                  |
| the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?   | Yes No                           |
| Built Form Controls: Applicable?                     | □ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub> |
|                                                      | 103 110                          |
| General Principles of Development Control (GP's):    | ▼ Yes □ No                       |
| Applicable?                                          |                                  |
| (Relevant GP's are:)                                 | Compliant?                       |
| CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site | ☐ Yes No                         |
| CL58                                                 |                                  |
| Protection of Existing Flora                         | Yes No                           |
| CL59 Koala Habitat Protection                        |                                  |
| CL60                                                 | Yes No                           |
| Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats                      | Yes No                           |
| CL63                                                 | res No                           |
| Landscaped Open Space                                | ☐ Yes ✓ No                       |
| Schedules: Applicable?                               |                                  |
| Schedules. Applicable:                               | Yes No                           |
| Schedule 8 Site analysis                             | Adequate Detail?                 |
|                                                      |                                  |
|                                                      | Yes No                           |

## Clause 31 (How can Council make Tree Preservation Orders (TPO)?)

| Does the proposed development meet the o | ojectives | of the | TPO? |
|------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|------|
|------------------------------------------|-----------|--------|------|

| Ε <b>σ</b> |                        |                 |
|------------|------------------------|-----------------|
| Yes        | , subject to condition | <sup>∟</sup> No |

To use this inspection criteria: Bold highlight denotes code, where there is no bold, check the accompanying notes and use the appropriate code or insert the necessary information.

| Information Category                                                            | No 1, 2, 3 & 4     | No 5                           |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------|--------------------------------|
| Species                                                                         | Syzigium/Acmena sp | Archontophoenix cunnunghamiana |
| Remnant/Planted/ Self sown                                                      | Р                  | Р                              |
| Special significance                                                            |                    |                                |
| Age class Y/S/M/O                                                               | M                  | M                              |
| Tree height (m)                                                                 | 8                  | 6                              |
| Average crown diameter (m)                                                      | 2                  | 2                              |
| Crown condition <b>0</b> , <b>1</b> , <b>2</b> , <b>3</b> , <b>4</b> , <b>5</b> | 4                  | 4                              |
| Root zone                                                                       | Ga                 | Ga                             |
| Defects                                                                         |                    |                                |
| Services/adjacent structures                                                    | Bu                 | Bu                             |
| Failure potential 1, 2, 3, 4                                                    | 1                  | 1                              |
| Size of defective part 1, 2, 3, 4                                               | 1                  | 1                              |
| Target rating 1, 2, 3, 4                                                        | 2                  | 2                              |
| Hazard Rating (-/12)                                                            | 4                  | 4                              |
| Recommendations                                                                 |                    |                                |
| Remove Tree                                                                     | N                  | N                              |
| Pruning                                                                         | Υ                  | Υ                              |
| Repair/replace surface                                                          |                    |                                |
| Root pruning/root barrier                                                       |                    |                                |
| Replanting required                                                             |                    |                                |
| Other                                                                           |                    |                                |

**Additional Comments:** All trees were in good health and condition at time of inspection. Reasons for removal do not conform with Councils TPO guidelines.

| SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979                                                                                                                                                |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------|---------------------------------------------------|
| Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you planning instrument?                                                                                                                  | considered all relevant provisions of                                     | f any relevant environmental   | ▼ Yes □ No                                        |
| Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you environmental planning instrumental                                                                                                  | u considered all relevant provisions c<br>nt                              | f any provisions of any draft  | ✓ Yes No                                          |
| Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have yo development control plan                                                                                                             | u considered all relevant provisions                                      | of any provisions of any       | Yes No                                            |
| Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have yo<br>Draft Planning Agreement                                                                                                         | ou considered all relevant provisions                                     | of any Planning Agreement or   | □ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub> ☑ <sub>N/A</sub> |
| Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you                                                                                                                                      | u considered all relevant provisions c                                    | f any Regulations?             | Yes No                                            |
|                                                                                                                                                                         | ly impacts of the development, include and social and economic impacts in |                                | Yes No                                            |
| Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site su                                                                                                                                    | uitable for the development?                                              |                                | Yes No                                            |
| Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you c<br>EPA Regs?                                                                                                                           | onsidered any submissions made in                                         | accordance with the EPA Act or | Yes No                                            |
| Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the propo                                                                                                                                      | osal in the public interest?                                              |                                | □ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>                  |
| APPLICATION DETERMINA                                                                                                                                                   | TION                                                                      |                                |                                                   |
| Conclusion:                                                                                                                                                             |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| The proposal has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA Act 1979 and the proposed development is considered to be:           |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| Yes, subject to condition                                                                                                                                               |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| Unsatisfactory                                                                                                                                                          |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| Recommendation:                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| That Council as the consen                                                                                                                                              | t authority                                                               |                                |                                                   |
| GRANT DEVELOPME                                                                                                                                                         | ENT CONSENT to the development                                            | ent application subject to:    |                                                   |
| <ul><li>(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and</li><li>(b) the consent lapsing within three (3) years from operation.</li></ul> |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:                                                                                                   |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| (a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.                                                                                                 |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| "I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"                                              |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| The application is determined under the delegated authority of:                                                                                                         |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
|                                                                                                                                                                         |                                                                           |                                |                                                   |
| Jason Goldstein                                                                                                                                                         | Signed                                                                    | Date                           |                                                   |

**Tree Assessment Officer** 

## **Explanatory Criteria for Tree Inspection Schedule within Assessment Report**

**Note:** The detail below is general and is provided in good faith as a guide to assist persons reviewing the assessment report understand and interpret the assessment and a determination which may include the removal of a tree outside the criteria set can be for reasons beyond technical consideration and can be based on the expertise of the Council Officer conducting the assessment. If you require clarification or have any questions, please contact Council's Planning and Development Tree Assessment Officer.

| Key                                | Criteria                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                           | Comments                              |
|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|---------------------------------------|
| Tree No.                           | Must relate to the number on your site diagram                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                       |
| Species                            | May be coded – include a key to the codes; botanical names and common names in key.  (eg Lc = Lophostemon confertus Brush Box)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                     |                                       |
| Remnant/<br>Planted /<br>Self sown | Self explanatory; of use when negotiating cost sharing for line clearing operations                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                |                                       |
| Special<br>Significance            | A Aboriginal C Commemorative Ha Habitat Hi Historic M Memorial R Rare U Unique form O Other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                        | This may require specialist knowledge |
| Age Class                          | Y Young = recently planted S Semi mature (<20% of life expectancy) M Mature (20-80% of life expectancy) O Over-mature (>80% of life expectancy)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                    |                                       |
| Height                             | In metres                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          |                                       |
| Spread                             | Average diameter of canopy in metres                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               |                                       |
| condition                          | Overall vigour and vitality  0 Dead 1 Severe decline (<20% canopy; major dead wood 2 Declining (20-60% canopy density; twig and branch dieback) 3 Average/low vigour (60-90% canopy density; twig dieback) 4 Good (90-100% crown cover; little or no dieback or other problems 5 Excellent (100% crown cover, no deadwood or other problems)                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                       |                                       |
| Failure<br>Potential               | Identifies the most likely failure and rates the likelihood that the structural defect(s) will result in failure within the inspection period.  1. Low – defects are minor (eg dieback of twigs, small wounds with good wound wood development)  2. Medium – defects are present and obvious (eg cavity encompassing 10-25% of the circumference of the trunk)  3. High – numerous and/or significant defects present (eg cavity encompassing 30-50% of the circumference of the trunk, major bark inclusions)  4. Severe – defects are very severe (eg heart rot fruiting bodies, cavity encompassing more than 50% of the trunk) | This requires specialist knowledge    |
| Size of<br>Defective<br>Plant      | Rates the size of the part most likely to fail. The larger the part that fails, the greater the potential for damage.  1. Most likely failure less than 150mm in diameter 2. Most likely failure 150-450mm in diameter 3. Most likely failure 450-750mm in diameter 4. Most likely failure more than 750mm in diameter                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             |                                       |

| Key                                 | Criteria                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                             | Comments                                                                                                                                                                                              |
|-------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| Target Rating*                      | Rates the use and occupancy of the area that would be struck by the defective part.  1. Occasional use (eg jogging/cycle track) 2. Intermittent use (picnic area, day use parking) 3. Frequent use, secondary structure (eg seasonal camping area, storage facilities) 4. Constant use, structures (eg year-round use for a number of hours each day, residences)  Failure potential + size of part + target rating. Add each of the | The final number identifies the                                                                                                                                                                       |
| Rating*                             | above sections for a number out of 12.                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                               | degree of risk. The next step is to determine a management strategy. A rating in this column does not condemn a tree but may indicate the need for more investigation and a risk management strategy. |
| Root Zone                           | C Compaction D Damaged / wounded roots (eg by mowers E Exposed Roots Ga Trees in Garden Bed Gi Girdled Roots Gr Grass K Kerb close to tree L+ Raised soil level L - Lowered soil level M Mulched Pa Paving / concrete / bitumen Pr Roots pruned O Other                                                                                                                                                                              | More than one of these may apply                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Defects                             | B Borers C Cavity D Decay PF Previous Failures I Inclusions L Lopped M Mistletoe / Parasites S Splits / cracks T Termites F Fungi E Epicormics MD Mechanical Damage O Other                                                                                                                                                                                                                                                          | More than one of these may apply                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Services /<br>adacent<br>structures | Bs Bus stop Bu Building within 3m HVo High voltage open-wire construction HVb High voltage bundled (ABC) LVo Low voltage open-wire construction LVb Low voltage bundled (ABC) Na No services above Nb No services above ground Si Signage SI Street light T Transmission lines (>33KV) U Underground services O Other                                                                                                                | More than one of these may apply                                                                                                                                                                      |
| Services /<br>adacent<br>structures | Bs Bus stop Bu Building within 3m HVo High voltage open-wire construction HVb High voltage bundled (ABC) LVo Low voltage open-wire construction LVb Low voltage bundled (ABC) Na No services above Nb No services above ground Si Signage SI Street light T Transmission lines (>33KV) U Underground services O Other                                                                                                                | More than one of these may apply                                                                                                                                                                      |