

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

| Application Number: | Mod2021/0598 | |
|------------------------------------|---|--|
| | | |
| Responsible Officer: | Brittany Harrison | |
| Land to be developed (Address): | Lot 10 DP 216532, 98 Waratah Street MONA VALE NSW 2103 | |
| Proposed Development: | Modification of Development Consent DA2020/1495 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house | |
| Zoning: | R2 Low Density Residential | |
| Development Permissible: | Yes | |
| Existing Use Rights: | No | |
| Consent Authority: | Northern Beaches Council | |
| Land and Environment Court Action: | No | |
| Owner: | Alan Robert Erdman Julie-Ann Erdman | |
| Applicant: | Alan Robert Erdman | |
| | | |
| | | |

| Application Lodged: | 26/08/2021 | | |
|---------------------------|---|--|--|
| Integrated Development: | No | | |
| Designated Development: | No | | |
| State Reporting Category: | Residential - Alterations and additions | | |
| Notified: | 02/09/2021 to 16/09/2021 | | |
| Advertised: | Not Advertised | | |
| Submissions Received: | 0 | | |
| Clause 4.6 Variation: | Nil | | |
| Recommendation: | Approval | | |

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

The applicant seeks development consent for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling house. Specifically, the works comprise of;

Lower Ground Floor

• Specific lower ground walls to be demolished and replaced in situ.

Upper Ground Floor

 Relocation of approved external staircase, reduction in size of deck landing and alterations to the ceiling above the deck landing.

MOD2021/0598 Page 1 of 12



Minor internal changes to WIR.

External

- Replacement of external wall cladding.
- Change in roof colour form shale grey to dune.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

There are no assessment issues.

SITE DESCRIPTION

| Property Description: | Lot 10 DP 216532 , 98 Waratah Street MONA VALE NSW 2103 |
|----------------------------|--|
| Detailed Site Description: | The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the eastern corner of Maxwell Street and Waratah Street. |
| | The site is legally known as Lot 10 in Deposited Plan 216532, No. 98 Waratah Street, Mona Vale. |
| | The site is irregular in shape with a primary frontage of 16.46m and splayed frontage of 5.17m along Waratah Street, and a secondary frontage of 31.01m along Maxwell Street. The site has an average depth of 32.84m, with a surveyed area of 689.2m ² . |
| | |

MOD2021/0598 Page 2 of 12



The site is located within the R2 Low Residential Zone, under the PLEP 2014 and accommodates a dwelling house.

The site slopes downwards from the western corner to the eastern corner by approximately 4.95m.

The site has canopy trees within the western front corner of the site and large areas of turf throughout the front and rear yards.

Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development

Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by single and two-storey dwelling houses with a variation of architectural designs.



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

DA2020/1495

Development Application for the alterations and additions to a dwelling house. Approved on the 12 January 2021 by Northern Beaches Council.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning

MOD2021/0598 Page 3 of 12



and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2020/1495, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and

| Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications | Comments | | |
|--|--|--|--|
| A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if: | | | |
| (a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and | Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons: The modification is to demolish and rebuild in situ specific lower ground walls and part of the upper ground floor deck, including the replacement of the existing external wall cladding. The external ceiling over the deck landing has been altered from a sloping ceiling directly under the skillion roof to a lowered horizontal ceiling. Minor internal alterations Change in external roof colour The external entry staircase has been relocated closer to the house with a minor reduction in size to approved deck landing. | | |
| (b) it is satisfied that the development to which | No major modifications are being proposed that would alter the dwelling significantly. The development, as proposed, has been found to | | |
| the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and | be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/1495 for the following reasons: | | |
| | The modifications are predominately the replacement of specific walls and upper decking in situ. As well as a few minor aesthetic changes, | | |

MOD2021/0598 Page 4 of 12



| Section 4.55(1A) - Other Modifications | Comments |
|--|---|
| | however majority of the dwelling will remain substantially the same and will not be altered significantly. |
| (c) it has notified the application in accordance with: | The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning |
| (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, | and Assessment Regulation 2000, and the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan. |
| or | |
| (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and | |
| (d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be. | No submissions were received in relation to this application. |

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|--|
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument | See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument | Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan | Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan applies to this proposal. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement | None applicable. |

MOD2021/0598 Page 5 of 12



| Section 4.15 'Matters for | Comments |
|---|--|
| Consideration' Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000) | <u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| | Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case. |
| | Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| | Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| | Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. |
| | Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in | (i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan section in this report. |
| the locality | (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. |
| | (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use. |

MOD2021/0598 Page 6 of 12



| Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration' | Comments |
|--|---|
| Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development | The site is considered suitable for the proposed development. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs | See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report. |
| Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest | No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest. |

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 02/09/2021 to 16/09/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

| Internal Referral Body | Comments |
|--------------------------|---|
| NECC (Stormwater and | The proposed DA generally complies with the flood controls in the |
| Floodplain Engineering – | LEP and DCP. |
| Flood risk) | |

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

MOD2021/0598 Page 7 of 12



Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

Pittwater Local Environmental Plan 2014

| Is the development permissible? | Yes | |
|--|-----|--|
| After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with: | | |
| aims of the LEP? | Yes | |
| zone objectives of the LEP? | Yes | |

Principal Development Standards

| Development Standard | Requirement | Approved | Proposed | % Variation | Complies |
|----------------------|-------------|----------|-----------|-------------|----------|
| Height of Buildings: | 8.5m | 6.1m | No Change | - | N/A |

Compliance Assessment

| Clause | Compliance with Requirements |
|--|------------------------------|
| 1.9A Suspension of covenants, agreements and instruments | Yes |
| 2.7 Demolition requires development consent | Yes |
| 4.3 Height of buildings | Yes |
| 7.1 Acid sulfate soils | Yes |
| 7.2 Earthworks | Yes |
| 7.3 Flood planning | Yes |
| 7.7 Geotechnical hazards | Yes |
| 7.10 Essential services | Yes |

Pittwater 21 Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

| Built Form Control | Requirement | Approved | Proposed | Complies |
|-----------------------|--|----------|--------------|----------|
| Front building line | Primary Frontage: 6.5m (Waratah Street) | 9.2m | No Change | N/A |
| | Secondary Frontage: 3.25m (Maxwell Street) | 4.9m | No Change | N/A |
| Rear building line | 6.5m | 8.9m | No Change | N/A |
| Side building line | 1m (south-east elevation) | 1.1m | No | N/A |

MOD2021/0598 Page 8 of 12



| | | | Change | |
|-------------------|----------------------------|------------------------------|--------|-----|
| Building envelope | 3.5m | Within envelope | No | N/A |
| | | | Change | |
| | 3.5m | Within envelope | No | N/A |
| | | | Change | |
| Landscaped area | 50% (344.6m ²) | 48.6% (335.1m ²) | No | N/A |
| | , | , , | Change | |

Compliance Assessment

| Clause | Compliance with Requirements | Consistency Aims/Objectives |
|---|------------------------------------|--------------------------------|
| A1.7 Considerations before consent is granted | Yes | Yes |
| A4.9 Mona Vale Locality | Yes | Yes |
| B3.1 Landslip Hazard | Yes | Yes |
| B3.6 Contaminated Land and Potentially Contaminated Land | Yes | Yes |
| B3.11 Flood Prone Land | Yes | Yes |
| B4.5 Landscape and Flora and Fauna Enhancement Category 3 Land | Yes | Yes |
| B5.15 Stormwater | Yes | Yes |
| B8.1 Construction and Demolition - Excavation and Landfill | Yes | Yes |
| B8.3 Construction and Demolition - Waste Minimisation | Yes | Yes |
| B8.4 Construction and Demolition - Site Fencing and Security | Yes | Yes |
| C1.1 Landscaping | Yes | Yes |
| C1.2 Safety and Security | Yes | Yes |
| C1.3 View Sharing | Yes | Yes |
| C1.4 Solar Access | Yes | Yes |
| C1.5 Visual Privacy | Yes | Yes |
| C1.6 Acoustic Privacy | Yes | Yes |
| C1.7 Private Open Space | Yes | Yes |
| C1.13 Pollution Control | Yes | Yes |
| C1.23 Eaves | Yes | Yes |
| D9.1 Character as viewed from a public place | Yes | Yes |
| D9.2 Scenic protection - General | Yes | Yes |
| D9.3 Building colours and materials | Yes | Yes |
| D9.6 Front building line | Yes | Yes |
| D9.7 Side and rear building line | Yes | Yes |
| D9.9 Building envelope | Yes | Yes |
| D9.10 Landscaped Area - General | Yes | Yes |
| D9.14 Construction, Retaining walls, terracing and undercroft areas | Yes | Yes |

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

MOD2021/0598 Page 9 of 12



The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Pittwater Local Environment Plan;
- Pittwater Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Inconsistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0598 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/1495 granted for alterations and additions to a dwelling house on land at Lot 10 DP 216532,98 Waratah Street, MONA VALE, subject to the conditions printed below:

MOD2021/0598 Page 10 of 12



A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

| Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp | | | |
|---|--------------|---------------------|--|
| Drawing No. | Dated | Prepared By | |
| Demolition plan; lower ground floor and upper ground floor - Rev. 2 | 22 July 2020 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Floor plan; lower ground floor - Rev. 1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Floor plan; upper ground floor - Rev. 1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Floor plan; roof unaltered & BASIX commitment - Rev. 1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Elevations - Rev.1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Elevations - Rev. 1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |
| Site Plan and Site Analysis Plan - Rev. 1 | 22 July 2021 | Black Adder Designs | |

| Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within: | | | |
|--|-------|---------------------|--|
| Report No. / Page No. / Section No. | Dated | Prepared By | |
| Statement of Modification | - | Black Adder Designs | |

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

Add Condition 17. Geotechnical Certification Prior to Occupation Certificate to read as follows:

The Applicant is to submit the completed Form 3 of the Geotechnical Risk Management Policy (Appendix 5 of P21 DCP) to the Principal Certifying Authority prior to issue of the Occupation Certificate.

Reason: To ensure geotechnical risk is mitigated appropriately.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Brittany Harrison, Planner

MOD2021/0598 Page 11 of 12



The application is determined on 28/09/2021, under the delegated authority of:

Rodney Piggott, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2021/0598 Page 12 of 12