

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2020/0281		
Responsible Officer:	Thomas Burns		
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 69 DP 35319, 14 Brook Road SEAFORTH NSW 2092		
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0283 granted for construction of a swimming pool		
Zoning:	Manly LEP2013 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential		
Development Permissible:	Yes		
Existing Use Rights:	No		
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council		
Land and Environment Court Action:	No		
Owner:	Russell Alexander Sheil Kathryn Louise Sheil		
Applicant:	Russell Alexander Sheil Kathryn Louise Sheil		
Application Lodged:	01/07/2020		
Integrated Development:	No		
Designated Development:	No		

Integrated Development: Designated Development: No State Reporting Category: Residential - Alterations and additions 10/07/2020 to 24/07/2020 Advertised: Not Advertised Submissions Received: Clause 4.6 Variation: No Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

Development Consent was granted on 23 April 2020 under DA2020/0283 for the construction of a swimming pool.

This Section 4.55(1A) Modification has been submitted seeking to modify the consent in the following manner:

• Re-locate the filter and heater equipment to the western rear boundary near the deck.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

MOD2020/0281 Page 1 of 12



The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Manly Development Control Plan - 3.9 Mechanical Plant Equipment Manly Development Control Plan - 4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 69 DP 35319 , 14 Brook Road SEAFORTH NSW 2092				
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of Lot 69 within DP 35319 and is located on the western side of Brook Road, Seaforth.				
	The site is slightly irregular in shape with a frontage of 14.63m along Brook Road and north and south side boundary depths of 36.595m and 36.575m respectively. The site has a surveyed area of 535sqm.				
	The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates and existing single storey dwellin and attached carport. The site is relatively flat, with a slight fall from west to east (rear to front).				
	Landscaping on site is typical of a suburban garden and includes predominantly hedging, small to medium shrubs and areas of lawn.				
	Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development				
	Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by residential one and two storey dwellings and business premises' on the western side of Brook Road. Directly across from the site on the eastern side of Brook Road is				

MOD2020/0281 Page 2 of 12



Seaforth Public School.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

The land has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. A search of Council's records has revealed the following relevant history:

- Development Application No. 27/12 for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling including rear addition with deck, new single carport, front fence, demolition of shed and landscaping approved by Council on 19 July 2012; and
- Development Application DA2020/0283 for the construction of a swimming pool approved by Council on 23 April 2020.

Site Visits

A site visit was undertaken at the subject site on 24 July 2020.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given

MOD2020/0281 Page 3 of 12



by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2020/0283, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55(1A) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55(1A) - Other	Comments
Modifications	
	eing made by the applicant or any other person entitled to uthority and subject to and in accordance with the
(a) it is satisfied that the proposed modification is of minimal environmental impact, and	Yes The modification, as proposed in this application, is considered to be of minimal environmental impact for the following reasons: • The modifications pertain to relocating pool filter and heater equipment, of which will have negligible impacts on the natural and built
(b) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	environment. The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/0283 for the following reasons: • The approved location and dimensions of the swimming pool will remain unaltered by the modified proposal.
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with:(i) the regulations, if the regulations so require,	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Manly Local Environment Plan 2013, Manly Development Control Plan 2013 and Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan.
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

MOD2020/0281 Page 4 of 12



Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 4.55 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Assessment Act, 1979, are: Section 4.15 'Matters for	Comments		
Consideration'	Comments		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Manly Development Control Plan 2013 applies to this proposal.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.		
	Clause 50(1A) of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This clause is not relevant to this application.		
	Clauses 54 and 109 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.		
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This clause is not relevant to this application		
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This clause is not relevant to this application.		
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a		

MOD2020/0281 Page 5 of 12



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. Clause 143A of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building
	designer prior to the issue of a Construction Certificate. This clause is not relevant to this application.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Manly Development Control Plan 2013 section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal.
	(iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	No submissions were received.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

MOD2020/0281 Page 6 of 12



Internal Referral Body	Comments
Engineering)	the applicant proposed to amend the location of pool filter and equipment. Development Engineering has no objection to the application. No additional engineering condition is needed.
NECC (Stormwater and Floodplain Engineering – Flood risk)	The proposal is to relocate the filter and heater equipment to the western side near the deck. This area is above the the Flood Planning Level. The pool is outside of the 1% AEP extent.

External Referral Body	Comments
	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.

MOD2020/0281 Page 7 of 12



- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

Manly Local Environmental Plan 2013

Is the development permissible?	Yes		
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:			
aims of the LEP?			
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes		

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.3 Flood planning	Yes
6.4 Stormwater management	Yes
6.6 Riparian land and watercourses	Yes
6.12 Essential services	Yes

Manly Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Controls - Site Area: 535sqm	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
4.1.4.1 Street Front Setbacks	Prevailing building line	29.3m, behind prevailing setback	29.3m, behind prevailing setback	Yes
4.1.5.1 Minimum Residential Total Open Space Requirements Residential Open Space Area: OS3	Open space 55% of site area (294.8sqm)	46% (247.6sqm)	46% (247.6sqm) - no change from approved	No, however variation supported under DA2020/0283
4.1.5.2 Landscaped Area	Landscaped area 35% of open space (68.2sqm)	61% (181sqm)	60.55% (178.5sqm)	Yes
4.1.5.3 Private Open Space	18sqm per dwelling	116sqm	116sqm	Yes

MOD2020/0281 Page 8 of 12



4.1.9 Swimming Pools, Spas and	1m height above ground	0m (Existing ground level)	0m (Existing ground level)	Yes
Water Features	1m curtilage/1.5m water side/rear setback	South (side): 1.4m curtilage 1.8m water	South (side): 1.4m curtilage 1.8m water	Yes
				Yes
		West (rear):		
		1.3m curtilage	West (rear):	
		1.7m water	1.3m curtilage 1.7m water	Yes
		North (side):		
		8.8m curtilage		
		9.2m water	North (side):	
			8.8m curtilage	
			9.2m water	

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
3.1 Streetscapes and Townscapes	Yes	Yes
3.1.1 Streetscape (Residential areas)	Yes	Yes
3.3.1 Landscaping Design	Yes	Yes
3.3.2 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
3.4 Amenity (Views, Overshadowing, Overlooking /Privacy, Noise)	Yes	Yes
3.4.1 Sunlight Access and Overshadowing	Yes	Yes
3.4.2 Privacy and Security	Yes	Yes
3.4.3 Maintenance of Views	Yes	Yes
3.5 Sustainability - (Greenhouse Energy Efficiency, Thermal Performance, and Water Sensitive Urban Design)	Yes	Yes
3.5.1 Solar Access	Yes	Yes
3.7 Stormwater Management	Yes	Yes
3.8 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
3.9 Mechanical Plant Equipment	Yes	Yes
3.10 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
4.1 Residential Development Controls	Yes	Yes
4.1.4 Setbacks (front, side and rear) and Building Separation	Yes	Yes
4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping	No	Yes
4.1.8 Development on Sloping Sites	Yes	Yes
4.1.9 Swimming Pools, Spas and Water Features	Yes	Yes
4.4.5 Earthworks (Excavation and Filling)	Yes	Yes
5.4.3 Flood Prone Land	Yes	Yes
5.4.4 Riparian Land and Watercourses	Yes	Yes

MOD2020/0281 Page 9 of 12



Detailed Assessment

3.9 Mechanical Plant Equipment

The proposed modifications comprise relocating the mechanical plant equipment to the rear boundary. It is noted that the equipment will not be located in close proximity to living rooms on adjoining properties. Furthermore, the pool filter equipment will be located in a sound proof enclosure to alleviate any unreasonable acoustic impacts.

Based on the above, it is concluded that the modified proposal demonstrates consistency with this control.

4.1.5 Open Space and Landscaping

The control requires at least 55% of the site to comprise total open space. The development approved under DA2020/0283 was deficient in total open space, with only 46% of the site comprising total open space. Despite this, the application was supported on merit as the development demonstrated consistency with the objectives of this control.

In assessing this Section 4.55(1A) Modification, it is noted that no changes are proposed to the approved dimensions of total open space. Therefore, no further consideration of this control is required for the purpose of this assessment.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is inconsistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Manly Local Environment Plan;
- Manly Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects,

MOD2020/0281 Page 10 of 12



all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2020/0281 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/0283 granted for construction of a swimming pool on land at Lot 69 DP 35319,14 Brook Road, SEAFORTH, subject to the conditions printed below:

No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
P01 Revision C - Site Plan	18 February 2020	Crystal Pools

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

No. 1B - Noise

All associated plant and pool equipment shall not result in any noise above 5dBa ambient background when measured from property boundaries at any time.

Reason: Ensure reasonable acoustic amenity.

MOD2020/0281 Page 11 of 12



In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Thomas Burns, Planner

The application is determined on 07/08/2020, under the delegated authority of:

David Auster, Acting Development Assessment Manager

MOD2020/0281 Page 12 of 12