RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Development Application No. DA2009/1188 **Assessment Officer:** Mitchell Drake

Property Address: Lot 36, Sec U in DP 33000, 76 Claudare Street Collaroy Plateau Proposal Description: Alterations and additions to existing dwelling including a carport

extension and decking

Plan Reference:

Drawing No.	Title	Rev.	Date	Drawn By
89626 Sheet 1	Working Drawings	N/A	7 November 2005	Actron Design Pty. Ltd.
89626 Sheet 2	Working Drawings	N/A	7 November 2005	Actron Design Pty. Ltd.
89626 Sheet 3	Working Drawings	N/A	7 November 2005	Actron Design Pty. Ltd.
89626 Sheet 4	Working Drawings	N/A	7 November 2005	Actron Design Pty. Ltd.

Reporting:

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No
Estimated Cost of Works: \$33,000.00	Are S94A Contributi	ons Applicable? Yes No
Notification Required?	Period of Public Ex	hibition?
Yes No	14 days 21 da	ays 30 days N/A
	(16 September 2009	- 1 October 2009)
Submissions Received?	No. of Submissions	: NIL
Yes No		
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development? Yes No		

SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2000

Locality: D4 Collaroy Plateau

Development Definition: Housing (Alterations and Additions)

Category of Development:	Category 1	Catego	ry 2	Category 3
Desired Future Character: The Collaroy Plateau locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses.				
Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will continue to be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.				
The properties north and east of Edgecliff Boulevard form part of the crests and sideslopes of the Collaroy escarpment. Development in this part of the locality must integrate with the landscape and topography and minimise its visual impact on long distance views of the escarpment. Rock outcrops and indigenous tree canopy will be integrated with new development where possible. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged.				
Buildings are not to be erected on are instability and visual sensitivity.	as shown cros	s-hatched on the	map due	to the land's steep slope,
The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control provided in clause 39.				
Category 1 Development with variations to Built Form Control's				
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? Yes No				
BUILT FORM CONTROLS:				
Building Height (overall):		Overall Height:	5.4m	
Applicable: Yes No		Complies:	Yes	No
Requirement: 8.5m		Existing and u	unchanged	I
Building Height (underside of upper most of	eiling):	Ceiling Height: 3	3.5m	
Applicable: Yes No		Complies:	Yes 🗆	No
Requirement: 7.2m		Existing and u	unchanged	I
Front Setback:		Proposed: 3.6m	` ''	orted)
Applicable: Yes No		Conditioned to 6.		,
Requirement: 6.5m			Yes	
Housing Density:		Existing and the Existing Dwellin		I, Subject to Condition
			J . PU T	

Existing and unchanged

Applicable:

NOTE: This control does not apply where this standard would prevent an existing allotment accommodating one dwelling.

Landscape Open Space:	Proposed : 45% (192.55m²)	
Applicable: Yes No Requirement: 40% or (169m²)	Complies: Yes No	
Rear Setback:	Proposed Alterations: 9m	
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No	
Requirement: 6.0m	Compiles. Tes INO	
Side Boundary Envelope North:	₽ □	
Applicable: Yes No	Fully within Envelope: Yes No	
Requirement: 5m / 45 degrees	Complies: Yes No	
Side Boundary Envelope South:	Fully within Envelope: Yes No	
Applicable: Yes No	Fully within Envelope: Yes No	
Requirement: 5m / 45 degrees	Complies: Yes No	
Side Setback North:	Proposed Rear Deck: 1.05m	
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No	
Requirement: 0.9m		
	Proposed Garage: 0. 165m	
	Complies: Yes No	
	Existing and unchanged	
Side Setback South:	Existing Dwelling: 0.9m	
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No	
Requirement: 0.9m	Existing and unchanged	
GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF DEVELOPMENT CONTROL:		
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	

CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Standard conditions will adequately address any issues during the construction phase.
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	Standard conditions will adequately address any noise issues during the construction phase. The proposal is for works to the existing dwelling and additional ancillary structures to the existing dwelling.
	Any noise generated is considered to remain commensurate with that of the existing residential dwelling.
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
	Yes No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulphate Soils	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	

CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	Too youngest to contained.
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable: ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
CL63 Landscaped Open Space Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	•
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No CL64 Private open space	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No CL64 Private open space Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No CL64 Private open space Applicable: Yes No CL65 Privacy	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No CL64 Private open space Applicable: Yes No CL65 Privacy Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL63A Rear Building Setback Applicable: Yes No CL64 Private open space Applicable: Yes No CL65 Privacy Applicable: Yes No CL66 Building bulk	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:

CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	A BASIX certificate has been provided. Compliance with the certificate will be a condition of consent.
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	The application initially included the extending of the existing carport to allow for the parking to two (2) vehicles, one (1) within the front setback of the site.
	Notwithstanding, the proposal did not achieve compliance with AS2890.1 Off street Parking, as the length of the proposed carport was less than the required 10.4m for stack parking of two (2) vehicles. Therefore this element of the proposal may not accommodate two (2) vehicles and therefore does not serve a purpose in terms of the functionality of the dwelling. Additionally the impacts of the proposed 3.6m front setback with regards to:
	the proposal dominating the front setback of the subject dwelling and its relationship with the existing streetscape, and
	the proposed garage failing to be fully integrated into the house design,
	are considered unreasonable in these circumstances.
	As a result this element of the proposal will be recommended to be deleted as a condition of consent and the 6.5m front setback to be maintained.
	See the "Clause 20 Assessment" under the heading "Issues" within this report for further assessment of the non-compliance.
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No The subject property as existing provides one (1) parking
	space in the form of a single carport.
	Whilst not being compliant with the numerical controls with regards to parking provisions, the additional parking proposed within the existing front setback would render the front setback of the dwelling non-compliant.

	(Refer also to General Principle of Development Control, Clause 71 – Parking facilities – Visual Impact)
	This car space has been recommended to be deleted via a condition of consent and the existing 6.5m front setback maintained. Additionally, the site is in reasonable proximity to public transport facilities
	It is considered that the existing parking provisions will remain adequate to serve the single detached dwelling on site.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	REPEALED
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules:	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No	Complies:
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites Applicable: Yes No Schedules: Schedule 5 State policies Applicable: Yes No Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:

Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects		
Applicable: Yes No		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No	
	Refer to General Principles of Development Control, Clause 71 – Parking facilities – Visual Impact and Clause 74 – Provision of Parking within this report for assessment	
OTHER RELEVANT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANN	ING INSTRUMENTS:	
State Environmental Planning Policies: Applic		
SEPP Basix: Applicable?		
Yes No		
A BASIX certificate has been provided.		
SEPP 55 Applicable?		
Yes No		
Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?		
Yes No		

Yes No			
SEPP Infrastructure			
Applicable?			
Yes No			
Is the proposal for a swimming pool:			
Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?			
Yes No			
Within 5m of an overhead power line ?			
Yes No			
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?			
✓ Yes No			
Regional Environmental Plans Applicable?:			
□ _{Yes} ✓ No			
res no			
EPA Regulation Considerations:			
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)			
Applicable: Yes No			
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?		
Applicable: Yes No	✓ Yes No		
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy)	Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy?		
Applicable: Yes No			
- ' '	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}		
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?		
Applicable: Yes No	Yes No		
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for	Addressed via condition?		
Disability Access)	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}		
Applicable: Yes No			
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?		

Yes No

Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?

Applicable: Yes No

REFERRALS

Deferral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ Yes No	
Landscape Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	No objections subject to conditions.
Bushland Management	□ Yes No	
Catchment Management	☐ Yes ► No	
Aboriginal Heritage	□ Yes No	
Env. Health and Protection	☐ Yes ► No	
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ Yes No	
Energy Australia	Yes No	No objections subject to conditions.

/POLICIES: **EPA Act 1979** SEPP No. 55 - Remediation of Land SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection **EPA Regulations 2000** Disability Discrimination Act 1992 SEPP BASIX Local Government Act 1993 SEPP Infrastructure Roads Act 1993 **WLEP 2000** Rural Fires Act 1997 **DWLEP 2009** WDCP RFI Act 1948 Water Management Act 2000 S94 Development Contributions Plan Water Act 1912 S94A Development Contributions Plan Swimming Pools Act 1992; NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation) **SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979** Section 79C (1) (a)(i) - Have you considered all relevant ▼ Yes □ No provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument? Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) - Have you considered all relevant ▼ Yes □ No provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) - Have you considered all relevant ▼ Yes □ No provisions of any provisions of any development control Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant □ Yes □ No ▼ N/A provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant ▼ Yes □ No provisions of any Regulations? Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the Ves □ No development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable? Section 79C (1) (c) - It the site suitable for the $ightharpoonset{V}_{ m Yes}$ $ho_{ m No}$ development? Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any ▼ Yes □ No submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs? Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest? ▼ Yes □ No

APPLICABLE LEGISLATION/ EPI'S

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: "Dwelling house" – A building containing only one (1) dwelling. (Works ancillary to the

dwelling)

Land Use Zone: R2 – Low Density Residential

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible with consent.

Additional Permitted used for particular land – Refer to Schedule 1: Not applicable

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Minimum Subdivision Lot Size:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Rural Subdivision:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
No Strata Plan or Community Title Subdivisions in certain rural and environmental zones:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	5.4m	YES	Not applicable

SECTION 2 – ISSUES Built Form Controls

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Front Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Front Setback:	Proposed: 3.6m (Not Supported)	
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No	
Requirement: 6.5m		

Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposal is non-compliant with the control by 2.9m.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

Objective	Comment
Landscaped and generally free of any structures, carparking or site facilities other than driveways, letterboxes and fences	The proposal fails to meet the requirements as the garage extends 2.9m into the front setback, thereby intending to provide parking within the front setback of the site.
To provide a sense of openness	Sufficient spatial separation is not maintained from the proposed car space to the street frontage. The existing sense of openness is considered to be diminished by the proposed encroachment.
To provide opportunities for landscaping	The proposed parking space within the front setback is sited on the existing driveway and has no impact on the existing opportunities for landscaping.
Minimise impact of development on the streetscape	The proposal is considered to visually dominate the front setback of the subject dwelling and the relationship with the streetscape. Additionally, the proposed garage failing to be fully integrated into the house design.
Maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements.	The visual continuity of the front gardens and landscaped elements are considered to be marginally impacted upon as the proposed garage extension is over an existing driveway. The building encroaching into the front setback by 2.9m is considered to have an unreasonable impact upon the existing dwellings relationship with the streetscape, sense of openness and visual continuity of the built form pattern within the street specifically and the locality generally.
The provision of corner allotments as they relate to the street corners.	Not applicable
Summary	The proposed open car space impacts upon the sense of openness of the front setback. It is for these reasons that the Clause 20 assessment does not support the variation to the Front Setback Built Form Controls.

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to qualify to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). Notwithstanding, the merit based assessment has indicated that the variation to the Front Building Setback Built Form Control (Development Standard) pursuant to Clause 20(1) is <u>Not Supported</u>.

SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site Features: **Detail existing onsite structures:** $\square_{\, \mathrm{None}}$ None Trees Dwelling Under Storey Vegetation Detached Garage Rock Outcrops Detached shed Caves Swimming pool Overhangs Tennis Court Waterfalls Cabana Creeks / Watercourse Potential View Loss as a result of development Aboriginal Art / Carvings ☐ Yes ✓ No Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance

Bushfire Prone?		
☐ Yes No		Any items of heritage significance located upon it?
Flood Prone?		□ Yes No
□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}		Located within the vicinity of any items of
Affected by Acid Sulphate Soils		heritage significance?
☐ Yes ✓ No		Yes No
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?		Located within an area identified as potential land slip?
Yes No		Yes No
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?		Is the development Integrated?
		Yes No
		Does the development require concurrence?
□ _{Yes} ✓ _{No}		Yes No
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?		Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?
☐ Yes No		☐ Yes No
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?		Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?
□ Yes No		▼ Yes No
		Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?
		Yes No
Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment	Undertaken b	<u>ıy:</u>
Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No	
Are there any additional matters that		
have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	Yes No	
additional assessment to be	If yes provide	detail:
undertaken?		
Clamad		
Signed Date		



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:	
	has been considered against the relevant heads of consideration under S79C of the EPA the proposed development is considered to be:
Satisfactor Unsatisfac	•
RECOMMEN	DATION:
That Council	as the consent authority
GRAN	T DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	he conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and he consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
GRA to:	NT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject
(b) li (c) c	he conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; imit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years; one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and he consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
REFUS	E development consent to the development application subject to:
(a) t	he reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.
Cianad	Dete
Signed	Date
	e Development Assessment Officer n is determined under the delegated authority of:
Signed	Date

Ryan Cole, Team Leader, Development Assessment

