

# COMMERCIAL OCCUPATION/ADDITIONS/SIGNAGE/CHANGE OF USE

### **DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

**Assessment Officer: Phil Lane** 

Proposal Description: Use of premises as Health Consulting Room (dental surgery) and signage.

In detail: 7.30am – 6pm (Monday to Friday) & 8am – 12pm (Saturday) Property Address: Lot 1 DP 725574, No. 7 Oaks Avenue, Dee Why

Application No: DA2010/1782

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	▼ Yes No
Section 2A – SEPP 64	Yes No	□ Yes □ No
Section 2B – Schedule 17 Car parking	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	▼ Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	▼ Yes No

	Yes No	Yes No
Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 45000.00  Are S94A Contributions Applicable?  Yes No		
Notification Required?  ✓ Yes No		blic Exhibition?
Submissions Received?		
Yes No		
No. of Submissions: Nil		



Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development?	. ·	1
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed development?	Yes	No

#### **SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT**

#### **ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS**

#### **WLEP 2000**

Development Definition: Fitout and use for a health consulting room

Locality: E6 Oaks Avenue

Category of Development: 2- health consulting room

Desired Future Character:

Oaks Avenue will incorporate a mix of business, community and leisure uses. Ground floor premises will be characterised by uses including shops and restaurants that create active building front and contribute to the life of the streets and other public spaces. Housing and offices will characterise upper floors.

Building design will also contribute to the life of public spaces by helping to define the streets and public spaces and create environments that are appropriate to the human scale as well as comfortable, interesting and safe. The scale of these buildings will be less than buildings in the neighbouring Howard Avenue locality in recognition of the subservient role of Oaks Avenue.

In particular, future development will be designed so that a 3 storey6 podium adjoins the sidewalk and establishes a coherent parapet line along Oaks Avenue. Above parapet line additional storeys will be set back to maintain solar access to the sidewalks and ensure that the scale of buildings does not dominate public spaces. Buildings are to be articulated in such a way that they are broken into smaller elements with strong vertical proportions and spaces are created between buildings at the upper levels and to add interest to the skyline, reduce the mass of the building and facilitate the sharing of views and sunlight.

The overall height of buildings is to be such that long distance views of Long Reef Headland, the top of the escarpment to the west of Pittwater Road and the Norfolk Island Pines next to Dee Why Beach are preserved.

Building layout and access are to be in accordance with Map E available from the office of the Council such that the spaces behind buildings combine to form central courts with vehicle access limited to a restricted number of places generally in the locations shown on Map E.

Site amalgamation will be encouraged to facilitate new development and enable all carparking to be provided below ground or behind buildings using shared driveways where possible.

Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3			
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2)	on 2 Assessment not required)		
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locali $\hfill\Box_{\rm Yes}\hfill\Box_{\rm No}$	ity's Desired Future Character Statement?		
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's (Section	n 2 Assessment Required)		
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)		
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)		

#### Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land use: Permissible

#### **Built Form Controls:**

This application seeks consent for the fitout and use of a tenancy within a existing commercial building. The proposal involves internal work to the tenancy and makes no changes to the facade of the building.



Therefore the Built Form Controls on building height, building mass, build to lines, footpath awnings, pedestrian links and caparking facilities are not applicable in this case.

# **General Principles of Development Control:**

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ <sub>Yes</sub> ☑ <sub>No</sub>	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition ino
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition 140
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition into
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition ino
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition ino
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The building is located within the 1 in 100 year flood event and given that the original approval was assessed it is deemed that the proposed occupation is compliant with this clause.



CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:		
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?		
Yes No	□ Yes No		
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?		
	▼ Yes □ No		
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
Yes No	res res , subject to condition 140		
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
Yes No	,,		
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
Yes No	·		
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
Yes No	. ,		
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:		
Reserves & other public Open Spaces Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
Yes No			
CL53 Signs	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Comment: One under awning sign at the Oaks Avenue		
Yes No	frontage. The sign is to be 2400mm (width) by 500mm		
	(height) – 1.2sqm. The signage is compliant with this clause.		
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
▼ Yes □ No	,		
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:		
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:		
Features on Site Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No		
	,		
Yes No			



CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , subject to contained.
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition into
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	165 165, subject to contained 140
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to contaition into
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	165 166, subject to contained.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , suspecto consumer.
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, so , subject to contained.
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	165 166, subject to contained.
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	rec recipente contanten rec
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	



CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Too Too, outgood to contained.
Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	. ,
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable:	·
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 -
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients.
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.  In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3 carparking spaces of the 41 on-site commercial
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.  In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3
Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.  In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3 carparking spaces of the 41 on-site commercial
Applicable:  ✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.  In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3 carparking spaces of the 41 on-site commercial spaces will be designated for the subject tenancy.  Complies:
Applicable:  ✓ Yes No  CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Yes Yes, subject to condition No WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.  According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.  In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3 carparking spaces of the 41 on-site commercial spaces will be designated for the subject tenancy.



CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Tes Tes, subject to condition 140
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	100 100, Subject to containon 140
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	<u> </u>
☐ Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	1 es 1 es , subject to condition 140
Yes No	
Schedules:	
Schedule 4 Prohibited Signage (further assess	sment where appropriate under SEPP 64)
Applicable: (i.e. are prohibited signs proposed	1?)
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Schedule 5 State policies	
Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	
Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
163 NO DAO to investigate futiliel	



Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a subdivision of land
Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 8 Site analysis Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work
Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management
Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development
Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach
Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour Applicable:
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects
Applicable: (Category 3 only)
Yes No DAO to investigate further
Schedule 17 Carparking provision
Applicable:
Yes No FAR (refer Section 2B Issue Assessment)
WLEP 2000 defines dental surgery as a health consulting room, and Schedule 17 of WLEP 2000 - Carparking Provision requires 3 carparking spaces per health consulting room used to see patients. Accordingly, 3 carparking spaces are required for the proposal which includes 1 room to see patients.
According to Development Consent 2005/1250, as modified, 41 spaces have been allocated to the approved commercial premises of the site. The subject tenancy, which occupies part of the approved commercial premises, will have access to the 41 spaces allocated and 3 car spaces have being allocated for the intended use. Therefore, the proposal complies with the requirement of this Schedule.
In this regard, a condition is imposed so that 3 carparking spaces of the 41 on-site commercial spaces will be designated for the subject tenancy.



## **EPA Regulation Considerations:**

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)	
Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	□ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub>
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes INO
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Yes No Further Assessment Required
Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no ruther Assessment Required
BCA report supplied?	
Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	✓ Yes No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no
Is a Construction Certificate required?	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	▼ Yes □ No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	TES INC
(BCA Assessment Required see	
Section 2)	
Disability & Discrimination Act	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Yes No Amended plans required
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
res ind dad to investigate further	Comment: The original consent and building has been designed and conditioned to comply with AS 1428.2.
	The proposal shall be conditioned to ensure the internal
	fitout is consistent with AS 1428.2.

# **REFERRALS**

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	Yes ► No	Satisfactory Satisfactory, subject to condition Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Satisfactory Satisfactory, subject to condition Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ Yes ✓ No	Satisfactory



	Trainingan council	
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ Yes ✓ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	✓ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	
EPA Act 1979	
EPA Regulations 2000	
Disability Discrimination Act 1992	
Local Government Act 1993	
Roads Act 1993	
Rural Fires Act 1997	
RFI Act 1948	
Water Management Act 2000	
Water Act 1912	
Swimming Pools Act 1992;	
SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land	
SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection	
SEPP No. 22 Shops & Commercial Premises	
SEPP No. 64 – Advertising & Signage	
SEPP Infrastructure	
SEPP BASIX	
WLEP 2000	
<b>₩</b> WDCP	
S94 Development Contributions Plan	
S94A Development Contributions Plan	
NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)	
Other (Draft WLEP 2009)	
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979	
Section 79C (1) (a) (i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning	✓ Yes No
instrument?	
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental	✓ Yes No
planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant	
provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	Yes No



Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ Yes □ No ☑ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

## Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: **health services facility** means a building or place used as a facility to provide medical or other services relating to the maintenance or improvement of the health, or the restoration to health, of persons or the prevention of disease in or treatment of injury to persons, and includes the following:

- (a) day surgeries and medical centres,
- (b) community health service facilities,
- (c) health consulting rooms,
- (d) facilities for the transport of patients, including helipads and ambulance facilities,
- (e) hospitals.

Land Use Zone: B4 Mixed Use

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible

Additional Permitted used for particular land – Refer to Schedule 1:

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Height of Buildings:	6 storeys or above are permissible / maximum 26m	As existing	Yes	Not Applicable

The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.

CE.	CTI	ıo	_	ISSI	IEC

## **SEPPs**

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:

SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable?
□ Yes No
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?
Ves No



# SEPP 55 Applicable? Yes No Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? Yes No SEPP Infrastructure Applicable? Yes No Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure? Yes No

# **WLEP 2000**

☐ Yes ☑ No

☐ Yes ✓ No

#### **DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER**

Within 5m of an overhead power line?

Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

Clause 12(3)(b) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to be satisfied that the development is consistent with the Desired Future character described in the relevant Locality Statement.

"Oaks Avenue will incorporate a mix of business, community and leisure uses. Ground floor premises will be characterised by uses including shops and restaurants that create active building front and contribute to the life of the streets and other public spaces. Housing and offices will characterise upper floors.

Building design will also contribute to the life of public spaces by helping to define the streets and public spaces and create environments that are appropriate to the human scale as well as comfortable, interesting and safe. The scale of these buildings will be less than buildings in the neighbouring Howard Avenue locality in recognition of the subservient role of Oaks Avenue.

In particular, future development will be designed so that a 3 storey6 podium adjoins the sidewalk and establishes a coherent parapet line along Oaks Avenue. Above parapet line additional storeys will be set back to maintain solar access to the sidewalks and ensure that the scale of buildings does not dominate public spaces. Buildings are to be articulated in such a way that they are broken into smaller elements with strong vertical proportions and spaces are created between buildings at the upper levels and to add interest to the skyline, reduce the mass of the building and facilitate the sharing of views and sunlight.

The overall height of buildings is to be such that long distance views of Long Reef Headland, the top of the escarpment to the west of Pittwater Road and the Norfolk Island Pines next to Dee Why Beach are preserved.

Building layout and access are to be in accordance with Map E available from the office of the Council such that the spaces behind buildings combine to form central courts with vehicle access limited to a restricted number of places generally in the locations shown on Map E.



Site amalgamation will be encouraged to facilitate new development and enable all carparking to be provided below ground or behind buildings using shared driveways where possible."

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided. The proposal is considered to satisfy the applicable DFC statement for the reasons detailed hereunder:

The dental surgery, by nature, will not detract from the business, community and leisure uses of the locality, and will accommodate the needs of the local residents.

The dental surgery, being located on the third storey, will not affect the site in creating an active building fronts and contributing to the life of the streets and other public spaces as approved.

The application seeks consent for internal fitout and makes no changes to the approved building design of the site.

Clause 12(3) (b) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 2 development is consistent with the locality's DFC statement.

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC.

#### OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION:

#### Section 2A - SEPP No. 64 - Advertising and Signage

		1	
Is SEPP 64 Applicable to the proposal?	Yes "	No (delete table b	pelow

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1) (a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Complies
1. Character of the area Is the proposal compatible with the existing or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located?	The subject site is located within the E6 Oaks Avenue locality under WLEP 2000. This locality is primarily comprised of commercial/retail premises and residential uses which provide advertising signage for the premises, including wall, window and pylon signs.  The proposed development seeks approval for an under awning sign, which is consistent with existing surrounding signage.	Yes No
Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	The subject site is located within an existing commercial/retail premises and residential uses area with varying signage and building form. The proposed signage is considered to be satisfactory with regard to the advertising theme for the commercial uses within the locality.	Yes
2. Special areas  Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	The subject site is not located within the vicinity of any environmentally sensitive area, heritage item, waterway or rural landscape.	Yes No



	Warringah Council	
3. Views and vistas  Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	The proposed signage is designed to be erected under the existing awning at street level in front of the building and will not obscure or compromise important views.  In addition, the proposed signage will not result in the obscuring of views from any public or private domain.	Yes No
Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	All proposed signage is located below the existing roof line and will therefore not result in any change to the existing built form.	Yes
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Due to the buildings unique design and locality no existing or proposed signage will interfere with the viewing right of other advertisers.	Yes
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is not considered to adversely impact on the surrounding streetscape, setting or landscape due to the location of the signage.	Yes
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Due to the buildings unique design and locality no existing or proposed signage will interfere with the viewing right of other advertisers.	Yes
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is not considered to adversely impact on the surrounding streetscape, setting or landscape due to the location of the signage.	Yes No
Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The proposed signage is consistent with that of surrounding development, it is consistent with the existing built form and is considered to be in scale with the building to which it will be attached.	Yes No
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	The proposed signage is of a similar location of similar design and scale; It is considered that the proposed signage will maintain the status quo with regard to clutter and rationalisation.	Yes
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	The proposed signage is designed as a under awning sign and will not obscure any unsightliness.	Yes
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality?	All proposed signage is to be constructed on the buildings under the existing awning at the front of building, and will not protrude beyond the roof line.	Yes
5. Site and building  Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	The proposed signage is considered to be compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building due to the location of the signage	Yes No
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	All proposed signage has been designed as under awning signage, the signage is considered to be consistent with that of the	Yes



built form and to that of surrounding development; as such the proposal is	
considered to respect the important features of the site and building.	
Does the proposal show innovation and The proposed signage is standard in design,	
imagination in its relationship to the site or huilding or both?	_
building, or both? development and is considered satisfactory	5
for the proposed use.	
6. Associated devices and logos with No safety devices, platforms, lighting devices	
advertisements and advertising or logistic devices, platforms, lightling devices or logistic devices, lightling devices or logistic devices or	S
the signage of structure on which it is to be	
displayed have been proposed as part of this   No   Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting   application.	
devices or logos been designed as an	
integral part of the signage or structure on	
which it is to be displayed?	
7. Illumination The proposed illumination given the location	
7. Illumination  Would illumination result in unacceptable  In proposed illumination given the location of the signage under the awning is unlikely to result in unacceptable along or enfoty.	
glare, affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles to result in unacceptable glare or safety	S
or aircraft, detract from the amenity of any concerns to pedestrians or vehicles.	
residence or other form of accommodation?	
Can the intensity of the illumination be A specific condition will be included to	
adjusted, if necessary?  A specific condition will be included to ensure that the proposed illumination can be adjusted if required.	S
adjusted if required.	
No No	
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?  A specific condition will be included to	
ensure that the proposed hours of	s
illumination are reasonable and consistent	
with the hours of operation for the intended use (Dental Surgury).	
9 Cafety Due to the legation of the proposed signage	
Would the proposal reduce the safety for and conditions, the proposed signage is not yes	s
any public road, pedestrians of bicyclists?   considered to have any adverse impact upon	-
the safety for any public road, pedestrians or No	
bicyclists.	
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by  Due to the location of the proposed signage it is considered that the signage will not	
pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	S
No	
I NO	

The objectives of the policy aim to ensure that the proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of the locality, provides effective communication and is of high quality having regards to both design and finishes.

	V	Ī	
Proposal is sa	ıtisfactory 🗀	Yes	''' No

# WLEP 2000 Clause 53 Signs

CL53 Signs	The number, size, shape, extent, placement and content of signs are to be limited to the extent necessary to:	
	allow the reasonable identification of the land use, business, activity or building to which the sign relates, and	▼ Yes □ No
	ensure that the sign is compatible with the design, scale and architectural character of the building or site upon which it is to be placed, and	Yes No
	ensure that the sign does not dominate or obscure other signs or result in visual clutter, and     ensure that the sign does not endanger the public or diminish the	Yes No



amenity of nearby properties.		Yes No
Is there existing signage on site?	Yes No	
Will the existing signage be retained?	Yes No – condition removed	

# Section 2B Schedule 17 Carparking Provision

Number of car spaces existing 41 spaces, complies?	Addressed via condition?
	Yes No Further Assessment Required
Yes No FAR	
Tes NO FAR	(Clause 74 to be addressed below)
Total number of car spaces required 3 spaces?	
•	
Yes No FAR	
Total number of car spaces proposed 3	
spaces, complies?	
Yes No FAR	
Clause 74 Provision of carparking	
Adequate off-street carparking is to be	
provided within the subject property	
boundaries having regard to:	
, the level use and	E E
the land use, and	Yes No
• the hours of operation, and	
a the availability of public transport	Yes No
the availability of public transport, and	<b>▼</b> □
• the availability of alternative carparking, and	Yes No
the need for parking facilities for courier	▼ Yes □ No
vehicles, delivery/service vehicles and	res ino
bicycles.	



# **SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS**



Site area 92sqm	Rock Outcrops
Detail existing onsite structures:	Caves
None	Overhangs
Dwelling	Waterfalls
Detached Garage	Creeks / Watercourse
Detached shed	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Swimming pool	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
Tennis Court	significance
Cabana	Potential View Loss as a result of development
Other (Commercial Building)	Yes No
Site Features:	If Yes where from (in relation to site):
None	North / South
Trees	East / West
Under Storey Vegetation	North East / South West



North West / South East	Headland Yes No
View of:	District Views Yes No
Ocean / Waterways Yes No	Bushland Yes No Other:
Bushfire Prone?  Yes No Flood Prone?  Yes No Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils  Yes No Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?  Yes No Located within 1km landward of the open	Tyes No  Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?  Tyes No  Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?  Yes No  Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?  Yes No
coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?  Yes No	
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?	
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?	
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ✓ <sub>No</sub>	
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?	
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ✓ <sub>No</sub>	
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?	
□ Yes No	
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?	
☐ Yes No	
Is the development Integrated?	
☐ Yes No	
Does the development require concurrence?	



# Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No	
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site	□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	
inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:	
Signed	Date 22 December 2010	
Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer		
SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION		
Conclusion:		
The proposal has been considered against the relevant matters for consideration under Section 79C of the EP&A Act 1979. This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to any conditions contained within the Recommendation.		
Satisfactory		
Unsatisfactory		
Recommendation:		
That Council as the consent authority		
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:		
<ul><li>(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and</li><li>(a) the consent lapsing within five (5) years from operation</li></ul>		
"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"		
Signed	Date 22 December 2010	
Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer		
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:		
Signed	Date 22 December 2010	
Rod Piggott, Team Leader, Development Assessment		

20