

#### **RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT**

DA No. DA2009/1151

**Assessment Officer: Phil Lane** 

Property Address: Lot 1 DP 226081, No. 41 Jindabyne Street, Frenchs Forest

Proposal Description: Alterations and additions to a dwelling, construction of a driveway and

associated carparking.

Plan Reference: A-01, A-02, A-03 & A-04

History & Background: Pre-lodgement (PLM2009/0018) was held on 25 March 2009 with the following

comments:

The proposed work to convert the existing carport to a habitable area is to be designed with no openings in the eastern elevation and carried out in accordance with Building Code of Australia. The proposed car parking spaces are to be designed in accordance with the Australian Standard 2890.1. Any permeable material used in the establishment of car park area 2 is not to be included in the calculations for landscaped open space.

Please be advised that Council are now obligated to advise compliance about the pool and request that they perform a pool fence inspection to ensure compliance.

Options to resolve swimming pool encroachment include:

- 1. Remove the encroachment and reconstruct the pool wholly within the property. A Development Application will be required detailing final location of the pool for Councils consideration and can be submitted in conjunction with the proposed carport works.
- 2. A lease agreement will need to be entered into in regard to the pool being located 480mm into the unformed road reserve. The requirements and process are outlined in Council's Policy on Encroachments/Constructions & Road Reserve Lease (GOV-PL 825). The encroachment would be assessed as Type 3 and the provisions outlined in the policy would apply.
- 3. Purchase the land from Council. The purchase of a portion of road reserve will require formal application to Council. Should you wish to consider pursuing this option, you are advised to contact Council's Property and Commercial Section.

Generally, the proponent of a road reserve closure needs to accept all associated costs as well as pay compensation for the land. The full amount of associated costs involved varies on the circumstances but as a guide it is currently considered to be in excess of \$10,000.00. This should not be viewed as a final costing and you should seek independent advice in relation to this. This amount includes but is not limited to items such as a Council application/administration fee of \$2,165.00, DOL Road Reserve Closure Application fee, survey plan and registration costs, valuation costs, Council legal costs and GST on the land. The cost of the land itself is to be determined by an independent qualified valuer.

This current application does not relate to the existing swimming pool and the encroachment issue in relation to the road reserve has been forwarded to compliance for action.

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

<b>Estimated</b>	Cost of We	orks: \$	38760
Are S94A	Contributio	ns App	licable?

□ Yes	<b>▽</b> No
-------	-------------



Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
Yes No	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A
Submissions Received?	No. of Submissions:
Yes No	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed developm	nent? Yes No
SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT	
ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS	
WLEP 2000	
Locality: B1 Frenchs Forest East	
The Frenchs Forest East locality will remain characterist settings interspersed by a range of complementary and	
Future development will maintain visual pattern and pre- in the locality. The streets will be characterised by lands building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent will configuration of existing allotments in the locality.	scaped front gardens and consistent front housing density standard in this locality
The relationship of the locality to the surrounding bushla enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and pro- rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercours	eserving the natural landscape including
The use of materials that blend with the colours and text encouraged. Development on hillsides or in the vicinity landscape and topography.	
The locality will continue to be served by the existing loc map. Future development in these centres will be in acc development control listed in clause 39 (Local retail cen-	cordance with the general principles of
Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Deve	
Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land use	e: Permissible
Desired Future Character:	
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment not required)
Is the development considered to be consistent with the ${\color{red}\overline{\vee}}$ Yes ${\color{gray}\overline{\square}}$ No	Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 2 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)
Category 3 Development Consistency Test	(Section 2 Assessment Required)



## **Built Form Controls:**

Building Height (overall):	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 4.3m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
<b>☑</b> 8.5m	
11.0m	
Other	
Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	Proposed: 3.35m
Requirement:	Complies: Yes No
<b>▼</b> 7.2m	Complies: Yes No
Other	
Front Setback:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
Requirement:	Proposed: 6.3m
<b>▼</b> 6.5m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20)
Other	
Housing Density:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
Requirement:	Proposed:dwelling / persqm
1 dwelling per 450sqm	Complies: Yes No
1 dwelling per 430sqm	
Other	
Landscape Open Space:	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
	<b>Proposed:</b> 50% (449.1sqm)
40% (360sqm)	Complies: Yes No
50% (sqm)	
Other	



Rear Setback:	
	Existing and unchanged
Applicable: Yes No	
	Proposed: 10.6m
Requirement:	
	Complies: Yes No
<b>☑</b> 6.0m	
_	
Other	
Oids Barredon Francisco	
Side Boundary Envelope:	
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
Requirement:	Existing and unchanged
V	or
4m / 45 degrees	<b>▽</b> □
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
5m / 45 degrees	пп
П	Minor Breach: Yes No
Other	
	Complies: Yes No
	Boundary: Nth Sth Est Wst
	_
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
	Minor Breach: Yes No
	Complies: Yes No
Cida Cathaglar	
Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Boundary Nun Sun Est Wst
Applicable. Tes No	Existing and unchanged
<b>▼</b> 000mm	Or  Proposed: Nill to 1 6m (Evipting)
900mm	Proposed: Nil to 1.6m (Existing)
4.5m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20 as this
4.5m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20 as this component of the building is changing from non-
Other	habitable to habitable)
Other	,
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
	Existing and unchanged
	or
	Proposed: 10.4m (Games room)
	Complies: Yes No
	100 110
Other:	



## **General Principles of Development Control:**

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Too younger to condition the
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL44 Pollutants	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL45 Hazardous Uses	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL47 Flood Affected Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
Yes No	Yes No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	✓ Yes □ No



CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition - No
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	Comment: The proposed retaining wall adjacent to
100 110	carspace 2 is located 6m from the front boundary and given the difference between the top of the wall and the street
	(2.0m higher) the wall will not be readily visible.
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition rec
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	100 , Subject to condition 140
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
	res res, subject to condition rec
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	1 co 1 co , subject to containon into



CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,,
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	
▼ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub>	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to contained. The
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
✓ Yes No	Tes Tes, subject to condition 100
	Complian
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
res no	



CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition re
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No  Comment: The proposed car spaces are located 6m from
Yes No	the front boundary and given the difference between levels
	and existing vegetation located within the front setback it deemed that the proposed car spaces will have minimal
	impact to the existing streetscape.
01707-55	O-malla
CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable:	Complies:
	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No  Comment: The proposed two car spaces satisfy the
res no	requirements of Clause 74.
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comment: The proposed two car spaces are of adequate
	dimensions.
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes V No	Yes Yes , subject to condition — No



CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Complies:  Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
Schedules:	
	0
Schedule 5 State policies	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	100 100 , outsjoot to contained.
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a subdivision of land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable:	
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for	Complies:
remediation work	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res , subject to condition ino
Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	Complies:
Applicable:	
Applicable:	Complies:  Yes Yes , subject to condition No



Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for	Complies:
development near Middle Harbour  Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental	Complies:
effects Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	res res , subject to condition in
Yes No	
Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, ,
Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instru	iments:
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No	
SEPP Basix: Applicable?	
Yes No	
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certificat	ion?
Yes No	
SEPP 55 Applicable?	
✓ Yes □ No	
Based on the previous land uses if the site lik	ely to be contaminated?
□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?	
Yes No	



# SEPP Infrastructure Applicable? ▼ Yes □ No Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure? ☐ Yes No Within 5m of an overhead power line ? ☐ Yes ✓ No Does the proposal comply with the SEPP? Yes No REPs: Applicable?: Yes No **EPA Regulation Considerations:** Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock) Applicable: ☐ Yes ✓ No Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures) Addressed via condition? Applicable: ▼ Yes □ No Yes No Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy) Is the proposal consistent with the Goal and Objectives of the Government Coastal Policy? Applicable: $\square_{\mathrm{Yes}} \ \square_{\mathrm{No}}$ ☐ Yes ✓ No Addressed via condition? Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety) Applicable: ▼ Yes □ No ▼ Yes □ No Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Addressed via condition? **Disability Access)** □<sub>Yes</sub> □<sub>No</sub> Applicable: ☐ Yes ✓ No Clause 98 (BCA) Addressed via condition?

Ves □ No

Applicable:

▼ Yes □ No



## **REFERRALS**

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	✓ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ <sub>Yes</sub> ▼ <sub>No</sub>	Satisfactory
	1.00	Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	▼ <sub>Yes</sub> □ <sub>No</sub>	Satisfactory
	res No	Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	<b>Б</b> П	-
	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	~	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
EPA Act 1979		SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
EPA Regulations 2000	•	SEPP BASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 1992		SEPP Infrastructure
_		
Local Government Act 1993		WLEP 2000
Roads Act 1993	~	WDCP
Rural Fires Act 1997		S94 Development Contributions Plan
RFI Act 1948		S94A Development Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000		NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Water Act 1912	<b>~</b>	Other (Draft WLEP 2009)
Swimming Pools Act 1992;		
,		
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979		1
Section 79C (1) (a) (i) – Have you considered all relevar provisions of any relevant environmental planning	π	Yes No
instrument?		103 140
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevar provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental	nt	Yes No
planning instrument		Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all releval provisions of any provisions of any development control		▼ Yes □ No
plan		res no
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevations of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement		Yes No N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevan provisions of any Regulations?	nt	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?		Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?		▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or E Regs?		Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interes	st?	✓ Yes No



## DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

## Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: Dwelling House

Land Use Zone: R2 Low Density Residential

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible

Additional Permitted used for particular land – Refer to Schedule 1: Not Applicable

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	4.3m	Yes	Not Applicable

The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.



#### **SECTION 2**

#### **WLEP 2000**

#### **DESIRED FUTURE CHARACTER - B1 Frenchs Forest East**

"The Frenchs Forest East locality will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses.

Future development will maintain visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached houses in the locality. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.

The relationship of the locality to the surrounding bushland will be reinforced by protecting and enhancing the spread of indigenous tree canopy and preserving the natural landscape including rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercourses.

The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged. Development on hillsides or in the vicinity of ridgetops must integrate with the natural landscape and topography.

The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39 (Local retail centres)."

Clause 12(3) (a) of WLEP 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Category 1 development against the locality's DFC statement. Notwithstanding Clause 12(3)(a) only requires the consideration of the DFC statement, however as detailed under the Built Form Controls Assessment section of this report the proposed development results in non-compliances with the Side Setback Built Form Control, as such pursuant to Clause 20(1) a higher test is required.

Accordingly, an assessment of consistency of the proposed development against the locality's DFC is provided hereunder:

### **BUILT FORM CONTROLS**

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development is considered to fails satisfy the Locality's Side & Front Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

#### **Side Setback Built Form Control**

Requirement:

Side Setbacks:	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
<b>▽</b>	or
900mm	Proposed: Nil to 1.6m (Existing)
4.5m	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20 as this
Other	component of the building is changing from non- habitable to habitable)
	Boundary Nth Sth Est Wst



Existing and unchanged
or
Proposed: 10.4m
Complies: Yes No

#### Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed area of non-compliance consists of an existing carport been converted in to a games room. Though the existing wall will remain in the same position on the eastern side boundary it is recognised that this part of the building is been converted from non-habitable to habitable component and thus a variation is required in this instance.

### Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

Consideration of the proposal against the relevant merit considerations is detailed below:

Ensure that development does not become visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk

**Comment:** The proposed new games room will be in the same location as the existing carport with a nil side setback to the eastern boundary. The new games room is of single storey construction, it is not considered this new structure will result in significant impacts upon the amenity of the adjoining property to the east of the subject site or visually dominant by virtue of its height and bulk.

• Preserve the amenity of the surrounding land

**Comment:** The proposal will not unreasonably reduce the amenity of adjoining and adjacent properties. With regards to the residential properties to the east of the subject site, given the extent of the non-compliance particularly to the eastern boundary is consistent with the existing carport. The new games room is single storey structure only with no openings in the eastern elevation, and it is not considered that the proposed non-compliance will result in unreasonable amenity impacts on adjoining properties.

Ensure that development responds to site topography

**Comment:** Given that the proposed new games room is slab on ground and of single storey construction, it is considered that the proposal responds to site topography.

Provide separation between buildings

**Comment:** Given that the proposal complies with the side boundary envelope and there is adequate separation to the adjoining residences to the east, it is considered that the proposal provides adequate separation between dwellings.

• Provide opportunities for landscaping

**Comment:** The proposal provides ample landscaped open space areas at the front and rear of the proposed dwelling, providing ample opportunities for landscaping.

Create a sense of openness

**Comment:** Given that the proposed non-compliance is of low nature (single storey and below), it is considered that the proposal will successfully create a sense of openness.

### **Conclusion to Side Setback**

Given the above consideration, it can be seen that the proposed non-compliance will not result in significant adverse impacts. Accordingly, subject to complying with the requirements of the General Principles of Development Control, the Desired Future Character Statement and relevant State Environmental Planning Policies, the proposal is considered eligible for a Clause 20 Variation to this Built Form Control.



#### Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

#### Front Setback Built Form Control

Requirement:

Front Setback:	
Applicable: Yes No	Existing and unchanged
	Proposed: 6.3m
Requirement:	
▼	Complies: Yes No (Clause 20)
6.5m	
Other	

#### Area of inconsistency with control:

The proposed open car spaces are non-compliant been located 6.3m setback from the front western boundary.

### Merit Consideration of Non-compliance:

Consideration of the proposal against the relevant merit considerations is detailed below:

Maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements

**Comment:** The proposal is an open car spaces are located below street level located within the front setback. The proposed car spaces will not result in unreasonable or significant impacts in relation to height or bulk upon the amenity of the adjoining properties.

Minimise the impact of development on the streetscape

**Comment:** The proposal provides ample landscaped open space areas at the front and rear of the proposed dwelling, providing ample opportunities for landscaping.

Create a sense of openness

**Comment:** Given that the proposed non-compliance is of low nature (single storey and below) and open style construction and of open design, it is considered that the proposal will successfully create a sense of openness.

• The provision for corner allotments relates to street corners.

Comment: Not applicable

### **Conclusion to Front Setback**

Given the above consideration, it can be seen that the proposed non-compliance will not result in significant adverse impacts. Accordingly, subject to complying with the requirements of the General Principles of Development Control, the Desired Future Character Statement and relevant State Environmental Planning Policies, the proposal is considered eligible for a Clause 20 Variation to this Built Form Control.



## **SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS**



Site area 899.6sqm	▼_
Detail existing onsite structures:	Trees
_	Under Storey Vegetation
None	Rock Outcrops
Dwelling	Caves
Detached Garage	Overhangs
Detached shed	Waterfalls
Swimming pool	Creeks / Watercourse
Tennis Court	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
Cabana	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage
	significance
Other	Potential View Loss as a result of development
None	☐ <sub>Yes</sub>
	If Yes where from (in relation to site):



North / South	Ocean / Waterways Yes No
East / West	Headland Yes No District Views Yes No
North East / South West  North West / South East	Bushland Other: No
View of:	Outer
VIEW OI.	
Bushfire Prone?	Located within an area identified as
Yes No	potential land slip?
Flood Prone?	Yes No
☐ Yes No	Is the development Integrated?
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils	Yes No  No  Does the development require
☐ Yes No	concurrence?
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?	Yes No
▼ Yes □ No	Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?
Comment: Only the south west corner of the property is located within the 40m buffer zone and proposed works are not located within this vicinity.	Yes No  Have you reviewed the DP and s88B instrument?
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?	Yes No  Does the proposal impact upon any easements / Rights of Way?  Yes No
Yes No	
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?	
□ Yes No	
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?	
☐ Yes No	
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?	
☐ Yes No	
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?	
☐ Yes No	



# Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection < confirm the assessment u against the relevant EPI's 1 & 2>?	ndertaken	Yes No
Are there any additional m have arisen from your site inspection that would requ additional assessment to	e uire any	□ Yes No
undertaken?	De	If yes provide detail:
Signed	Date	

Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer



# SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

	_							
١	റഹ	n	~I	ш	ci	_	n	

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to the provisions of Section
79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, the provisions relevant Environmental
Planning Instruments including Warringah Local Environment Plan 2000, Draft Warringah Local
Environmental Plan 2009 and the relevant codes and policies of Council. and the proposed
development is considered to be:

Satisfactory	
Unsatisfactory	
Recommendation:	
That Council as the consent a	uthority
GRANT DEVELOPMEN	T CONSENT to the development application subject to:
	ailed within the associated notice of determination; and g within three (3) from operation
"I am aware of Warringah's Cod Conflict of Interest"	le of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a
Signed Dat	rie e
Phil Lane	
Senior Development Assessm	nent Officer
The application is determined ur	nder the delegated authority of:
Signed Dat	ee
Rodney Piggott Team Leader, Development As	ssessment