

COMMERCIAL OCCUPATION/ADDITIONS/SIGNAGE/CHANGE OF USE

DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Assessment Officer: Phil Lane Proposal Description: Signage

Property Address: Lot 1, DP 588603, No. 33 Oaks Avenue, Dee Why

Application No: DA2010/0489

In detail: The subject development application seeks approval for erection of the following external

signs as follows:

Sign 1 Replacement Woolworths illuminated sign 11700mm x 2500mm (29.25m²)

Sign 2 Replacement illuminated Woolworths liquor sign 3200mm x 2500mm (8m²)

Sign 3 New top hamper illuminated liquor sign 2920mm x 600mm (1.75m²)

Sign 4 Replacement illuminated liquor under awning sign 600mm x 2500mm (1.5m²)

Sign 5 New Woolworths non illuminated loading dock sign 600mm x 900mm (0.54m²)

RELEVANT BACKGROUND

- DA1999/1424, Alterations to Woolworth's Supermarket, (Approved)
- DA1999/2274, Tenancy Fitout for Liquor Shop, (Approved)
- DA2002/1761, Installation of Boom Gates and Associated Signage and Introduction of Parking Fees to Existing Carpark - Dee Why Shopping Centre (Woolworths), (Approved)
- ECDC 2006/1272, Refurbishment of Existing Woolworth's Supermarket, (Approved)
- DA2007/0051, Infill existing parapet, additional signage & associated works, (Approved)
- DA2007/1259, Installation of a lift & refurbishment of the external facade, (Approved)
- MOD2008/0184, (Modification) Relocation of lift and external facade changes, (Approved)
- DA2008/1148, Fitout and use for a shop (Fruit and vegetable market), (Approved)
- DA2008/1149, Fitout and use for a shop (Deli), (Approved)
- DA2008/1446, Fitout and use for a shop (Butcher), (Approved)
- CDC2009/0017, Fitout and use for a shop (Cafe), (Approved)
- CDC2009/0041, Fitout and use for a shop (Pizza), (Approved)
- CDC2009/0047, Fitout & use for a shop (seafood), (Approved)
- CDC2009/0049, Fitout & use for a shop (bakery), (Approved)

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 2A – SEPP 64	Yes No	Yes No
Section 2B – Schedule 17 Car parking	Yes No	☐ Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No



Estimated Cost of Works: \$ 40000.00	
Are S94A Contributions Applicable?	
☐ Yes No	
Notification Required?	Period of Public Exhibition?
▼ Yes □ No	14 days 21 days 30 days N/A
Submissions Received?	
☐ Yes No	
No. of Submissions: Nil	
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed	
development? Yes No	



SECTION 1 - CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

WLEP 2000

Locality: E6 Oaks Avenue

"Oaks Avenue will incorporate a mix of business, community and leisure uses. Ground floor premises will be characterised by uses including shops and restaurants that create active building front and contribute to the life of the streets and other public spaces. Housing and offices will characterise upper floors.

Building design will also contribute to the life of public spaces by helping to define the streets and public spaces and create environments that are appropriate to the human scale as well as comfortable, interesting and safe. The scale of these buildings will be less than buildings in the neighbouring Howard Avenue locality in recognition of the subservient role of Oaks Avenue.

In particular, future development will be designed so that a 3 storey6 podium adjoins the sidewalk and establishes a coherent parapet line along Oaks Avenue. Above parapet line additional storeys will be set back to maintain solar access to the sidewalks and ensure that the scale of buildings does not dominate public spaces. Buildings are to be articulated in such a way that they are broken into smaller elements with strong vertical proportions and spaces are created between buildings at the upper levels and to add interest to the skyline, reduce the mass of the building and facilitate the sharing of views and sunlight.

The overall height of buildings is to be such that long distance views of Long Reef Headland, the top of the escarpment to the west of Pittwater Road and the Norfolk Island Pines next to Dee Why Beach are preserved.

Building layout and access are to be in accordance with Map E available from the office of the Council such that the spaces behind buildings combine to form central courts with vehicle access limited to a restricted number of places generally in the locations shown on Map E.

Site amalgamation will be encouraged to facilitate new development and enable all carparking to be provided below ground or behind buildings using shared driveways where possible."

Development Definition: Housing Ancillary Development to Housing Other (Signage)			
Category of Development: Category 1 Category 2 Category 3			
Desired Future Character:			
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment not required)			
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement?			
Yes No			
Category 1 Development with variations to BFC's (Section 2 Assessment Required)			
Category 2 Development Consistency Test (Section 2 Assessment Required)			
Category 3 Development Consistency Test (Section 2 Assessment Required)			

Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land use: Permissible

Built Form Controls:

The built form controls are not applicable to this application and the external signage is to be affixed to an approved part of the building.



General Principles of Development Control:

CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Comments: The proposed signage will be located within the retail/commercial area of Oaks Avenue. Conditions will be incorporated in relation to the hours and intensity of illumination used for the signage.
CL39 Local retail centres	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL40 Housing for Older People and People	Complies:
with Disabilities	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable:	
▼ Yes □ No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL 43 Noise	Complies:
CL43 Noise Applicable:	Complies:
Applicable:	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	-
Applicable:	-
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable:	Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable: Yes No CL47 Flood Affected Land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable: Yes No CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable: Yes No CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Seased on the previous land uses if the site likely
Applicable: Yes No CL44 Pollutants Applicable: Yes No CL45 Hazardous Uses Applicable: Yes No CL46 Radiation Emission Levels Applicable: Yes No CL47 Flood Affected Land Applicable: Yes No CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No



VVCIII	ingan Council
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition into
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL50 Safety & Security	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	100 100, subject to condition 140
CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	Complies:
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	
Yes No	
CL53 Signs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Comments: Clause 53 stipulates provisions for the number, size, shape extent and placement of signage for the municipality.
	The applicant proposes the replacement of existing wall signage on the northern elevation of the existing building and new signage on top of the entry into the liquor store and loading dock.
	The existing area of signage approved on the site under existing consents is awning and wall signage. The maximum area of signage permitted on the site under Clause 53 is estimated at 9m², given the double frontage of the site. Existing signage on the site already breaches the maximum area of signage permitted under Clause 53.
	The proposed signage on the application is effectively to replace the existing signage, however additional signage is proposed at the loading dock which is only 0.54m² (which not illuminated) and small sign above the entry to the liquor store which is only 1.75 m² (illuminated) giving a total area of signage proposed is estimated at 41m².
	Notwithstanding the compliance with the numerical requirements of Clause 53, the extent of signage proposed is similar to that existing. This will be the only sign for the business and will allow responsible identification without comprising the existing streetscape or the existing building façade and on



	balance the variation to the requirement of the General Principle is supported.
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	roo , cubject to condition . Ite
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental	Complies:
Features on Site	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition ino
Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, , ,
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to contained.
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	,
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, , ,
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	·



CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res, subject to condition ino
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes ☑ No	res res, subject to condition into
CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition in
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	Complies:
Buildings	
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	Complies:
CL70 Site facilities Applicable:	
	Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: ☐ Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: ☐ Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes, subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable: Yes No CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable: Yes No CL75 Design of Carparking Areas Applicable:	Yes Yes, subject to condition No Complies:
Applicable: Yes No CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact) Applicable: Yes No CL72 Traffic access & safety Applicable: Yes No CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading Applicable: Yes No CL74 Provision of Carparking Applicable: Yes No CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	Yes Yes , subject to condition No Complies: Yes Yes , subject to condition No



CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to contaition 140
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	res res , subject to condition into
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	Complies:
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	res res, subject to condition into
Yes No	
CL81 Notice to Heritage Council	Complies:
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
☐ Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage	Complies:
Items Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
	100 100 , 000,000 10 00110.11011
Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential	Complies:
Archaeological Sites	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable:	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
□ Yes No	
100 110	
Schedules:	
Schedule 4 Prohibited Signage (further assess Applicable: (i.e. are prohibited signs proposed	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Schedule 5 State policies	
Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	
Applicable:	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	



·	arringan Council	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a su Applicable:	ubdivision of land	
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 8 Site analysis		
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for real	mediation work	
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 10 Traffic generating developmen	t	
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and pla Applicable:	ans of management	
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying de	evelopment	
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Co	llaroy/Narrabeen Beach	
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for develop	ment near Middle Harbour	
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects		
Applicable: (Category 3 only)		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Schedule 17 Carparking provision		
Applicable:		
Yes No FAR (refer Section 2B Issue Assessment)		
EPA Regulation Considerations:		
Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)		
Applicable:		
Yes No DAO to investigate further		
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?	
Applicable:	□ _{Yes} □ _{No}	
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes NO	



Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Yes No Further Assessment Required
Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no ruttiet Assessment Required
BCA report supplied?	
Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	✓ Yes □ No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	res no
Is a Construction Certificate required?	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	✓ Yes □ No
Yes No DAO to investigate further	res No
(BCA Assessment Required see	
Section 2)	
Disability & Discrimination Act	Addressed via condition?
Applicable:	Yes No Amended plans required
Yes No DAO to investigate further	Yes INO Amended plans required

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Bushland Management	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Catchment Management	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Aboriginal Heritage	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition



	warringan Councii	
		Unsatisfactory
Env. Health and Protection	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
NSW Rural Fire Service	□ Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	Yes No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory

Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:
EPA Act 1979
EPA Regulations 2000
Disability Discrimination Act 1992
Local Government Act 1993
Roads Act 1993
Rural Fires Act 1997
RFI Act 1948
Water Management Act 2000
Water Act 1912
Swimming Pools Act 1992;
SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
SEPP No. 64 – Advertising & Signage
SEPP Infrastructure
SEPP BASIX
▼ WLEP 2000



~	WDCP
	S94 Development Contributions Plan
	S94A Development Contributions Plan
	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
V	Other (Draft WLEP 2009)

SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979

Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	□ Yes □ No ▼ N/A
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	▼ Yes □ No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest?	▼ Yes □ No

DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: Signage

Land Use Zone: B4 Mixed Use

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible with consent, being developments not identified as permissible

without consent or prohibited.

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies
Height of Buildings:	21 metres	Sign 1: 8.4 metres	YES
		Sign 2: 8.4 metres	
		Sign 3: 3.5 metres	
		Sign 4: 3.5 metres	
		Sign 5: 2.7 metres	

In summary, the proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.



SECTION 2 - ISSUES

C	F	D	De

Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments:
SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No
SEPP Basix: Applicable?
Yes ✓ No
If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification?
□ Yes □ No
SEPP 55 Applicable?
Yes ✓ No
Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
□ _{Yes} □ _{No}
SEPP Infrastructure Applicable?
▼ Yes □ No
Is the proposal for a swimming pool: Within 30m of an overhead line support structure?
□ Yes No
Within 5m of an overhead power line ?
Yes ✓ No
Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?
Yes No
OTHER MATTERS FOR FURTHER CONSIDERATION:
Section 2A - SEPP No. 64 – Advertising and Signage
Is SEPP 64 Applicable to the proposal? Yes No

Clauses 8 and 13 of SEPP 64 require Council to determine consistency with the objectives stipulated under Clause 3(1) (a) of the aforementioned SEPP and to assess the proposal against the assessment criteria of Schedule 1.

Matters for Consideration	Comment	Complies
1. Character of the area	The subject site is located within the E6	YES
Is the proposal compatible with the existing	Oaks Avenue locality under WLEP 2000.	



or desired future character of the area or locality in which it is proposed to be located? Is the proposal consistent with a particular theme for outdoor advertising in the area or locality?	This locality is primarily comprised of commercial/retail premises and residential uses within the vicinity, which provide advertising signage for the premises, including wall, window and pylon signs. The proposed development seeks new identification signage on the building facades, which is consistent with existing surrounding signage. The subject site is located within an existing commercial/retail land uses area with varying signage and building form. The proposed signage is considered to be satisfactory with regard to the advertising theme for the commercial/retail uses within the localities.	YES
2. Special areas Does the proposal detract from the amenity or visual quality of any environmentally sensitive areas, heritage areas, natural or other conservation areas, open space areas, waterways, rural landscapes or residential areas?	The subject site is not located within the vicinity of any environmentally sensitive area, heritage item, waterway or rural landscape.	YES
3. Views and vistas Does the proposal obscure or compromise important views?	The proposed signage is designed to be fitted onto the existing building parapet and will not obscure or compromise important views. In addition, the proposed signage will not result in the obscuring of views from any public or private domain.	YES
Does the proposal dominate the skyline and reduce the quality of vistas?	The replacement signs are located on the facade fronting Oaks Ave and do not in any way extend above the building so as to block or interfere with a vista. The under awning sign is consistent with other under awning signs in the area.	YES
Does the proposal respect the viewing rights of other advertisers?	Due to the buildings unique design and locality no existing or proposed signage will interfere with the viewing right of other advertisers.	YES
4. Streetscape, setting or landscape Is the scale, proportion and form of the proposal appropriate for the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The scale, proportioning and form of the signage is considered appropriate for the locality and sympathetic to the overall form of the building.	YES
Does the proposal contribute to the visual interest of the streetscape, setting or landscape?	The signs support and compliment the uses associated with day to day requirements offered by a Woolworths supermarket. The signs represent an improvement over the existing signage. Signs are integrated and stylish and made from high quality materials. Illuminated area are reduced.	YES
Does the proposal reduce clutter by rationalising and simplifying existing advertising?	The site will be improved by virtue of an integrated signage package. The signs are proportionate to the floor area of the Woolworths supermarket and the services/goods available in the store.	YES



	Warringah Council	
Does the proposal screen unsightliness?	The site will be integrated into the design of the façade. Overall the new signage will be proportionate to the significant floor area and the shop frontage to Oaks Avenue.	YES
Does the proposal protrude above buildings, structures or tree canopies in the area or locality?	The sign is proportionate to the existing building and are affixed flush to the external façade of the building.	YES
5. Site and building Is the proposal compatible with the scale, proportion and other characteristics of the site or building, or both, on which the proposed signage is to be located?	The proposed signage is effectively replacement signage and therefore is consistent with the characteristics of the existing building.	YES
Does the proposal respect important features of the site or building, or both?	The dominant features of the façade are not hidden or detracted from as a result of the proposed signage. The signs are integrated into the existing architectural features of the building and a scale that is compatible with the building.	YES
Does the proposal show innovation and imagination in its relationship to the site or building, or both?	The proposed signage is standard in design, it is consistent with that of surrounding development and is considered satisfactory for the proposed use.	YES
6. Associated devices and logos with advertisements and advertising structures Have any safety devices, platforms, lighting devices or logos been designed as an integral part of the signage or structure on which it is to be displayed?	The graphics are designed to be in accordance with the company logo of the company. The colours are acceptable and not overwhelming in this position.	YES
7. Illumination Would illumination result in unacceptable glare, affect safety for pedestrians, vehicles or aircraft, detract from the amenity of any residence or other form of accommodation?	The illumination is provided internal to the sign and is simply to highlight the backgrounds. Conditions will be incorporated to ensure the any possible glare does not affect the nearby residents along Oaks Avenue.	YES
Can the intensity of the illumination be adjusted, if necessary?	Yes, the illumination is proposed is minimal but in the unlikely event that light spillage and illumination issues arise, the intensity of the illumination can be modified to suit the locality. A condition will be incorporated to ensure compliance.	YES
Is the illumination subject to a curfew?	Yes, the sign will be illuminated whilst the centre is open for trade and must be operated during the night or on days with poor daylight.	YES, subject to condition
8. Safety Would the proposal reduce the safety for any public road, pedestrians or bicyclists?	The proposed signage is unlikely to reduce the safety of the public roads, pedestrians or cyclists.	YES
Would the proposal reduce the safety for pedestrians, particularly children, by obscuring sightlines from public areas?	Due to the location of the proposed signage it is considered that the signage will not result in the obscuring of any views.	YES



The objectives of the policy aim to ensure that the proposed signage is compatible with the desired amenity and visual character of the locality, provides effective communication and is of high quality having regards to both design and finishes.

	1.0			
Proposal is satisfactory	/ •	Yes	[™] No	

WLEP 2000 Clause 53 Signs

CL53 Signs	The number, size, shape, extent, placement and content of signs are to	
	be limited to the extent necessary to:	
	allow the reasonable identification of the land use, business, activity or	▼ Yes □ No
		"Yes No
	ensure that the sign is compatible with the design, scale and	▼ Yes □ No
	architectural character of the building or site upon which it is to be	Yes No
	placed, and	
	ensure that the sign does not dominate or obscure other signs or	✓ Yes □ No
		Yes No
	ensure that the sign does not endanger the public or diminish the	
	amenity of nearby properties.	Yes No

Is there existing signage on site?	Yes No	
Will the existing signage be retained?	Yes No – u	ipgraded & replaced



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site area 5074sqm	Overbange	
Detail existing onsite structures:	Overhangs	
Е	Waterfalls	
None	Creeks / Watercourse	
Dwelling	Aboriginal Art / Carvings	
Detached Garage	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage	
Detached shed	significance	
Swimming pool	Potential View Loss as a result of development	
Tennis Court	Yes No	
Cabana	If Yes where from (in relation to site):	
Other (shops & gymnasium) Site Features:	North / South East / West	
None	North East / South West	
Trees	North West / South East	
Under Storey Vegetation		
Rock Outcrops	View of:	
Caves	Ocean / Waterways Yes No	



Headland Yes No	Bushland Yes	No No		
District Views Yes No	Have you reviewed instrument?	the DP	and	s88B
Bushfire Prone?	Yes No			
□ Yes No	Yes No			
Flood Prone?				
☐ Yes No	Does the proposal easements / Rights of		upon	any
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils	Yes No			
Yes No				
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?				
☐ Yes No				
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the NSW Coastal Policy?				
☐ Yes No				
Located within 100m of the mean high watermark?				
☐ Yes No				
Located within an area identified as a Wave Impact Zone?				
☐ Yes No				
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?				
☐ Yes No				
Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?				
Yes No				
Located within an area identified as potential land slip?				
☐ Yes No				
Is the development Integrated?				
☐ Yes No				
Does the development require concurrence?				
☐ Yes No				
Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?				
☐ Yes No				



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection <section 3=""> confirm the assessment undertaken against the relevant EPI's <section's &="" 1="" 2="">?</section's></section>	Yes No		
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any	□ _{Yes} ☑ _{No}		
additional assessment to be undertaken?	If yes provide detail:		
Signed	Date 3 June 2010		
Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer			
SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION			
Conclusion:			
The proposal has been considered against the relevant matters for consideration under Section 79C of the EP&A Act 1979. This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to any conditions contained within the Recommendation.			
Satisfactory			
Unsatisfactory			
Recommendation:			
That Council as the consent authority			
_			
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:			
(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and(b) the consent lapsing within five (5) years from operation			
"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"			
Signed	Date 3 June 2010		
Phil Lane, Senior Development Assessment Officer			
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:			
Signed	Date 3 June 2010		
Rodney Piggott, Team Leader, Development Assessment			

19