
Sent: 11/12/2020 12:04:35 AM

Subject: Attention: David Auster Planner RE: submission for DA2020/1466 as our conversation in our meeting on Tuesday you allowed an extension of time to submit

9/12/2020

Tina Murdoch
56 Starkey Street
Forestville Sydney NSW 2087
tinamurdoch222@gmail.com

RE:DA2020/1466 50 Starkey Street Forestville NSW 2087

To the assessing officer,

I object to DA2020/1466 lodged by Sunnyfield Association proposing the change of use from a dwelling house to a Permanent Group Home under the provisions of the affordable Rental Housing ARH 2009, for the following reasons:

I am seriously concerned that the Group Homes Clause includes after conversation with David Auster that "persons disadvantaged for reasons of alcohol or other drug dependance, extreme poverty, psychological disorder, delinquency or other disadvantaged and (ii) persons who, for reasons of domestic violence or upheaval require protection."

David Auster Planner at council confirmed for me that change of use would in fact include use of 50 Starkey Street for temporary accommodation for the relief or rehabilitation of people with :

- a disability ie. having intellectual, psychiatric, sensory, physical or similar impairment permanently or for extended period
- Drug use
- Alcohol rehabilitation purposes
- or used to provide a halfway accommodation for persons formally living in institutions including prisons
- or temporary accommodation comprising refuge for men, women or young people
- People who are socially disadvantaged ie because of their alcohol or drug dependance, extreme poverty psychological disorder or other similar disadvantage
- or people who require protection because of domestic violence or upheaval.

I have a young family and have owned this family home for 25 years in this single dwelling residential area of families. I would not feel safe or feel that my children would be safe with a Permanent Group Home at 50 Starkey Street with such occupants that this proposed Permanent Group Home would permit.

I strongly object to this DA2020/1466 as I have had personal experience of domestic violence. I spent 8 weeks in a domestic violence safe house with my then 11 month old baby and 5 year old son in a much more suitable area than the proposed 50 Starkey Street address. Which is more than 400m away from shops and main transport. I know from my own experience hiding that a more than 3 block walk to shops, schools and main road transport would expose the vulnerable victims Domestic Violence to being recognised and identified by the hostile perpetrator. Similar ex prisoners would be identified walking that far to the shops and transport.

No one has identified what category of persons would be occupying 50 Starkey Street. To date the Down Syndrome persons who have been there more than 10 years have not brought any of the above problems.

I have responsibility, on my own for a young family who I expect to raise in the safe and appropriate family environment that it currently is now. The last thing I need in my situation now is to be living with the inappropriate proposed change of use which would completely clash with my responsibilities in raising my young vulnerable family in a safe environment. This is not the area for institutionalised type living. It is a family centred suburb. originally designed and planned for returned servicemen families in forestville. LJ Hooker continued this family orientation with adjoining Killarney Heights 2087 same postcode designed as a garden suburb with high school second primary school to forestville village centre, indoor pool tennis courts and shopping centre village.

We appropriately have homes run as domestic units for orphaned children. we have the autistic centre and two villages for aged persons with debenture. we consider our area is already well educated in social responsibility. We are already in the immediate vicinity overloaded with boarding house DA approvals proposed on the corner of

Starkey street and Cannons paraded corner of Darley Street and Violet Avenue in the near vicinity. which is already way too much for this area that also has the Autistic centred the RSL Club. It would be more appropriate to put residential development on top of Forestville shopping centre with low/high rise penthouse roof garden units with lifts that will stimulate the shop businesses and restaurants in the shopping centre and be closer to supportive churches both Uniting and anglican, library and community hall facilities and right on the public transport main road regular busses..quick and safe to access with protection of the shopping centre cctv vision cameras for protection.

We do not need any further social education in postcode 2087 we are already tolerant and overloaded with regard to social responsibility for aged, disables, disadvantaged persons.

Currently Starkey Street traffic is already too busy and morning and afternoon traffic jam on Starkey Street goes right down to the roundabout at the bottom of the hill on weekdays. Starkey Street and Warrildah Way traffic is also already too busy and noisy and already excessively parked out which is dangerous as children like my youngest one are hard to see between parked cars especially on bikes. It is almost impossible to leave via Starkey street I have to drive around the block and leave via Darley Street all the time as I can't get out of the traffic going so fast and busy both ways because the density is already at Maximum including 2 preschools and 3 childcare centres.

I have had the petrol siphoned out of my car parked inside my property on my driveway in 56 Starkey Street several times already. I personally have had enough of drug affected drunken men and associated predatory hidden drug dealer parasites that lurk around to prey on these sorts of people with dependancy problems and the dealers target school kids and teenagers in KHHS. Unfortunately the Police station in Forestville was removed and put into Frenches Forest. Also unfortunately men blunder home via Warrildah Way past 50 Starkey street and my house down Starkey street from the RSL Club on Friday and Saturday night. The Family suburbs is not the place to have rehabilitation especially child abusers and rapists. Ive had enough. We have already got a list of registered pedophiles in the area. We are at MAXIMUM tolerance and of social responsibility for the disadvantaged society.

The proposed 24hour Group Home night staff will be too noisy as already i am woken up at 4.30 by neighbouring food delivery drivers. and every Thursday morning the garbage truck starts it run at 5,30 am at my house. there is often garbage everywhere who the bins are not put out correctly and crows attack the bins. I am woken up at 4,30 by a truck that goes up starkey street most weekdays and all the bedrooms in my house are on the eastern side facing the street.

A measure of society is how it cares for the vulnerable people. As far as I'm concerned this suburb has more than fulfilled it's social responsibility duty and there is also a duty of care to support the existing fabric of the existing families that have made their homes here for many years together with all the above mentioned institutional facilities.

Trying to force these types of Group home developments into the suburbs is rather like putting a bandage on a wound without treating the cause of the infection. The homeless youth is a direct result of failed family 50% laws that leave children like wandering nomads forced to grow up with no stable sense of place or home being shunted between multiple homes and carers and stepparents. No wonder they can't settle and have stability. Society needs to allow children to have stability. Zero tolerance and increased policing with no relaxation of drug use will stop the drug dependant people in the first place. Put the money into the prevention rather than the rehabilitation if the root of the problem is the drug supply is stamped out . Zero tolerance to drugs is what I would like to see. All the drugs come from overseas ..clean it up now while the borders are closed would be a better use of funding than group homes. Stop the destruction of the fabric of the family unit in our society. Assist families more. All the families I know struggle to manage work home and children and institutionalising children and elderly parents into not the answer. someone has to look after the children and be responsible. That has to be made possible for the family to thrive and increase the population birthrate rather than immigration. Right now women in particular are between a rock and a hard place and expected to be superhuman supermums as the norm expected.