

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2021/0637			
Responsible Officer:	Adam Mitchell			
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot CP SP 22558, 7 Stuart Street COLLAROY NSW 2097 Lot 3 SP 22558, 3 / 7 Stuart Street COLLAROY NSW 209			
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2020/1599 granted for alterations and additions to Unit 3 within an existing multi-dwelling housing development			
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R3 Medium Density Residential			
Development Permissible:	Yes			
Existing Use Rights:	No			
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council			
Land and Environment Court Action:	No			
Owner:	Joshua James Van Raad Sunita Van Raad			
Applicant:	Tony Robb			

Application Lodged:	24/08/2021
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	02/09/2021 to 16/09/2021
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	0
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

This Section 4.55(1A) Modification Application seeks consent to modify Development Consent No. 2020/1599 in the following ways:

- modify two windows on the eastern elevation including size and location;
- modification to one window on the western elevation; and
- replacement of existing brick patio with at-grade timber decking.

Herein this report these works are described as the 'modifications'.

MOD2021/0637 Page 1 of 16



ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral
 to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant
 Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Development Control Plan - B5 Side Boundary Setbacks Warringah Development Control Plan - B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks

Warringah Development Control Plan - D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot CP SP 22558 , 7 Stuart Street COLLAROY NSW 2097 Lot 3 SP 22558 , 3 / 7 Stuart Street COLLAROY NSW 2097
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site is legally identified as Lot 3 SP 22558 and is known as Unit 3, 7 Stuart Street, Collaroy. The site falls within the R3 Medium Density Residential zone pursuant to the Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011.
	No. 7 Stuart Street is a one and two storey linear brick building on the northern side of the street on a lot measuring 58.315m in depth by 15.24m in width with an overall area of 888sqm. Unit 3 is the northernmost property in the block and is two storeys with a garage and wrap around paved patio.
	The site is generally flat and is within mature landscaped grounds. The site is located a 200m walk west of Collaroy Beach.
	Surrounding properties consist of other residential flat buildings, generally of a similar scale and age, but sometimes varying to new three storey blocks.

Map:

MOD2021/0637 Page 2 of 16





SITE HISTORY

• **Development Application No. 2020/1599**Alterations and additions to Unit 3 within an existing multi-dwelling housing development. Approved 27 January 2021.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the
 applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given
 by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2020/1599, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

MOD2021/0637 Page 3 of 16



Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments			
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:				
(a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2020/1599 for the following reasons:			
and	the works sought under this modification are minor changes to the proportions and locations of approved windows, and for the erection of timber deck atop of a paved area. These changes do not materially change the previously approved outcome and are thereby deemed to be substantially the same.			
(b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that consent, and	Development Application DA2020/1599 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.			
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with: (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, and the Northern Beaches Community Participation Plan.			
(ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	·			
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.			

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development

MOD2021/0637 Page 4 of 16



the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for	Comments		
Consideration'			
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on "Environmental Planning Instruments" in this report.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	Draft State Environmental Planning Policy (Remediation of Land) seeks to replace the existing SEPP No. 55 (Remediation of Land). Public consultation on the draft policy was completed on 13 April 2018. The subject site has been used for residential purposes for an extended period of time. The proposed development retains the residential use of the site, and is not considered a contamination risk.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Control Plan applies to this proposal.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.		
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent.		
	<u>Clause 50(1A)</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the submission of a design verification certificate from the building designer at lodgement of the development application. This documentation was submitted with the original application/This clause is not relevant to this application.		
	<u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case.		
	Clause 92 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.		
	Clauses 93 and/or 94 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the upgrading of a building (including fire safety upgrade of development). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.		
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.		

MOD2021/0637 Page 5 of 16



Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
	Clause 98 of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social
	impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on "Notification & Submissions Received" in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited from 02/09/2021 to 16/09/2021 in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the Community Participation Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

Internal Referral Body	Comments
Building Assessment - Fire and Disability upgrades	The application has been investigated with respect to aspects relevant to the Building Certification and Fire Safety Department. There are no

MOD2021/0637 Page 6 of 16



Internal Referral Body	Comments
	objections to approval of the development.
	<u>Note:</u> The proposed development may not comply with some requirements of the BCA. Issues such as these however may be determined at Construction Certificate stage.
Landscape Officer	The plans provided and the SEE indicate that the modification retains existing planting and no significant landscape features are affected.
	No objections are raised to approval with regard to landscape issues. Existing conditions are considered to be be still relevant and adequate.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP 65 - Design Quality of Residential Apartment Development

Clause 4 of State Environmental Planning Policy No. 65 – Design Quality for Residential Apartment Development (SEPP 65) stipulates that:

- (1) This Policy applies to development for the purpose of a residential flat building, shop top housing or mixed use development with a residential accommodation component if:
 - (a) the development consists of any of the following:

MOD2021/0637 Page 7 of 16



- (i) the erection of a new building,
- (ii) the substantial redevelopment or the substantial refurbishment of an existing building,
- (iii) the conversion of an existing building, and
- (b) the building concerned is at least 3 or more storeys (not including levels below ground level (existing) or levels that are less than 1.2 metres above ground level (existing) that provide for car parking), and
- (c) the building concerned contains at least 4 or more dwellings.

This modification application seeks consent for minor changes to an approved renovation. The scope of works are not of such a magnitude that they are considered 'substantial' and are, at most, a modest extension to a dated building. Further, the building is only two storeys in height and only contains three dwellings, thus precluding the works from the threshold of an ADG assessment.

Accordingly, the provisions of SEPP 65 do not apply to the development.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A385285_02 dated 20 July 2021).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011

Is the development permissible?	Yes	
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:		
aims of the LEP?	Yes	
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes	

Principal Development Standards

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
Height of Buildings:	11.0m	4.3m	No change	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
5.3 Development near zone boundaries	Yes
5.8 Conversion of fire alarms	Yes
6.1 Acid sulfate soils	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

MOD2021/0637 Page 8 of 16



Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Built Form Control	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B2 Number of storeys	3	1	No change	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	East - 4.0m	No encroachments	No change	Yes
	West - 4.0m	No encroachments	No change	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	East - 4.5m	2.52m	Deck - approx 1m	No
	West - 4.5m	No change	No change	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks (Stuart Street)	6.5m	42.9m	No change	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	6.0m	Building: 5.99m Deck: 2.458 - 2.477m	- Deck - approx 1m	No (as approved) No
D1 Landscaped Open Space (LOS) and Bushland Setting	50% (444.35sqm)	30.9% (274.9sqm)	No change	No (as approved)

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
B2 Number of Storeys	Yes	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	Yes	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
C2 Traffic, Access and Safety	Yes	Yes
C3 Parking Facilities	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C6 Building over or adjacent to Constructed Council Drainage Easements	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	No	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes

MOD2021/0637 Page 9 of 16



Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D19 Site Consolidation in the R3 and IN1 Zone	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D21 Provision and Location of Utility Services	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B5 Side Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

The application seeks to construct a timber deck directly atop of an existing paved area with a surface area of 7.416sqm. This existing paved area, and newly proposed deck area is situated approximately 1m from the eastern side boundary and thus contravenes the required 4.5m setback.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To provide opportunities for deep soil landscape areas.

<u>Comment:</u> The modification does not result in the loss of any deep soil landscaped areas as the deck is to be constructed atop of a paved area.

• To ensure that development does not become visually dominant.

<u>Comment:</u> The subject area is not readily visible from neighbouring properties given that it is at grade and obscured by existing vegetation.

To ensure that the scale and bulk of buildings is minimised.

Comment: At-grade timber deck.

MOD2021/0637 Page 10 of 16



 To provide adequate separation between buildings to ensure a reasonable level of privacy, amenity and solar access is maintained.

<u>Comment:</u> Whilst the proposed deck is closer to the side boundary than that the WDCP 2011 envisages, it retains the same setback as existing structures. Furthermore, the proximate boundary of 5 Stuart Street and 4 and 6 Wetherill Street accommodates driveways and garages and thus the use of the subject area for continued recreation does not harm the amenity of neighbouring spaces.

• To provide reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.

<u>Comment:</u> The development result in no view loss.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

B9 Rear Boundary Setbacks

Both the parent approval and this modification approval do not comply with the prescribed rear boundary setback requirements, largely due to the fact that the existing building does not comply. The additional portion of space which encroaches the setback area under this application pertains to the existing paved courtyard which is to have a small deck built atop of it.

The assessment of the application against Clause B9 found in the Assessment Report of DA2020/1599 remains applicable and sufficient justification for this contravention, and is extracted below for reference. On the basis of this assessment, the same conclusions are drawn with regard to the new deck and thus the variation is supported.

Description of non-compliance

Part B9 of the DCP prescribes a 6.0m rear boundary setback for buildings and ancillary structures. The existing residential block attains a rear steback of between 5.978m and 6.0m and is not subject to change. The proposed timber deck attains a setback of between 2.458m - 2.477m from the rear boundary, thereby seeking a variation to the control of up to 58.72%.

Merit consideration:

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

• To ensure opportunities for deep soil landscape areas are maintained.

<u>Comment:</u> Notwithstanding the variation to the Part B9 requirements, the area surrounding the proposed deck is of adequate widths to accommodate deep soil landscaping.

To create a sense of openness in rear yards.

<u>Comment:</u> In consideration of a sense of openness, it is warranted to consider the ratio of built upon area within the rear setback area commensurate to the control requirements. In this case,

MOD2021/0637 Page 11 of 16



the rear setback area is 91.44sqm (15.24mx6m) and the deck measures 27.4sqm (7847mx3495m). The deck therefore represents 29.9% of the total rear setback area.

The proposed deck is slightly elevated off the ground, particularly towards the west, but elevation is not of such a height that is would become unsightly or compromise a sense of openness. The existing mature landscaping is to be retained as are the boundary fences.

Given the ratio of space taken up by the deck, the fact that it is generally at-grade and the fact that landscaping and fencing is to remain as existing, it is considered that the proposed adequate retains a sense of openness.

• To preserve the amenity of adjacent land, particularly relating to privacy between buildings.

<u>Comment:</u> The proposed development is not considered to be of such a scale or intensity that it would harm the amenity of adjacent land by way of visual privacy, acoustic privacy, overshadowing or perceivable visual bulk.

• To maintain the existing visual continuity and pattern of buildings, rear gardens and landscape elements.

<u>Comment:</u> By virtue of the nature of the existing residential flat buildings along Stuart Street and Wetherill Street, the proposed deck would mostly have an outlook into the subject garden itself, to the boundary fence, and then to car parking and drying yards on surrounding blocks. Most surrounding blocks (including the directly adjoining site to the north and east) have roofed structures built hard-up against the boundary. The presence of an open rear garden area is preferable to an enclosed rear garden and therefore, whilst the pattern of buildings and visual continuity does not strictly match the adjoining sites, it does represent a better outcome overall.

To provide opportunities to maintain privacy between dwellings.

<u>Comment:</u> The encroachment of the proposed deck into the rear boundary setback area is not considered to result in any unacceptable loss of privacy between neighbouring dwellings.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP and the objectives specified in section 5(a) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting

The modification application does not alter the approved provision of landscaped open space, noted to be approved at 30.9%. The parent assessment justified this variation for the following reasons, which remain valid to this current assessment:

Description of non-compliance

The site is subjected to a landscaped open space ratio control of 50%, equating to an area of 444.35sqm. The existing site as a whole has a deficient landscaped open space ratio. The development proposed seeks to construct an extension over existing paving and a rear deck. The development requires the removal of the eastern paved courtyard. Pursuant to Part D1 of the DCP, paved areas are

MOD2021/0637 Page 12 of 16



not considered to form part of the calculable landscaped open space area. Therefore, the development results in a marginal (<5sqm) increase to the landscaped area of 279.4sqm or a ratio of 30.9%. Despite this increase, an assessment of the proposal against the control objectives follows.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- To enable planting to maintain and enhance the streetscape.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The proposed development is located to the rear of the site and is not visible from either Stuart of Wetherill Street. Further, the development is only expected to be readily visible from the rear car park of no. 5 Stuart Street to the east. Accordingly, the existing streetscape presence would remain as existing.
- To conserve and enhance indigenous vegetation, topographical features and habitat for wildlife.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The proposal does not remove any significant or noteworthy vegetation or habitat for wildlife. The portions of landscaping that are being removed are generally limited to low-level shrubbery intersected by pathways and the like.
- To provide for landscaped open space with dimensions that are sufficient to enable the establishment of low lying shrubs, medium high shrubs and canopy trees of a size and density to mitigate the height, bulk and scale of the building.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The proposal provides greater opportunities for larger areas of landscaping than existing by removing pathways. The landscaping along the eastern edge of the site would be provided with an area 2 metres wide where it is currently lesser.
- To enhance privacy between buildings.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The shortfall in landscaped open space does not result in any unreasonable privacy impacts to neighbouring properties.
- To accommodate appropriate outdoor recreational opportunities that meet the needs of the occupants.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The proposal would rationalise existing paved pathways and a small courtyard area with one rectangular deck that is of usable dimensions for outdoor recreation (bbq, clothes drying and the like).
- To provide space for service functions, including clothes drying.
 - Comment: Sufficient space for service functions is achieved by the development.
- To facilitate water management, including on-site detention and infiltration of stormwater.
 - <u>Comment:</u> The proposed development satisfactorily facilitates water management including the infiltration of stormwater.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent with the relevant objectives of WDCP 2011 and the objectives specified in s1.3 of the Environmental

MOD2021/0637 Page 13 of 16



Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly affect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2021

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2021/0637 for Modification of Development Consent DA2020/1599 granted for alterations and additions to Unit 3

MOD2021/0637 Page 14 of 16



within an existing multi-dwelling housing development on land at Lot CP SP 22558,7 Stuart Street, COLLAROY, Lot 3 SP 22558,3 / 7 Stuart Street, COLLAROY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp				
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By		
DA-001A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-100A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-300A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-301A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-400A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-401A Rev. B	08 September 2021	Renee Blyth		
DA-503	20 July 2021	Renee Blyth		

Reports / Documentation – All recommendations and requirements contained within:				
Report No. / Page No. / Section No.	Dated	Prepared By		
BASIX Certificate No. A385285_02	20 July 2021	Renee Blyth		

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed

Adam Mitchell, Principal Planner

The application is determined on 17/09/2021, under the delegated authority of:

MOD2021/0637 Page 15 of 16



Lashta Haidari, Manager Development Assessments

MOD2021/0637 Page 16 of 16