

Heritage Referral Response

Application Number:	REV2024/0020
Proposed Development:	Review of Determination of Application DA2023/1469 for Alterations and additions to a dwelling house including a swimming pool
Date:	15/07/2024
To:	Stephanie Gelder
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 8 DP 545616 , 955 Barrenjoey Road PALM BEACH NSW 2108 Lot LIC 526953 , 955 Barrenjoey Road PALM BEACH NSW 2108

Officer comments

HERITAGE COMMENTS		
Discussion of reason for referral		
The proposal has been referred to Heritage as the subject site is within the vicinity of a heritage item		
'Kumale' House at 949 Barrenjoey Road		
Details of heritage items affected		
<u>Statement of Significance</u> Kumale, at 949 Barrenjoey Road in Palm Beach, built in 1956 to a design by well known Sydney architect Peter Muller, has historic and aesthetic significance as an excellent example of Late Twentieth-Century Organic architecture. Physical Description The house is located on a long steep site and all that is visible from the street is the central dome that dominates the main structure. The house consists of a series of intersecting segmented circle wings and the main wing is located on the edge of a cliff that makes the house only visible from the water.		
Other relevant heritage listings		
SEPP (Biodiversity and Conservation) 2021	No	
Australian Heritage Register	No	
NSW State Heritage Register	No	
National Trust of Aust (NSW) Register		
RAIA Register of 20th Century Buildings of Significance	Yes	Kumale is on the register
Other	N/A	
Consideration of Application		
The proposal seeks consent for alterations and additions to the existing dwelling. This includes a new upper level and extensions to existing levels. The heritage item is located to the south of the subject site, across number 953 Barrenjoey Road. Given the physical separation, and the visual break to the heritage item, the proposal is considered to not impact upon the heritage item or its		

significance.

Therefore Heritage raises no objections and requires no conditions.

Consider against the provisions of CL5.10 of PLEP.

Is a Conservation Management Plan (CMP) Required? No

Has a CMP been provided? No

Is a Heritage Impact Statement required? No

Has a Heritage Impact Statement been provided? No

The proposal is therefore supported.

Note: Should you have any concerns with the referral comments above, please discuss these with the Responsible Officer.

Recommended Heritage Advisor Conditions:

Nil.