

RESIDENTIAL DEVELOPMENT - DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Development Application No. DA2009/1469 **Assessment Officer:** Mitchell Drake

Property Address: Lot 6 in DP 23379, 60 Lascelles Road Narraweena
Proposal Description: Alterations and additions to an existing dwelling

Plan Reference:

Drawing No.	Title	Revision	Date	Drawn By
DA01 09.010	Site Analysis	Α	25/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA02 09.010	Site Plan	Α	25/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA03 09.010	Ground Floor Plan	Α	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA04 09.010	First floor Plan	Α	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA05 09.010	Roof Plan	Α	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA06 09.010	Sections	Α	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA07 09.010	South & West Elevations	А	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design
DA08 09.010	North & East Elevations	Α	28/10/09	Fineline Building Design

Report Section	Applicable	Complete & Attached
Section 1 – Code Assessment	✓ Yes No	Yes No
Section 2 – Issues Assessment	Yes No	Yes No
Section 3 – Site Inspection Analysis	Yes No	Yes No
Section 4 – Application Determination	Yes No	Yes No

Estimated Cost of Works: \$229250.00 Are S94A Contributions Applicable? Yes No

Warringah Section 94A Development Contributions Plan		
Contribution based on total development cost of : \$229,250.00		
Contribution - all parts Warringah	Levy Rate	Contribution Payable
Total S94A Levy	0.45%	1,031.63
S94A Planning and Administration	0.05%	114.63
Total	0.5%	\$1,146

Notification Required? Yes No	Period of Public Exhibition? 4 days
Submissions Received? Yes No	No. of Submissions: Nil
Are any trees impacted upon by the proposed develo	opment? Yes No



SECTION 1 – CODE ASSESSMENT REPORT

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS

•		
Locality: B7 Narrabeen Lake Suburbs		
Development Definition:		
Housing Ancillary Development to Housing	Other	
Category of Development:		
Category 1 Category 2 Category 3		
Draft WLEP 2009 Permissible or Prohibited Land Permissible land use.	use:	
Desired Future Character: The Narrabeen Lake Suburbs will remain characterised by detached style housing in landscaped settings interspersed by a range of complementary and compatible uses which are compatible with the residential nature of the locality. The land occupied by the Cromer Golf Club will continue to be used only as a recreation facility.		
Future development will maintain the visual pattern and predominant scale of existing detached style housing in the locality. The streets will be characterised by landscaped front gardens and consistent front building setbacks. Unless exemptions are made to the housing density standard in this locality statement, any subdivision of land is to be consistent with the predominant pattern, size and configuration of existing allotments in the locality.		
The spread of indigenous tree canopy will be enhanced where possible and the natural landscape, such as rock outcrops, remnant bushland and natural watercourses will be preserved. The use of materials that blend with the colours and textures of the natural landscape will be encouraged. Development on hillsides, or in the vicinity of ridgetops, must integrate with the landscape and topography.		
The locality will continue to be served by the existing local retail centres in the areas shown on the map. Future development in these centres will be in accordance with the general principles of development control listed in clause 39.		
Category 1 Development with no variations to BFC's (Subject to condition)		
Is the development considered to be consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement? Yes No		
Built Form Controls:		
Building Height (overall):	Proposed: 7.4m	
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No	
Requirement: 8.5m		



Building Height (underside of upper most ceiling):	Proposed: 6.5m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Deminstrate 7.0s	
Requirement: 7.2m	Brown and to Am
Front Setback:	Proposed: 5.4m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 6.5m	Refer to Clause 20 Assessment
Housing Density:	Proposed: 1 per 710.7m ²
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 1 dwelling per 600m²	Existing and unchanged
Landscape Open Space:	Proposed: 50% (360.66m²)
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement 40% (284.28m²)	
Rear Setback:	Proposed: 17.4.m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 6.0m	
Side Boundary Envelope North:	
Applicable: Yes No	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees	Complication 165
Side Boundary Envelope South:	
	Fully within Envelope: Yes No
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 4m / 45 degrees	Compiles. 165 No
Side Boundary Setback North:	Proposed: 1.1m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 0.9m	
Side Boundary Setback South:	Proposed: 1.6m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 0.9m	
General Principles of Development Control:	
CL38 Glare & reflections	Complies:

Applicable: Yes No

 \square Yes Yes , subject to condition \square No



CL39 Local retail centres	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL40 Housing for Older People and People with Disabilities	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL41 Brothels	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL42 Construction Sites	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL43 Noise	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL44 Pollutants	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL45 Hazardous Uses	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL46 Radiation Emission Levels	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL47 Flood Affected Land	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL48 Potentially Contaminated Land	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated?
	Yes No
	Is the site suitable for the proposed land use?
	Yes No
CL49 Remediation of Contaminated Land	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL49a Acid Sulfate Soils	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL50 Safety & Security	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL51 Front Fences and Walls	
Applicable: Yes No	



CL52 Development Near Parks, Bushland	
Reserves & other public Open Spaces	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL53 Signs	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL54 Provision and Location of Utility	Complies:
Services	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Applicable: Yes No	res res, subject to condition rec
CL55 Site Consolidation in 'Medium Density	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL56 Retaining Unique Environmental Features on Site	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL57 Development on Sloping Land	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL58 Protection of Existing Flora	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL59 Koala Habitat Protection	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL60 Watercourses & Aquatic Habitats	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL61 Views	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL62 Access to sunlight	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63 Landscaped Open Space	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL63A Rear Building Setback	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL64 Private open space	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL65 Privacy	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No



CL66 Building bulk	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL67 Roofs	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL68 Conservation of Energy and Water	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL69 Accessibility – Public and Semi-Public	
Buildings	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL70 Site facilities	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL71 Parking facilities (visual impact)	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL72 Traffic access & safety	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL73 On-site Loading and Unloading	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL74 Provision of Carparking	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL75 Design of Carparking Areas	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL76 Management of Stormwater	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL77 Landfill	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL78 Erosion & Sedimentation	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
CL79 Heritage Control	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	
CL80 Notice to Metropolitan Aboriginal Land	
Council and the National Parks and Wildlife Service	
Applicable: Yes No	



CL82 Development in the Vicinity of Heritage Items	
Applicable: Yes No	
CL83 Development of Known or Potential Archaeological Sites	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedules:	
Schedule 5 State policies	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 6 Preservation of bushland	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 7 Matters for consideration in a subdivision of land	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 8 Site analysis	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No
Schedule 9 Notification requirements for remediation work	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 10 Traffic generating development	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 11 Koala feed tree species and plans of management	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 12 Requirements for complying development	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 13 Development guidelines for Collaroy/Narrabeen Beach	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 14 Guiding principles for development near Middle Harbour	
Applicable: Yes No	
Schedule 15 Statement of environmental effects	
Applicable: Yes No	



Schedule 17 Carparking provision	Complies:
Applicable: Yes No	Yes Yes , subject to condition No The proposal provides two (2) spaces in accordance with the schedule.



Other Relevant Environmental Planning Instruments: SEPPs: Applicable? Yes No SEPP Basix: Applicable? ▼ Yes □ No If yes: Has the applicant provided Basix Certification? Ves □ No SEPP 55 Applicable? ▼ Yes □ No Based on the previous land uses if the site likely to be contaminated? ☐ Yes No Is the site suitable for the proposed land use? ▼ Yes □ No **SEPP Infrastructure** Applicable? ▼ Yes □ No Is the proposal for a swimming pool: □ Yes No Within 30m of an overhead line support structure? ☐ Yes ✓ No Within 5m of an overhead power line? □ Yes No Does the proposal comply with the SEPP?

Yes No

REPs: Applicable?: Yes No



EPA Regulation Considerations:

Clause 54 & 109 (Stop the Clock)	
Applicable: Yes No	
Clause 92 (Demolition of Structures)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable: Yes No	✓ Yes No
Clause 92 (Government Coastal Policy)	
Applicable: Yes No	
Clause 93 & 94 (Fire Safety)	
Applicable: Yes No	
Clause 94 (Upgrade of Building for Disability Access)	
Applicable: Yes No	
Clause 98 (BCA)	Addressed via condition?
Applicable: Yes No	Yes No

REFERRALS

Referral Body/Officer	Required	Response
Development Engineering	▼ Yes □ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Landscape Assessment	▼ Yes □ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Natural Environment Unit	▼ Yes □ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory
Energy Australia	▼ Yes □ No	Satisfactory
		Satisfactory, subject to condition
		Unsatisfactory



Applicable Legislation/ EPI's /Policies:	SEPP No. 55 – Remediation of Land
☑ EPA Act 1979	SEPP No. 71 – Coastal Protection
EPA Regulations 2000	SEPP BASIX
Disability Discrimination Act 1992	SEPP Infrastructure
Local Government Act 1993	WLEP 2000
Roads Act 1993	DWLEP 2009
Rural Fires Act 1997	₩ WDCP
RFI Act 1948	S94 Development Contributions Plan
Water Management Act 2000	S94A Development Contributions Plan
Water Act 1912	NSW Coastal Policy (cl 92 EPA Regulation)
Swimming Pools Act 1992;	Other
SECTION 79C EPA ACT 1979	
Section 79C (1) (a)(i) – Have you considered all relevant provisions of any relevant environmental planning instrument?	t
Section 79C (1) (a)(ii) – Have you considered all relevar provisions of any provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	nt Ves No
Section 79C (1) (a)(iii) – Have you considered all releva provisions of any provisions of any development control plan	
Section 79C (1) (a)(iiia) - Have you considered all releva provisions of any Planning Agreement or Draft Planning Agreement	
Section 79C (1) (a)(iv) - Have you considered all relevant provisions of any Regulations?	nt Ves No
Section 79C (1) (b) – Are the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality acceptable?	Yes No
Section 79C (1) (c) – It the site suitable for the development?	✓ Yes No
Section 79C (1) (d) – Have you considered any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or E Regs?	PA Yes No
Section 79C (1) (e) – Is the proposal in the public interest	st? Yes No



DRAFT ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS:

Draft Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2009 (Draft WLEP 2009)

Definition: "Dwelling house" – A building containing only one (1) dwelling. (Works ancillary to the

dwelling)

Land Use Zone: R2 – Low Density Residential

Permissible or Prohibited: Permissible with consent.

Additional Permitted used for particular land – Refer to Schedule 1: Not applicable

Principal Development Standards:

Development Standard	Required	Proposed	Complies	Clause 4.6 Exception to Development Standard
Minimum Subdivision Lot Size:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Rural Subdivision:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
No Strata Plan or Community Title Subdivisions in certain rural and environmental zones:	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable	Not applicable
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	6.5m	YES	Not applicable

The proposed development is consistent with the aims and objectives of the Draft WLEP 2009.



SECTION 2 - ISSUES

PUBLIC EXHIBTION

The subject application was publicly exhibited in accordance with the EPA Regulation 2000 and the applicable Development Control Plan. As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

BUILT FORM CONTROLS

As detail within Section 1 (Code Assessment) the proposed development fails satisfy the Locality's Front Setback Built Form Controls, accordingly, further assessment is provided hereunder.

Description of variations sought and reasons provided:

Requirements:

Front Setback:	Proposed: 5.4m
Applicable: Yes No	Complies: Yes No
Requirement: 6.5m	

Areas of inconsistency with controls:

Front Building Setback

The proposed additions encroach into the side boundary envelope for distance of 2.5m or 4% of the eastern side boundary.

Merit Consideration of Non-compliances:

Front Building Setback

Objective	Comment
Create a sense of openness	The minor nature of the encroachment ensures that the proposal does not impact upon the sense of openness within the front setback of the dwelling.
	It is considered that the encroachment does not result in an unreasonable impact on the surrounds and the amenity of the streetscape.
Provide opportunities for landscaping	The encroachment of the planter box and concrete landing does not reduce the opportunities for landscaping in the front setback.
Minimise the impact of development on the streetscape	The minor encroachment results in additional building modulation, visual relief and a dwelling frontage that is considered consistent with the dwellings in the area generally and the street specifically.
Maintain the visual continuity and pattern of buildings, front gardens and landscape elements.	Both the existing ground floor and the first floor additions, are compliant with the 6.5m front setback. The minor encroachment of the the planter box and concrete landing is not considered to unreasonably impact on the pattern of buildings,



	front gardens and landscape elements.
The provision of corner allotments relates to street	Not applicable
corners.	

Clause 20(1) stipulates:

"Notwithstanding clause 12 (2) (b), consent may be granted to proposed development even if the development does not comply with one or more development standards, provided the resulting development is consistent with the general principles of development control, the desired future character of the locality and any relevant State environmental planning policy."

In determining whether the proposal qualifies for a variation under Clause 20(1) of WLEP 2000, consideration must be given to the following:

(i) General Principles of Development Control

The proposal is generally consistent with the General Principles of Development Control and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "General Principles of Development Control" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(ii) Desired Future Character of the Locality

The proposal is consistent with the Locality's Desired Future Character Statement and accordingly, qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1) (See discussion on "Desired Future Character" in this report for a detailed assessment of consistency).

(iii) Relevant State Environmental Planning Policies

The proposal has been considered consistent with all applicable State Environmental Planning Policies. (Refer to earlier discussion under 'State Environmental Planning Policies'). Accordingly the proposal qualifies to be considered for a variation to the development standards, under the provisions of Clause 20(1).

As detailed above, the proposed development is considered to satisfy / fail to satisfy the requirements to qualify for consideration under Clause 20(1). It is for this reason that the variation to the Front Building Setback Built Form Controls (Development Standard) pursuant to Clause 20(1) is supported.



SECTION 3 – SITE INSPECTION ANALYSIS



Site Area: 710.78m²

Detail existing onsite structures:	Site Features:
None	None
Dwelling	Trees
Detached Garage	Under Storey Vegetation
Detached shed	Rock Outcrops
Swimming pool	Caves
Tennis Court	Overhangs
Cabana	Waterfalls
Other	Creeks / Watercourse
	Aboriginal Art / Carvings
	Any Item of / or any potential item of heritage significance
Potential View Loss as a result of development Yes	No



Bushfire Prone?	Located within the vicinity of any items of heritage significance?
Yes No	Yes No
Flood Prone?	100 110
☐ Yes No	Located within an area identified as potential land slip?
Affected by Acid Sulfate Soils	☐ Yes No
Yes No	Is the development Integrated?
Located within 40m of any natural watercourse?	☐ Yes No
Yes No	Does the development require concurrence?
Located within 1km landward of the open coast watermark or within 1km of any bay	☐ Yes No
estuaries, coastal lake, lagoon, island, tidal waterway within the area mapped within the	Is the site owned or is the DA made by the "Crown"?
NSW Coastal Policy?	Yes No
Yes No	Have you reviewed the DP and s88B
Located within 100m of the mean high	instrument?
watermark?	Yes No
Yes No	Does the proposal impact upon any
Located within an area identified as a Wave	easements / Rights of Way?
Impact Zone?	☐ Yes ✓ No
Yes No	Yes No
Any items of heritage significance located upon it?	
Yes No	



Site Inspection / Desktop Assessment Undertaken by:

Does the site inspection < confirm the assessment u against the relevant EPI's 1 & 2>?	ndertaken	▼ Yes □ No
Are there any additional matters that have arisen from your site inspection that would require any additional assessment to be undertaken?	Yes No If yes provide detail:	
undertaken:		
Signed	Date	

Mitchell Drake, Development Assessment Officer



SECTION 4 – APPLICATION DETERMINATION

Conclusion:

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to the provisions of Section
79C of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, the provisions relevant Environmenta
Planning Instruments including Warringah Local Environment Plan 2000, Draft Warringah Local
Environmental Plan 2009 and the relevant codes and policies of Council. and the proposed
development is considered to be:

▼ Satisfactory
Satisfactory
Unsatisfactory
Recommendation:
That Council as the consent authority
ODANT DEVELOPMENT CONCENT to the development and leading subject to
GRANT DEVELOPMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
(a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; and(b) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
GRANT DEFERRED COMMENCEMENT CONSENT to the development application subject to:
 (a) the conditions detailed within the associated notice of determination; (b) limit the deferred commencement condition time frame to 3 years; (c) one the deferred commencement matter have been satisfactorily addressed issue an operational consent subject to the time frames detailed within part (d); and
(d) the consent lapsing within three (3) from operation
REFUSE development consent to the development application subject to:
(a) the reasons detailed within the associated notice of determination.
"I am aware of Warringah's Code of Conduct and, in signing this report, declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest"
Signed Date
Mitchell Drake, Development Assessment Officer
The application is determined under the delegated authority of:
Signed Date
Ryan Cole, Team Leader, Development Assessment