

APPLICATION FOR MODIFICATION ASSESSMENT REPORT

Application Number:	Mod2019/0369
----------------------------	--------------

Responsible Officer:	Phil Lane
Land to be developed (Address):	Lot 1 DP 179634, 188 Pacific Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099
Proposed Development:	Modification of Development Consent DA2018/1339 granted for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling house including swimming pool
Zoning:	Warringah LEP2011 - Land zoned R2 Low Density Residential
Development Permissible:	Yes
Existing Use Rights:	No
Consent Authority:	Northern Beaches Council
Land and Environment Court Action:	No
Owner:	Leanne Katherine Stephens
Applicant:	Leanne Katherine Stephens Hadyn James Stephens

Application Lodged:	31/07/2019
Integrated Development:	No
Designated Development:	No
State Reporting Category:	Residential - Alterations and additions
Notified:	13/08/2019 to 27/08/2019
Advertised:	Not Advertised
Submissions Received:	0
Clause 4.6 Variation:	Nil
Recommendation:	Approval

PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT IN DETAIL

- Proposed new planter box with pool safe boundary fence over, along the eastern boundary, adjacent to the approved swimming pool and extending up to the swimming pool coping height of RL17.650.
- Bedroom 3 on the First Floor Level is to be increased in width by 1.975m
- The existing open verandah on the northern elevation of the First Floor Level will be extended towards the west with access from Bedroom 3.

ASSESSMENT INTRODUCTION

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared (the subject of this report) taking into account all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, and the associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon the subject site and adjoining, surrounding and nearby properties;
- Notification to adjoining and surrounding properties, advertisement (where required) and referral to relevant internal and external bodies in accordance with the Act, Regulations and relevant Development Control Plan;
- A review and consideration of all submissions made by the public and community interest groups in relation to the application;
- A review and consideration of all documentation provided with the application (up to the time of determination);
- A review and consideration of all referral comments provided by the relevant Council Officers, State Government Authorities/Agencies and Federal Government Authorities/Agencies on the proposal.

SUMMARY OF ASSESSMENT ISSUES

Warringah Development Control Plan - B5 Side Boundary Setbacks

SITE DESCRIPTION

Property Description:	Lot 1 DP 179634 , 188 Pacific Parade DEE WHY NSW 2099
Detailed Site Description:	The subject site consists of one (1) allotment located on the corner of Pacific Parade and Monash Parade (northeast corner). The site is regular in shape with a frontage of 21.8m along Monash Parade and 25.3m along Pacific Parade and a depth of 25.3m along the northern boundary and 21.85m along the eastern side boundary. The site has a surveyed area of 552.1m². The site is located within the R2 Low Density Residential zone and accommodates 1 and 2 storey dwelling house with landscaped gardens. The site has a slight cross fall from the southeast corner to the northwest corner of 11% grade. The site has a number of native and exotic species of plants, shrubs and trees. Detailed Description of Adjoining/Surrounding Development Adjoining and surrounding development is characterised by single, double and three storey dwellings with associated

outbuildings/structures and landscaped gardens. Additionally, multi storey residential flat buildings to the west and north.

Map:



SITE HISTORY

DA2018/1339 - Alterations and additions to an existing dwelling house including swimming pool approved 12 December 2018

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING AND ASSESSMENT ACT, 1979 (EPAA)

The relevant matters for consideration under the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

The application has been assessed in accordance with the requirements of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and the associated Regulations. In this regard:

- An assessment report and recommendation has been prepared and is attached taking into all relevant provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979 and associated regulations;
- A site inspection was conducted and consideration has been given to the impacts of the development upon all lands whether nearby, adjoining or at a distance;
- Consideration was given to all documentation provided (up to the time of determination) by the applicant, persons who have made submissions regarding the application and any advice given by relevant Council / Government / Authority Officers on the proposal;

In this regard, the consideration of the application adopts the previous assessment detailed in the Assessment Report for DA2018/1339, in full, with amendments detailed and assessed as follows:

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.55 (2) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.55 (2) - Other Modifications	Comments
A consent authority may, on application being made by the applicant or any other person entitled to act on a consent granted by the consent authority and subject to and in accordance with the regulations, modify the consent if:	
(a) it is satisfied that the development to which the consent as modified relates is substantially the same development as the development for which consent was originally granted and before that consent as originally granted was modified (if at all), and	The development, as proposed, has been found to be such that Council is satisfied that the proposed works are substantially the same as those already approved under DA2018/1339.
(b) it has consulted with the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body (within the meaning of Division 5) in respect of a condition imposed as a requirement of a concurrence to the consent or in accordance with the general terms of an approval proposed to be granted by the approval body and that Minister, authority or body has not, within 21 days after being consulted, objected to the modification of that consent, and	Development Application DA2018/1339 did not require concurrence from the relevant Minister, public authority or approval body.
(c) it has notified the application in accordance with: (i) the regulations, if the regulations so require, or (ii) a development control plan, if the consent authority is a council that has made a development control plan under section 72 that requires the notification or advertising of applications for modification of a development consent, and	The application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000, Warringah Local Environmental Plan 2011 and Warringah Development Control Plan.
(d) it has considered any submissions made concerning the proposed modification within any period prescribed by the regulations or provided by the development control plan, as the case may be.	No submissions were received in relation to this application.

Section 4.15 Assessment

In accordance with Section 4.55 (3) of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, in determining an modification application made under Section 96 the consent authority must take into consideration such of the matters referred to in section 4.15 (1) as are of relevance to the development the subject of the application.

The relevant matters for consideration under Section 4.15 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979, are:

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(i) – Provisions of any environmental planning instrument	See discussion on “Environmental Planning Instruments” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(ii) – Provisions of any draft environmental planning instrument	None applicable.

Section 4.15 'Matters for Consideration'	Comments
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iii) – Provisions of any development control plan	Warringah Development Control Plan applies to this proposal.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iiia) – Provisions of any planning agreement	None applicable.
Section 4.15 (1) (a)(iv) – Provisions of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 (EP&A Regulation 2000)	<u>Division 8A</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider Prescribed conditions of development consent. These matters have been addressed via a condition in the original consent. <u>Clauses 54 and 109</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 allow Council to request additional information. No additional information was requested in this case. <u>Clause 92</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider AS 2601 - 1991: The Demolition of Structures. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. <u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider insurance requirements under the Home Building Act 1989. This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent. <u>Clause 98</u> of the EP&A Regulation 2000 requires the consent authority to consider the provisions of the Building Code of Australia (BCA). This matter has been addressed via a condition in the original consent.
Section 4.15 (1) (b) – the likely impacts of the development, including environmental impacts on the natural and built environment and social and economic impacts in the locality	(i) Environmental Impact The environmental impacts of the proposed development on the natural and built environment are addressed under the Warringah Development Control Plan section in this report. (ii) Social Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental social impact in the locality considering the character of the proposal. (iii) Economic Impact The proposed development will not have a detrimental economic impact on the locality considering the nature of the existing and proposed land use.
Section 4.15 (1) (c) – the suitability of the site for the development	The site is considered suitable for the proposed development.
Section 4.15 (1) (d) – any submissions made in accordance with the EPA Act or EPA Regs	See discussion on “Notification & Submissions Received” in this report.
Section 4.15 (1) (e) – the public interest	No matters have arisen in this assessment that would justify the refusal of the application in the public interest.

EXISTING USE RIGHTS

Existing Use Rights are not applicable to this application.

BUSHFIRE PRONE LAND

The site is not classified as bush fire prone land.

NOTIFICATION & SUBMISSIONS RECEIVED

The subject development application has been publicly exhibited in accordance with the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979, Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000 and the relevant Development Control Plan.

As a result of the public exhibition of the application Council received no submissions.

REFERRALS

External Referral Body	Comments
Ausgrid: (SEPP Infra.)	The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

ENVIRONMENTAL PLANNING INSTRUMENTS (EPIs)*

All, Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the merit assessment of this application.

In this regard, whilst all provisions of each Environmental Planning Instruments (SEPPs, REPs and LEPs), Development Controls Plans and Council Policies have been considered in the assessment, many provisions contained within the document are not relevant or are enacting, definitions and operational provisions which the proposal is considered to be acceptable against.

As such, an assessment is provided against the controls relevant to the merit consideration of the application hereunder.

State Environmental Planning Policies (SEPPs) and State Regional Environmental Plans (SREPs)

SEPP 55 - Remediation of Land

Clause 7 (1) (a) of SEPP 55 requires the Consent Authority to consider whether land is contaminated. Council records indicate that the subject site has been used for residential purposes for a significant period of time with no prior land uses. In this regard it is considered that the site poses no risk of contamination and therefore, no further consideration is required under Clause 7 (1) (b) and (c) of SEPP 55 and the land is considered to be suitable for the residential land use.

SEPP (Building Sustainability Index: BASIX) 2004

A BASIX certificate has been submitted with the application (see Certificate No. A319208_03 dated 15

July 2019).

A condition has been included in the recommendation of this report requiring compliance with the commitments indicated in the BASIX Certificate.

SEPP (Infrastructure) 2007

Ausgrid

Clause 45 of the SEPP requires the Consent Authority to consider any development application (or an application for modification of consent) for any development carried out:

- within or immediately adjacent to an easement for electricity purposes (whether or not the electricity infrastructure exists).
- immediately adjacent to an electricity substation.
- within 5.0m of an overhead power line.
- includes installation of a swimming pool any part of which is: within 30m of a structure supporting an overhead electricity transmission line and/or within 5.0m of an overhead electricity power line.

Comment:

The proposal was referred to Ausgrid. No response has been received within the 21 day statutory period and therefore, it is assumed that no objections are raised and no conditions are recommended.

SEPP (Coastal Management) 2018

The site is subject to SEPP Coastal Management (2018). Accordingly, an assessment under the SEPP has been carried out as follows:

10 Development on certain land within coastal wetlands and littoral rainforests area

"(1) The following may be carried out on land identified as "coastal wetlands" or "littoral rainforest" on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map only with development consent:

- (a) the clearing of native vegetation within the meaning of Part 5A of the Local Land Services Act 2013,*
- (b) the harm of marine vegetation within the meaning of Division 4 of Part 7 of the Fisheries Management Act 1994,*
- (c) the carrying out of any of the following:*
 - (i) earthworks (including the depositing of material on land),*
 - (ii) constructing a levee,*
 - (iii) draining the land,*
 - (iv) environmental protection works,*
 - (d) any other development."*

Comment: Not applicable to the site.

11 Development on land in proximity to coastal wetlands or littoral rainforest

"(1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land identified as "proximity area for coastal wetlands" or "proximity area for littoral rainforest" on the Coastal Wetlands and Littoral Rainforests Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development will not significantly impact on:

- (a) the biophysical, hydrological or ecological integrity of the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest, or*
- (b) the quantity and quality of surface and ground water flows to and from the adjacent coastal wetland or littoral rainforest."*

Comment: Not applicable to the site.

12 Development on land within the coastal vulnerability area

"Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the area identified as "coastal vulnerability area" on the Coastal Vulnerability Area Map unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

- (a) if the proposed development comprises the erection of a building or works—the building or works are engineered to withstand current and projected coastal hazards for the design life of the building or works, and*
- (b) the proposed development:*
 - (i) is not likely to alter coastal processes to the detriment of the natural environment or other land, and*
 - (ii) is not likely to reduce the public amenity, access to and use of any beach, foreshore, rock platform or headland adjacent to the proposed development, and*
 - (iii) incorporates appropriate measures to manage risk to life and public safety from coastal hazards, and*
- (c) measures are in place to ensure that there are appropriate responses to, and management of, anticipated coastal processes and current and future coastal hazard."*

Comment: At the commencement of this Policy, no Coastal Vulnerability Area Map was adopted and therefore no coastal vulnerability area has been identified.

Not applicable.

13 Development on land within the coastal environment area

"(1) Development consent must not be granted to development on land that is within the coastal environment area unless the consent authority has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:

- (a) the integrity and resilience of the biophysical, hydrological (surface and groundwater) and ecological environment,*
- (b) coastal environmental values and natural coastal processes,*
- (c) the water quality of the marine estate (within the meaning of the Marine Estate Management Act 2014), in particular, the cumulative impacts of the proposed development on any of the sensitive coastal lakes identified in Schedule 1,*
- (d) marine vegetation, native vegetation and fauna and their habitats, undeveloped headlands and rock platforms,*
- (e) existing public open space and safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,*
- (f) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,*
- (g) the use of the surf zone."*

Comment: The proposed works are unlikely to cause an adverse impact upon the matters identified in this clause. The proposal is located wholly within the subject site and does not discourage public access or amenity along the foreshore area nor impact on natural foreshore processes.

"(2) Development consent must not be granted to development on land to which this clause applies unless the consent authority is satisfied that:

(a) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in subclause (1), or

(b) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or

(c) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact."

Comment: The proposal has been designed in such a way that it satisfies the relevant matters identified in this clause.

14 Development on land within the coastal use area

"(1) (a) has considered whether the proposed development is likely to cause an adverse impact on the following:

(i) existing, safe access to and along the foreshore, beach, headland or rock platform for members of the public, including persons with a disability,

(ii) overshadowing, wind funnelling and the loss of views from public places to foreshores,

(iii) the visual amenity and scenic qualities of the coast, including coastal headlands,

(iv) Aboriginal cultural heritage, practices and places,

(v) cultural and built environment heritage, and

(b) is satisfied that:

(i) the development is designed, sited and will be managed to avoid an adverse impact referred to in paragraph (a), or

(ii) if that impact cannot be reasonably avoided—the development is designed, sited and will be managed to minimise that impact, or

(iii) if that impact cannot be minimised—the development will be managed to mitigate that impact, and

(c) has taken into account the surrounding coastal and built environment, and the bulk, scale and size of the proposed development."

Comment: The proposal does not impact upon the existing and safe access to and along the foreshore. The visual amenity from private and public space is not adversely effected and the surrounding area consists of examples of similar developments.

15 Development in coastal zone generally—development not to increase risk of coastal hazards

"Development consent must not be granted to development on land within the coastal zone unless the consent authority is satisfied that the proposed development is not likely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on that land or other land."

Comment: Council is satisfied that the proposed development is unlikely to cause increased risk of coastal hazards on the subject land or other land.

As such, it is considered that the application does comply with the requirements of the State Environmental Planning Policy (Coastal Management) 2018.

Is the development permissible?	Yes
After consideration of the merits of the proposal, is the development consistent with:	
aims of the LEP?	Yes
zone objectives of the LEP?	Yes

Principal Development Standards

Development Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	% Variation	Complies
Height of Buildings:	8.5m	8.2m	8m	N/A	Yes

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements
Part 1 Preliminary	Yes
Land Use Table	Yes
Part 4 Principal development standards	Yes
4.3 Height of buildings	Yes
Part 6 Additional Local Provisions	Yes
6.2 Earthworks	Yes
6.4 Development on sloping land	Yes

Warringah Development Control Plan

Built Form Controls

Standard	Requirement	Approved	Proposed	Complies
B1 Wall height	7.2m	8.15m	7.33m	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	5m (east)	Within envelope	Within envelope	Yes
	5m (north)	Within envelope	Within envelope	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	0.9m (east)	0.761m (carport)	Nil	No
	0.9m (north)	2.498m	6m	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	6.5m	6.009m (existing building)	8.1m	Yes
B9 Front Boundary Setbacks	3.5m	1.687m (carport) 2.851m (powder room - first floor level)	7.8m	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	40%	39% (216.5sqm)	39% (216.5sqm)	Unchanged

Compliance Assessment

Clause	Compliance with Requirements	Consistency Aims/Objectives
Part A Introduction	Yes	Yes
A.5 Objectives	Yes	Yes
Part B Built Form Controls	Yes	Yes
B1 Wall Heights	Yes	Yes
B3 Side Boundary Envelope	Yes	Yes
B5 Side Boundary Setbacks	No	Yes
B7 Front Boundary Setbacks	Yes	Yes
Part C Siting Factors	Yes	Yes
C4 Stormwater	Yes	Yes
C5 Erosion and Sedimentation	Yes	Yes
C6 Building over or adjacent to Constructed Council Drainage Easements	Yes	Yes
C7 Excavation and Landfill	Yes	Yes
C8 Demolition and Construction	Yes	Yes
C9 Waste Management	Yes	Yes
Part D Design	Yes	Yes
D1 Landscaped Open Space and Bushland Setting	Yes	Yes
D2 Private Open Space	Yes	Yes
D3 Noise	Yes	Yes
D6 Access to Sunlight	Yes	Yes
D7 Views	Yes	Yes
D8 Privacy	Yes	Yes
D9 Building Bulk	Yes	Yes
D10 Building Colours and Materials	Yes	Yes
D11 Roofs	Yes	Yes
D12 Glare and Reflection	Yes	Yes
D14 Site Facilities	Yes	Yes
D20 Safety and Security	Yes	Yes
D22 Conservation of Energy and Water	Yes	Yes
Part E The Natural Environment	Yes	Yes
E1 Preservation of Trees or Bushland Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E2 Prescribed Vegetation	Yes	Yes
E6 Retaining unique environmental features	Yes	Yes
E10 Landslip Risk	Yes	Yes

Detailed Assessment

B5 Side Boundary Setbacks

Description of non-compliance

The proposed development comprises a new retaining wall/planter box up to a height of 1.543m along the eastern side boundary.

The exceptions provision of the control allows service infrastructure and structures not more than 1 metre above natural ground level to encroach beyond the 900mm setback, however the retaining wall/planter box will exceed this exception.

Merit consideration

With regard to the consideration for a variation, the development is considered against the underlying Objectives of the Control as follows:

- *To provide opportunities for deep soil landscape areas.*

Comment:

The site comprises adequate areas of landscaped open space providing opportunities for deep soil landscape areas.

- *To ensure that development does not become visually dominant.*

Comment:

The proposed development is appropriately sited and designed such that the built form is reduced as a result of articulation and landscape treatments.

- *To ensure that the scale and bulk of buildings is minimised.*

Comment:

The proposed development comprises a modest building height and will be assisted with screen planting which will minimise the bulk and scale.

- *To provide adequate separation between buildings to ensure a reasonable level of privacy, amenity and solar access is maintained.*

Comment:

The proposed retaining wall/planter box is adjust to an elevated walkway at 190 Pacific Parade and thus allow for adequate building separation within this established residential area. The proposal will not create any unreasonable amenity impacts given the height and nature of the structure.

- *To provide reasonable sharing of views to and from public and private properties.*

Comment:

No views or vistas will be hindered as a result of this proposal.

Having regard to the above assessment, it is concluded that the proposed development is consistent

with the relevant objectives of WLEP 2011 / WDCP and the objectives specified in S1.3 of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act, 1979. Accordingly, this assessment finds that the proposal is supported, in this particular circumstance.

THREATENED SPECIES, POPULATIONS OR ECOLOGICAL COMMUNITIES

The proposal will not significantly effect threatened species, populations or ecological communities, or their habitats.

CRIME PREVENTION THROUGH ENVIRONMENTAL DESIGN

The proposal is consistent with the principles of Crime Prevention Through Environmental Design.

POLICY CONTROLS

Northern Beaches Section 7.12 Contributions Plan 2019

Section 7.12 contributions were levied on the Development Application.

CONCLUSION

The site has been inspected and the application assessed having regard to all documentation submitted by the applicant and the provisions of:

- Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979;
- Environmental Planning and Assessment Regulation 2000;
- All relevant and draft Environmental Planning Instruments;
- Warringah Local Environment Plan;
- Warringah Development Control Plan; and
- Codes and Policies of Council.

This assessment has taken into consideration the submitted plans, Statement of Environmental Effects, all other documentation supporting the application and public submissions, and does not result in any unreasonable impacts on surrounding, adjoining, adjacent and nearby properties subject to the conditions contained within the recommendation.

In consideration of the proposal and the merit consideration of the development, the proposal is considered to be:

- Consistent with the objectives of the DCP
- Consistent with the zone objectives of the LEP
- Consistent with the aims of the LEP
- Consistent with the objectives of the relevant EPIs
- Consistent with the objects of the Environmental Planning and Assessment Act 1979

It is considered that the proposed development satisfies the appropriate controls and that all processes and assessments have been satisfactorily addressed.

RECOMMENDATION

THAT Council as the consent authority grant approval to Modification Application No. Mod2019/0369

for Modification of Development Consent DA2018/1339 granted for alterations and additions to an existing dwelling house including swimming pool on land at Lot 1 DP 179634, 188 Pacific Parade, DEE WHY, subject to the conditions printed below:

A. Add Condition No.1A - Modification of Consent - Approved Plans and supporting Documentation to read as follows:

The development must be carried out in compliance (except as amended by any other condition of consent) with the following:

a) Modification Approved Plans

Architectural Plans - Endorsed with Council's stamp		
Drawing No.	Dated	Prepared By
S4.55-BM-01	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-02	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-03	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-04	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-05	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-06	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-07	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-08	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-09	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-10	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design
S4.55-BM-19	31 July 2019	Brianna Emily Design

b) Any plans and / or documentation submitted to satisfy the Conditions of this consent.

Reason: To ensure the work is carried out in accordance with the determination of Council and approved plans.

In signing this report, I declare that I do not have a Conflict of Interest.

Signed



Phil Lane, Principal Planner

The application is determined on 07/10/2019, under the delegated authority of:



Rodney Piggott, Manager Development Assessments